Supplementary information

THE MECHANISMS OF TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION IN SMEs: A BAYESIAN NETWORK ANALYSIS OF EU REGIONAL POLICY IMPACT ON POLISH FIRMS

Massimo FLORIO, Aleksandra PARTEKA, Emanuela SIRTORI

Technological and Economic Development of Economy https://doi.org/10.3846/tede.2018.6056

Appendix 1

The questionnaire

Questionnaire

The questionnaire is addressed to the enterprises who benefitted from the Technological Credit and for which they received a premium by the Bank Gospodarstwa Krajowego (BGK) since 2009. The survey's main objective is to get information on the types of realised investments and on their effects on the life of beneficiary enterprises during the period 2009–2015.

Questions should be answered by the enterprise **owner or managing director**, or in general **the entrepreneur (whatever his/her job description)** who is responsible for taking managerial, organisational and strategic decisions for the enterprise.

The survey is carried out by CSIL (Centre for Industrial Studies) in collaboration with CASE (Centre for Social and Economic Research) and BGK. It is implemented in the framework of an evaluation study of the publicly-funded initiatives to support the growth and innovation of European Small-Medium enterprises.

The compilation of the questionnaire requires about 10 minutes. Your answers will be treated confidentially, will be statistically processed and results will be presented at aggregate level only in a report for the European Commission due by the end of October 2015.

For any request of clarification you can contact XXX (<email address>, <phone number>).

Thanks for your cooperation!¹

 $^{^{\}mbox{\tiny 1}}$ The asterisk '*' indicates the mandatory questions.

		NFORMATION information about your enterprise
A1. Name of the enterprise		
A2. Position of the respondent		
A3. Year of establishment of the enter	prise	
A4. Age of the entrepreneur today*		
A5. Education attainment of the entr	epreneur*	☐ Primary education degree ☐ Secondary education degree ☐ Bachelor's degree or equivalent ☐ Master degree ☐ PhD ☐ Other – please specify:
A6. Is your enterprise part of a group	? *	 ☐ Yes, it is the parent enterprise ☐ Yes, it is a subsidiary → Go to A6.1 ☐ No
If A6 = "Yes, it is a subsidiary" A6.1 Where is the parent enterprise le	ocated?	☐ Same province ☐ Same region ☐ Other regions in Poland ☐ Europe ☐ Outside Europe
In this section we ask you how the Technological Credit and whe	v you got to kn	ECHNOLOGICAL PREMIUM ow the BGK premium associated with revious experience with public support enterprises.
B1. From whom did you first hear about the premium granted by BGK on Technological Credit?*	☐ BGK promo ☐ From the len ☐ Newsletter/a ☐ Sectorial bus ☐ Business con ☐ Word of mod ☐ Research on ☐ Seminars/pu ☐ Friends ☐ Other – plea	ading bank, when requesting funds dvertising materials from the lending bank siness associations is ultant uth from other enterprises the Internet blic meetings
B2. Did your enterprise ever benefitted from other public support measures before 2009?*	☐ Yes, at least o ☐ No ☐ I don't know	

Section C: INVESTMENT PROJECT IMPLEMENTED

In this section we aim to collect some information about the investment project for which you have received the Technological Credit and signed an agreement with BGK. In case your enterprise signed more than one agreements with BGK since 2009, please select the types of costs funded by *all the agreements*

