

## CORRIGENDUM

### “CPT-TODIM METHOD FOR INTERVAL-VALUED BIPOLAR FUZZY MULTIPLE ATTRIBUTE GROUP DECISION MAKING AND APPLICATION TO INDUSTRIAL CONTROL SECURITY SERVICE PROVIDER SELECTION”

(<https://doi.org/10.3846/tede.2021.15044>)

Mengwei Zhao, Guiwu Wei, Yanfeng Guo, Xudong Chen, authors of the article “CPT-TODIM method for interval-valued bipolar fuzzy multiple attribute group decision making and application to industrial control security service provider selection”, published in *Technological and Economic Development of Economy*, 27(5), 1186–1206, inform that several errors occur in  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_3$  values, comprehensive predominance matrix  $\beta$ , and Table 8, which can be corrected as follows:

**In page 1199**,  $\sigma_1$  should be:

$$\sigma_1 = \left( \sigma_1(R_v, R_g) \right)_{5 \times 5} = \begin{matrix} & R_1 & R_2 & R_3 & R_4 & R_5 \\ \begin{matrix} R_1 \\ R_2 \\ R_3 \\ R_4 \\ R_5 \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0.0230 & 0.0650 & 0.0268 & 0.0778 \\ -0.8005 & 0 & 0.0473 & 0.0054 & 0.0607 \\ -2.2622 & -1.6473 & 0 & -1.5262 & 0.0180 \\ -0.9339 & -0.1869 & 0.0438 & 0 & 0.0577 \\ -2.7092 & -2.1118 & -0.6266 & -2.0083 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

**In page 1199**,  $\sigma_3$  should be:

$$\sigma_3 = \left( \sigma_3(R_v, R_g) \right)_{5 \times 5} = \begin{matrix} & R_1 & R_2 & R_3 & R_4 & R_5 \\ \begin{matrix} R_1 \\ R_2 \\ R_3 \\ R_4 \\ R_5 \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0.0146 & 0.0685 & 0.0092 & 0.0521 \\ -0.5681 & 0 & 0.0582 & -0.2534 & 0.0414 \\ -2.6705 & -2.2673 & 0 & -2.4312 & -0.8323 \\ -0.3604 & 0.0065 & 0.0624 & 0 & 0.0461 \\ -2.0325 & -1.6122 & 0.0214 & -1.7955 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

**In page 1199, in Step 6**, and the comprehensive predominance matrix  $\beta$  should be:

$$\beta = \left( \beta(R_v, R_g) \right)_{5 \times 5} = \begin{matrix} & R_1 & R_2 & R_3 & R_4 & R_5 \\ \begin{matrix} R_1 \\ R_2 \\ R_3 \\ R_4 \\ R_5 \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0.0679 & -0.9401 & 0.0763 & -0.3587 \\ -2.4723 & 0 & -1.3229 & -0.2299 & -0.7762 \\ -8.5483 & -7.0668 & 0 & -6.6658 & -3.9319 \\ -2.9292 & -0.9730 & -1.3313 & 0 & -1.3320 \\ -5.4320 & -3.8126 & -1.2846 & -3.7580 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

**In page 1202, Table 8 should be:**

Table 8. The outcomes of parameters  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\pi$  and  $\kappa$  varying separately

$\varepsilon$	$\pi = 0.88, \kappa = 0.88$		$\varepsilon = 2.25, \kappa = 0.88$		$\varepsilon = 2.25, \pi = 0.88$		
	NCPD	The order	$\pi$	NCPD	The order	$\kappa$	
1	$\chi(R_1) = 1$	$R_1 > R_2 > R_4 > R_5 > R_3$	0.1	$\chi(R_1) = 1$	$R_1 > R_2 > R_4 > R_5 > R_3$	0.1	$\chi(R_1) = 1$
	$\chi(R_2) = 0.8468$			$\chi(R_2) = 0.8298$			$\chi(R_2) = 0.5768$
	$\chi(R_3) = 0$			$\chi(R_3) = 0$			$\chi(R_3) = 0$
	$\chi(R_4) = 0.7761$			$\chi(R_4) = 0.7457$			$\chi(R_4) = 0.3189$
	$\chi(R_5) = 0.4679$			$\chi(R_5) = 0.4564$			$\chi(R_5) = 0.2321$
3	$\chi(R_1) = 1$	$R_1 > R_2 > R_4 > R_5 > R_3$	0.3	$\chi(R_1) = 1$	$R_1 > R_2 > R_4 > R_5 > R_3$	0.3	$\chi(R_1) = 1$
	$\chi(R_2) = 0.8560$			$\chi(R_2) = 0.8395$			$\chi(R_2) = 0.6886$
	$\chi(R_3) = 0$			$\chi(R_3) = 0$			$\chi(R_3) = 0$
	$\chi(R_4) = 0.7857$			$\chi(R_4) = 0.7639$			$\chi(R_4) = 0.5112$
	$\chi(R_5) = 0.4776$			$\chi(R_5) = 0.4645$			$\chi(R_5) = 0.3327$
5	$\chi(R_1) = 1$	$R_1 > R_2 > R_4 > R_5 > R_3$	0.5	$\chi(R_1) = 1$	$R_1 > R_2 > R_4 > R_5 > R_3$	0.5	$\chi(R_1) = 1$
	$\chi(R_2) = 0.8579$			$\chi(R_2) = 0.8468$			$\chi(R_2) = 0.7660$
	$\chi(R_3) = 0$			$\chi(R_3) = 0$			$\chi(R_3) = 0$
	$\chi(R_4) = 0.7876$			$\chi(R_4) = 0.7746$			$\chi(R_4) = 0.6403$
	$\chi(R_5) = 0.4795$			$\chi(R_5) = 0.4699$			$\chi(R_5) = 0.3990$
7	$\chi(R_1) = 1$	$R_1 > R_2 > R_4 > R_5 > R_3$	0.7	$\chi(R_1) = 1$	$R_1 > R_2 > R_4 > R_5 > R_3$	0.7	$\chi(R_1) = 1$
	$\chi(R_2) = 0.8587$			$\chi(R_2) = 0.8516$			$\chi(R_2) = 0.8200$
	$\chi(R_3) = 0$			$\chi(R_3) = 0$			$\chi(R_3) = 0$
	$\chi(R_4) = 0.7885$			$\chi(R_4) = 0.7807$			$\chi(R_4) = 0.7284$
	$\chi(R_5) = 0.4804$			$\chi(R_5) = 0.4736$			$\chi(R_5) = 0.4452$
9	$\chi(R_1) = 1$	$R_1 > R_2 > R_4 > R_5 > R_3$	0.9	$\chi(R_1) = 1$	$R_1 > R_2 > R_4 > R_5 > R_3$	0.9	$\chi(R_1) = 1$
	$\chi(R_2) = 0.8592$			$\chi(R_2) = 0.8547$			$\chi(R_2) = 0.8576$
	$\chi(R_3) = 0$			$\chi(R_3) = 0$			$\chi(R_3) = 0$
	$\chi(R_4) = 0.7889$			$\chi(R_4) = 0.7843$			$\chi(R_4) = 0.7892$
	$\chi(R_5) = 0.4809$			$\chi(R_5) = 0.4761$			$\chi(R_5) = 0.4789$

The authors regret the errors.