C1. What did the technological investment(s) for which you received BGK technological premium consist of?*	more than one answer available Purchase or lease of land, or rights of perpetual usufruct of land Purchase or lease of buildings and parts thereof Construction or expansion of existing buildings Purchase or lease of machinery and equipment Purchase or lease of means of transport Purchase or lease of informatics systems Purchase or lease of patents or licenses Expenditure on external consulting services necessary to implement the technological investment Other – please specify:
C2. For how many investment projects did your enterprise benefitted from BGK technological premium?*	☐ One → Go to C2.1 ☐ More than one → Go to C2.2
If C2. = "One" C2.1 Have you received any interim payments by BGK?*	☐ Yes ☐ No, the whole contribution was paid in one tranche at the end of the investment project ☐ I don't know
If C2. = "More than one" C2.2. Have you ever received any interim payments by BGK?*	☐ Yes, for <i>all the projects</i> for which my enterprise benefitted from BGK technological premiums ☐ Yes, for <i>some projects</i> for which my enterprise benefitted from BGK technological premiums ☐ No, never ☐ I don't know
C3. Did you start other investments for your enterprise development since 2009, different from those for which you received the BGK technological premium?*	☐ Yes → Go to C3.1 ☐ No
If C3. = "Yes" C3.1. Have you received any public support to implement those investments (other than BGK technological premium)?	□ Yes □ No

Section D: INVESTMENT RESULTS

In this section we aim to understand the changes produced by the technological investment in your enterprise and the economic benefits ascribable to that investment. In case your enterprise benefitted from more than one BGK technological premiums since 2009, please select all the changes generated in your enterprise thanks to all the investment for which you received BGK support

				PPOIL						
D1. Did the implementation of the technolog by BGK bring about any of the following cha Select the most relevant change(s) in the following	nges to	your ente	erprise?		ted					
D1.1. I have widened the range of offered prod	ucts/sei	rvices								
D1.2. I have improved the offered products/ser	vices									
D1.3. I have upgraded the existing production	process	es or intro	oduced 1	new produ	ction pro	cesses 🗆				
D1.4. I am able to sell products/services that di	d not e	xist in the	market	before						
D1.5. I have started selling in new foreign mark	cets									
D1.6. My enterprise reputation improved										
D1.7. I have improved the overall work organis	ation									
D1.8. I have reduced the enterprise environment	ntal imj	pact (emis	sions, w	aste, wate	r pollution	n)				
D1.9. I have reduced the enterprise energy con	sumpti	on								
D1.10. Personnel already working for the enter	prise h	as gained 1	new kno	wledge/sk	ills					
D1.11. I hired new employees (full time or part	time)									
D1.12. I could maintain the number of employ	ees (ful	l time o pa	art time))						
D1.13. Other – please specify:						□				
D2. Did your enterprise own any patent before 2009?*										
D3. Did your enterprise already registered a in the near future as a consequence of the tec implemented?*	•		•		□ Yes □ No □ I don'	t know				
in the near future as a consequence of the tec	•		•		□ No	t know I don't know				
in the near future as a consequence of the tec implemented?* D4. Which economic results has your enterprise already achieved thanks to the technological investment(s) supported by	NOT AT	rical inves	tment p	APPRE-	□ No □ I don'	I don't				
in the near future as a consequence of the technological investment(s) supported by BGK?* D4.1. I have increased the number of clients	NOT AT ALL	LITTLE	ENO- UGH	APPRE-CIABLY	□ No □ I don' VERY MUCH	I don't know				
in the near future as a consequence of the technological investment(s) supported by BGK?* D4.1. I have increased sales D4.2. I have diversified the type of clients	NOT AT ALL	LITTLE	ENO- UGH	APPRE-CIABLY	□ No □ I don' VERY MUCH	I don't know				
in the near future as a consequence of the technological investment(s) supported by BGK?* D4.1. I have increased the number of clients	NOT AT ALL	LITTLE	ENO- UGH	APPRE-CIABLY	No I don' VERY MUCH	I don't know				
in the near future as a consequence of the technological investment(s) supported by BGK?* D4.1. I have increased sales D4.2. I have diversified the type of clients D4.4. I have increased export (if you have	NOT AT ALL	LITTLE	ENO- UGH	APPRE-CIABLY	No I don' VERY MUCH	I don't know				
in the near future as a consequence of the technological investment(s) supported by BGK?* D4.1. I have increased sales D4.2. I have increased the number of clients D4.3. I have diversified the type of clients D4.4. I have increased export (if you have never exported, select "Not at all")	NOT AT ALL	LITTLE	ENO- UGH	APPRE-CIABLY	No I don' VERY MUCH	I DON'T KNOW				
in the near future as a consequence of the technological investment(s) supported by BGK?* D4.1. I have increased sales D4.2. I have diversified the type of clients D4.4. I have increased export (if you have never exported, select "Not at all") D4.5. I have decreased total costs D4.6. I have increased the enterprise's capacity to resist the effects	NOT AT ALL	LITTLE	ENO- UGH	APPRE-CIABLY	VERY MUCH	I DON'T KNOW				

Section E: OPINION ON BGK TECHNOLOGICAL PREMIUM

In this section we ask you some questions to understand how you judge your experience with the Technological Credit and the associated Premium granted by BGK

the Technological Cred	and the as	sociated 1 Ici	inum grance	d by bak					
E1. How important was the possibility of benefitting from the BGK aid for the decision of starting the technological investment(s)?* Please select the most relevant option(s)	 □ Without BGK aid I would have faced more serious financial difficulties □ Without BGK aid I would have postponed the investment □ Without BGK aid I would have done the a smaller and less ambitious investment □ Without BGK aid I would have not realised the investment □ Other, please specify 								
E2. Please indicate your satisfaction on the following issues regarding your application to the BGK technological premium?*	NOT SATISFIED AT ALL	POORLY SATISFIED	INDIFFE- RENT	SATISFIED					
E2.1 Simplicity of the application, selection and payment process to obtain the BGK premium									
E2.2. Time required to receive the BGK premium after the investment completion									
E2.3. The types of expenses eligible for BGK premium									
E3. To which extent do you agree with the following statements?*	STRONGLY DISAGREE	DISAGREE	DON'T KNOW	AGREE	STRONGLY AGREE				
		DISAGREE		AGREE					
E3.1. I think that the combination between the BGK technological premium and the bank loan is effective to stimulate technological	DISAGREE		KNOW		AGREE				
with the following statements?* E3.1. I think that the combination between the BGK technological premium and the bank loan is effective to stimulate technological investments of firms E3.2. I wanted to apply for BGK technological premium even before, but rules were too complex or strict (if you didn't want to apply earlier, but for other reasons, select "Don't"	DISAGREE		KNOW		AGREE				

Section F: CHANGES IN YOUR ENTERPRISE LIFE In this last section we want to understand what further changes, not necessarily economic ones, have been generated on your life and enterprise thanks to the experience with BGK technological premium □ Worried F1. How did you feel when you knew that your application for technological premium ☐ I expected that had been accepted by BGK? ☐ I don't remember □ Нарру ☐ Euphoric ☐ Other, please specify _ F2. To which extent do you agree with the following statements?* STRON-IF YOU DON'T NOTICE ANY OF THE GLY DISA-DON'T STRONGLY AGREE FOLLOWING CHANGES IN YOUR WAY OF DISA-GREE KNOW AGREE DOING BUSINESS OR ENTERPRISE LIFE. GREE SELECT "STRONGLY DISAGREE" F2.1. Thanks to BGK technological premium П П the relationship with my bank has improved F2.2. Thanks to BGK technological premium my opinion about public support for enterprises has improved F2.3. Thanks to BGK technological premium my opinion about initiatives financed by П П П П П the European Union for small-medium enterprises has improved F2.4. After benefitting from BGK premium, I started to search more frequently news about П П П \Box public initiatives supporting enterprises in Poland F2.5. After benefitting from BGK premium, I started to consider new possible investments that I never considered before F2.6. After benefitting from BGK premium, I realized that my enterprise has more scope for expansion than I thought F2.7. After benefitting from BGK premium, I realised that it would be better to have more skilled employees F2.8. After benefitting from BGK premium I realised that it would be better to have more П \Box П \Box П employees speaking foreign languages F2.9. After benefitting from BGK premium I realised that it would be better to have more younger employees

F3. Space for open comments

Section G: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE ENTERPRISE

This section includes non-mandatory questions asking some additional information about your enterprise. We remind you that your replies will remain confidential

G1. Number of Full Time Equivalent Employees	In the year of application for BGK Technological Credit NOTE: SHOULD YOU HAVE REQUESTED BGK TECHNOLOGICAL CREDIT MORE THAN ONCE, PLEASE ANSWER WITH REFERENCE TO THE YEAR OF THE FIRST APPLICATION	At the end of 2014
	□ 0−9 □ 10−49 □ 50−99 □ 100−249	□ 0-9 □ 10-49 □ 50-99 □ 100-249 □ >249
G2. Amount of annual turnover (or annual sales), in Zloty	In the year of application for BGK Technological Credit Note: should you have requested BGK technological credit more than once, please answer with reference to the year of the first application	At the end of 2014
Less than 4 million		
Between 4 and 8 million		
Between 8 million and 20 million		
Between 20 million and 40 million		
Between 40 million and 80 million		
Between 80 million and 200 million		
More than 200 million		
BETWEEN 4 AND 8 MILLI BETWEEN 8 MILLION AND 20 MILLI BETWEEN 20 MILLION AND 40 MILLI BETWEEN 40 MILLION AND 80 MILLI MORE THAN 200 MILLI G3. Approximate share of exports of total sales Nu Less than 10	In the year of application for BGK Technological Credit Note: should you have requested BGK technological credit more than once, please answer with reference to the year of the first application	At the end of 2014
Null		
Less than 10%		
Between 10% and 30%		
Between 30% and 50%		
More than 50%		

Appendix 2

Methodological annex – the Bayesian search algorithm and DAG parameters

The graphical structure of the BN resulting from our analysis (see Figure 11) is determined by the application of a data-driven learning algorithm, the Bayesian Search Algorithm. The approach combines analysts' knowledge with statistical data (Cooper & Herkovitz, 1992; Heckerman et al., 1994): the causal relations revealed by the DAG are validated by an expert with prior knowledge of the issue. In our case, this means expertise gained from previous work on the functioning of SMEs and EU policy instruments for technological innovation (see EC, 2015a).

The algorithm produces a directed acyclic graph (DAG) that gives the maximum score following a hill-climbing procedure (guided by a scoring heuristic) with random restarts. The score is proportional to the probability of the data given the structure, which, assuming the same prior probability for any structure, is also proportional to the probability of the structure given the data. In other terms, applied to our set of data containing policy inputs and expected outcomes, the algorithm seeks the graphical structure that best explains the dependencies between them. This is based on three main parameters (we used the default):²

- Max Parent Count (default 8) limits the number of parents that a node can have. Because the size of conditional probability tables of a node grows exponentially in the number of parents, it is generally sensible to cap the number of parents in order to keep the construction of the network from exhausting the available computer memory.
- Iterations (default 20) sets the number of restarts of the algorithm. Generally, the algorithm is searching through a hyper-exponential search space looking, one might say, for a needle in a haystack. Restarts allow for probing more areas of the search space and increase the chances of finding a structure that will fit the data better. The computing time is roughly linear in the number of iterations.
- Sample size (default 50) is a factor in the calculation of the Bayesian Dirichlet equivalent uniform score (BDeu), representing the inertia of the current parameters when introducing new data. In BN structure learning, the Dirichlet distribution is used to express the prior probability over the parameters. For example, for variable X the prior probability over the parameters $\theta = (\theta_x)$ is expressed as $\prod_{x} \theta_x^{\alpha(x)-1}$ multiplied by a constant, where θ_x is the probability of X = x and $\alpha(x)$ is a positive constant associated with X = x. Therefore, the choice of the constant $\alpha(x)$ determines the solution of the Bayesian structure learning. In the (BDeu) score $\alpha(x) = \delta_{\alpha}$, α being the number of values that X takes and $\delta > 0$ a constant called an equivalent sample size.

For the remaining parameters, please see http://support.bayesfusion.com/docs/genie/structurelearning_bs.html.

Appendix 3

Descriptive statistics of the variables

Type of variable	Variable name	Definition	N	mean	sd	min	max
	D1.1 Larger range of products	See questionnaire	200	0.89	0.320	0	1
	D1.2 Improved products	See questionnaire	200	0.740	0.440	0	1
	D1.3 Upgraded production processes	See questionnaire	200	0.815	0.34	0	1
	D1.4 Innovative products	See questionnaire	200	0.505	0.501	0	1
	D1.5 Entered new foreign markets	See questionnaire	200	0.260	0.440	0	1
Type of	D1.6 Improved reputation	See questionnaire	200	0.535	0.500	0	1
change	D1.7 Improved work organisation	See questionnaire	200	0.450	0.499	0	1
	D1.8 Reduced environmental impact	See questionnaire	200	0.315	0.466	0	1
	D1.9 Reduced energy consumption	See questionnaire	200	0.200	0.401	0	1
	D1.10 Improved skills	See questionnaire	200	0.435	0.497	0	1
	D1.11 Hired new employees	See questionnaire	200	0.44	0.34	0	1
	D4.1 Increased sales	See questionnaire	200	3.115	0.952	0	5
	D4.2 Increased number of clients	See questionnaire	200	2.860	1.056	0	5
	D4.3 Diversified types of clients	See questionnaire	200	2.480	1.08	0	5
Economic	D4.4 Increased exports	See questionnaire	200	2.175	1.201	0	5
Results	D4.5 Decreased total costs	See questionnaire	200	2.30	1.090	0	5
	D4.6 Increased capacity to resist the crisis	See questionnaire	200	2.84	1.16	0	5
	D5 Future expected results	See questionnaire	200	4.155	0.857	1	5
	F2.2 Better opinion of public support	See questionnaire	200	3.865	0.670	1	5
	F2.4 More frequent search for public support initiatives	See questionnaire	200	4.180	1.120	1	5
	F2.5 Start to think about new possible investments	See questionnaire	200	4.225	1.167	1	5
Behavioural	F2.6 Understood the company has more scope for expansion	See questionnaire	200	4.254	1.13	1	5
Changes	F2.7 Understood importance of having more skilled employees	See questionnaire	200	3.78	1.38	1	5
	F2.8 Understood importance of having employees speaking foreign languages	See questionnaire	200	3.45	1.42	1	5
	F2.9 Understood importance of having younger employees	See questionnaire	200	2.67	1.21	1	5

Type of variable	Variable name	Definition	N	mean	sd	min	max
	E2.1 Satisfaction for simplicity of application/payment process	See questionnaire	200	3.495	0.789	1	5
Opinion on	E2.2 Satisfaction for time required to receive the premium	See questionnaire	200	3.930	0.818	1	5
application procedure	E2.3 Satisfaction for types of eligible expenses	See questionnaire	200	3.820	0.714	1	5
	E3.1 Opinion on the grant-loan combination	See questionnaire	200	3.810	0.593	1	5
	E4 Willingness to apply in the future	See questionnaire	200	1.110	0.359	0	2
Characteristics of the funded	Public contribution	Logarithmic value of total public support received	200	13.27	0.820	9.857	14.87
project	Project completion year	Year of project completion	200	2013		2011	2015
	A5 Education	See questionnaire	200	3.615	0.794	2	5
	Sector of activity (NACE)	NACE sectors at 2 digit level	200	3.376	1.20	2	10
Characteristics of beneficiary	Tech intensity level	Technological intensity class, defined as the ratio between business R&D expenditure and total value added in each 2 digits NACE sector	199	0.547	0.10	1	3
firms	Size	See questionnaire	200	2.84	0.719	1	4
	Region NUTS1	Polish region at NUTS 1 level where the beneficiary firm is located	200	3.410	1.633	1	6
	B2 Received public support before 2009	See questionnaire	200	0.635	0.611	0	2
	C3 Other simultaneous investment	See questionnaire	198	1.035	0.671	0	2
	G3 Initial export share	See questionnaire	170	2.565	1.380	1	5

Appendix 4

Correlations between the variables

F2.9																											1.000
F2.8																										1.000	0.299
F2.7																									1.000	0.105 0.063 0.209 0.135 0.233 0.145 0.127 0.033 0.092 0.084 0.077 0.037 0.088 0.181 0.261 0.171 0.132 0.334 0.289 0.492 1.000	$0.102 \ 0.072 \ 0.123 \ 0.106 \ 0.113 \ 0.039 \ 0.027 \ 0.026 \ 0.071 \ 0.084 \ 0.102 \ -0.049 \ 0.011 \ 0.182 \ 0.157 \ 0.157 \ 0.165 \ 0.112 \ 0.116 \ 0.223 \ 0.350 \ 0.299 \ 1.000 \ 0.299 \ 1.000 \ 0.299 \ $
F2.6																								1.000	0.388	0.289	0.223
F2.5																							1.000	0.454	0.257	0.334	0.116
F2.4																						1.000	0.436	0.157 0.108 0.205 0.076 0.044 0.158 0.176 0.082 0.127 0.216 0.137 0.129 0.097 0.170 0.439 0.444 0.408 0.454	0.269	0.132	0.112
F2.3																					1.000	0.382	0.341	0.444	0.177	0.171	0.165
F2.2																				1.000	0.113 0.126 0.777	0.135 0.078 0.406 0.382	0.113 0.365	0.439	0.233	0.261	0.157
F2.1																			1.000	0.047 0.129 1.000	0.126	0.078	0.113	0.170	0.049	0.181	0.182
D4.6																		1.000	0.081	0.047	0.113		0.201	0.097	0.098	0.088	0.011
D4.5																	1.000	0.299	0.047	0.112	0.127	0.022	0.082	0.129	0.040	0.037	-0.049
D4.4																0.329 1.000	0.143 0.118 -0.009 -0.067 0.126 0.166 0.274 0.257 0.208 1.000	0.322 0.177	0.059 -0.009 0.112 -0.030 -0.019 0.018 0.120 -0.090 0.111 0.100 -0.008 0.047	0.072 0.110 0.142 0.076 -0.014 0.077 0.107 0.061 0.044 0.063 0.173 0.112	$0.099 \ \ 0.050 \ \ 0.069 \ \ 0.054 \ \ -0.072 \ \ 0.083 \ \ 0.153 \ \ 0.077 \ \ 0.050 \ \ 0.036 \ \ 0.134 \ \ 0.127$	0.092 -0.030 -0.006 -0.021 0.049 0.094 0.169 0.168 0.244 0.128 0.022	0.114 0.098 0.018 0.133 0.279 0.112	0.137	0.123 0.125	0.077	0.102
D4.3															1.000	0.329	0.257		0.100	0.063	0.036	0.244	0.279	0.216	0.123	0.084	0.084
D4.2														1.000	0.578	0.026 0.388 0.358	0.274	0.334	0.111	0.044	0.050	0.168	0.133	0.127	0.104 0.076 0.140	0.092	0.071
DI.9 DI.10 DI.11 DI.12 D4.1													1.000	0.516	0.313	0.388	0.166	0.099 0.367	-0.090	0.061	0.077	0.169	0.018	0.082	0.076	0.033	0.026
D1.12												1.000	0.004	0.071	0.075	0.026	0.126		0.120	0.107	0.153	0.094	0.098	0.176		0.127	0.027
D1.11											1.000	-0.077	0.030	0.064	0.028	0.095	9-0.067	0.034	9 0.018	4 0.077	0.083	0.049		0.158	0.107	0.145	0.039
D1.10										1.000	0.330	860.0	0.000	0.067	0.108	0.215 0.001 0.095	-0.00	0.196 0.110 0.034	0-0.01	-0.01	-0.07	5-0.02	0.183	0.044	0.219	0.233	0.113
									1.000	0.143 0.166 1.000	0.223	0.233 0.196 0.126 -0.041 0.098 -0.077 1.000	0.062 0.112 -0.090 0.065 0.000 0.030 0.004 1.000	0.241 0.194 0.007 0.072 0.067 0.064 0.071 0.516 1.000	0.128 0.100 0.108 0.028 0.075 0.313		0.118	0.196	-0.03	0.076	0.054	00.0-	0.185 0.150 0.183	0.076	0.188	0.135	0.106
D1.8								1.000	0.388	0.143	0.201	0.126	-0.09	0.007	0.128	0.164	0.143	0.048	9 0.112	0.142	690'0 0	-0.03		0.205	0.106	0.208	0.123
D1.7						_	0.420 1.000	0.166	0.151	0.353 0.281	0.206	0.196	0.112	0.194	0.136	0.183	0.187	0.152	00.0-	0.110	0.050	0.092	0.194 0.054	0.108	0.121	90.0	0.072
D1.6					_	7 1.000	3 0.420	0.222	0.291	_	0.334	3 0.233			7 0.157	1 0.067	9 0.093	0.124	0.055		60.0	7 0.037		~	7 0.120	0.10	
D1.5					1.000	0.347	0.243	0.198 0.212	0.331	3 0.147	97.0	3 0.093	8 0.134	0.218	0.267	1 0.39	00.0-	3 0.179	0.085	960.0	0.110	0.067	0.128	0.173	0.00	0.087	990.0
D1.4				3 1.000	0.106 0.169 0.194 0.222 1.000	0.167 0.270 0.175 0.240 0.347	0.043 0.307 0.224 0.031 0.243		0.182 0.206 0.170	D1.10 0.158 0.152 0.210 0.223 0.147	0.200 0.205	D1.12 -0.019 0.128 0.097 0.203 0.093	D4.1 0.064 0.028 0.046 -0.018 0.134	0.016 0.136 0.008 0.070 0.218	0.034 0.053 0.063 0.180 0.267	0.128 0.123 0.038 0.074 0.39	D4.5 -0.093 0.206 0.046 0.004 -0.009 0.093 0.187	0.135 -0.011 -0.003 0.179	-0.075 0.006 0.121 0.080 0.085	0.105 0.061 0.081 0.073 0.096	0.093 0.105 0.066 0.099 0.110	F2.4 -0.116 0.015 0.027 0.102	0.106 0.035 0.113 0.209	0.054 0.041 0.124 0.146 0.173	0.126 0.171	0.085 0.118 0.083 0.101 0.08	0.038 0.086 0.044 0.049 0.066
D1.3			1.000	0.006 0.018	0.194	0.175	7 0.224	7 0.157	0.206	0.210	0.200	3 0.097	3 0.046	300.0	3 0.063	3 0.038	5 0.046	5 -0.01	5 0.121	0.081	5 0.06ε	5 0.027	5 0.113	0.124	5 0.12¢	3 0.083	0.044
D1.2		3 1.000	0.070 0.275 1.000		5 0.169	7 0.270	3 0.307	0.109 0.107 0.157	0.182	3 0.152	0.237	9 0.128	1 0.028	5 0.136	1 0.05	3 0.123	3 0.20€	0.13	₹ 0.00€	5 0.06	3 0.10	6 0.01	5 0.03	1 0.04	0.085	5 0.118	3 0.086
D1.1	1.000	901.0		1 0.082		0.167	-		0.141	0 0.158	1 0.150	2 -0.01	0.064	9.016			60.0-	0.010		-	_	-0.11	-		0.050	_	360.0
	D1.1	D1.2	D1.3	D1.4	D1.5	D1.6	D1.7	D1.8	D1.9	D1.1	D1.11	D1.1	D4.1	D4.2	D4.3	D4.4	D4.5	D4.6	F2.1	F2.2	F2.3	F2.4	F2.5	F2.6	F2.7	F2.8	F2.9

Note: the table reports correlation coefficients between: type of change (D.1), economic results (D.4) and behavioural changes (F.2). For the description of variables see the questionnaire (Appendix 1). Variables D.4 and F.2 have been recodified into binary response variables (where 1 = positive answer, e.g. agree/strongly agree). In grey correlations within variables' blocks.