MATHEMATICAL MODELLING AND ANALYSIS VOLUME 13 NUMBER 2, 2008, PAGES 289–302 © 2008 Technika ISSN 1392-6292 print, ISSN 1648-3510 online

UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLES FOR THE KONTOROVICH-LEBEDEV TRANSFORM

S.B. YAKUBOVICH

Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Porto Campo Alegre st., 687 4169-007 Porto, Portugal E-mail: syakubov@fc.up.pt

Received September 30, 2007; revised November 22, 2007; published online May 1, 2008

Abstract. By using classical uncertainty principles for the Fourier transform and composition properties of the Kontorovich-Lebedev transform, analogs of the Hardy, Beurling, Cowling-Price, Gelfand-Shilov and Donoho-Stark theorems are obtained.

Key words: Kontorovich-Lebedev transform, Fourier transform, Laplace transform, modified Bessel functions, Hardy theorem, Cowling-Price theorem, Beurling theorem, Gelfand-Shilov theorem, Donoho-Stark theorem, uncertainty principle.

1 Introduction

The Kontorovich-Lebedev transformation is defined as follows (cf. [9, 15, 18, 19, 20])

$$K_{ix}[f] = \int_0^\infty K_{ix}(y)f(y) \, dy, \ x > 0.$$
 (1.1)

The kernel of the transformation (1.1) is a particular case of the modified Bessel function $K_{\mu}(z)$ [4], which in turn, is an independent solution of the differential equation

$$z^{2}\frac{d^{2}u}{dz^{2}} + z\frac{du}{dz} - (z^{2} + \mu^{2})u = 0.$$

When $\mu = ix$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, z = y > 0, then $K_{ix}[f]$ is real valued and even function with respect to x. If $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+; y \, dy)$, then $K_{ix}[f] \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+; x \sinh \pi x \, dx)$ (see [18, 19]), and the Parseval formula holds

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} x \sinh(\pi x) |K_{ix}[f]|^2 dx = \frac{\pi^2}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} |f(y)|^2 y \, dy.$$
(1.2)

In this case integral (1.1) converges in the mean square sense and can be written, making necessary truncations at zero and infinity. Moreover, the inverse

transform has the form

$$yf(y) = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty x \sinh \pi x K_{ix}(y) K_{ix}[f] dx,$$
 (1.3)

where integral (1.3) is in the mean square sense with the necessary truncation at infinity.

On the other hand, if $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+; K_0(y) dy)$, where $K_0(y)$ is the modified Bessel function of the index zero, then inversion formula (1.3) can be interpreted at each Lebesgue point of f (see in [20]) as

$$yf(y) = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \lim_{\alpha \to \frac{\pi}{2}-} \int_0^\infty x \sinh \alpha x \cosh \frac{\pi x}{2} K_{ix}(y) K_{ix}[f] \, dx. \tag{1.4}$$

If also $K_{ix}[f] \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+; x \cosh \frac{\pi x}{2} dx)$, then we can pass to the limit in (1.4) under the integral sign and we get (1.3) in Lebesgue integrable sense.

The modified Bessel function has the following asymptotic behavior

$$K_{\mu}(z) = \left(\frac{\pi}{2z}\right)^{1/2} e^{-z} [1 + O(1/z)], \qquad z \to \infty, \tag{1.5}$$

and near the origin

$$z^{|\text{Re}\mu|}K_{\mu}(z) = 2^{\mu-1}\Gamma(\mu) + o(1), \quad z \to 0, \ \mu \neq 0, \tag{1.6}$$

$$K_0(z) = -\log z + O(1), \ z \to 0.$$
 (1.7)

Meanwhile, when x is restricted to any compact subset of \mathbf{R}_+ and τ tends to infinity we have the following asymptotic (see, [18], p. 20)

$$K_{i\tau}(x) = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\tau}\right)^{1/2} e^{-\pi\tau/2} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \tau \log\frac{2\tau}{x} - \tau\right) \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right)\right], \quad \tau \to \infty.$$
(1.8)

The modified Bessel function can be represented by the integrals of the Fourier and Mellin types [4, 12, 15, 18, 20], respectively

$$K_{\mu}(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x \cosh u} \cosh \mu u \, du, \qquad (1.9)$$

$$K_{\mu}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{\mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t - \frac{x^{2}}{4t}} t^{-\mu - 1} dt,$$

$$\sinh \frac{\pi \tau}{2} K_{i\tau}(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \sin(x \sinh u) \sin \tau u du, \qquad (1.10)$$

$$\cosh \frac{\pi \tau}{2} K_{i\tau}(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \cos(x \sinh u) \cos \tau u du.$$

The main aim of the paper is to establish the so-called uncertainty principles for the operator (1.1), which say that a nonzero original and its image under transformation (1.1) cannot be simultaneously too small in the pointwise or integrable decay. This comes as a generalization of the classical Heisenberg

290

uncertainty principle. It was extended to the Fourier transform in [5, 6, 7, 11]. The corresponding principles have been proved also for the Jacobi transform [2, 10], the Y-transform [1], the Dunkl transform [13] and recently for the Hankel transform [14, 17].

The structure of the paper is as follows: in Section 2 we will prove Hardy's type theorem for the Kontorovich-Lebedev transformation, which will give as a corollary the corresponding Hardy uncertainty principle. Section 3 of the paper will be devoted to the Beurling, Cowling-Price and Gelfand-Shilov theorems. Finally in Section 4 we will prove the Donoho-Stark theorem.

2 Hardy Uncertainty Principle

Hardy's classical theorem for the Fourier transform [6, 16] says, that if $|f(y)| \leq Ce^{-ay^2}$ and $|(F_c f)(x)| \leq Ce^{-\frac{x^2}{4a}}$, a > 0, then f(y) is a multiple of e^{-ay^2} . Here C > 0 is a universal constant, which is different in distinct places and

$$(F_c f)(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty f(y) \cos(xy) \, dy,$$
 (2.1)

is the cosine Fourier transform.

Let us suppose that transformation (1.1) admits the following series expansion with respect to an index of the modified Bessel functions

$$K_{ix}[f] = \frac{C}{\cosh(\pi x/2)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \left[K_{i(\frac{x}{2}+n)} \left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + K_{i(\frac{x}{2}-n)} \left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \right], \ a > 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| < \infty$. We have

Theorem 1. Let $K_{ix}[f]$ satisfy (2.2) and $|f(y)| \leq Ce^{-\frac{y^2}{4a}}$. Then f(y) is a multiple of $e^{-\frac{y^2}{4a}}$.

Proof. Taking (1.9), we find

$$K_{i\left(\frac{x}{2}+n\right)}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{a}{2}\cosh u} \cos\left(\frac{x}{2}+n\right) u \, du. \tag{2.3}$$

Hence $\left|K_{i(\frac{x}{2}+n)}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)\right| \leq K_0\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)$, and clearly series (2.2) is uniformly convergent on \mathbb{R}_+ . Moreover, we can calculate the cosine Fourier transform of the function $\cosh(\pi x/2)K_{ix}[f]$ by changing the order of integration and summation. Indeed, using (2.3) we obtain

$$F_c(\cosh\left(\frac{\pi t}{2}\right)K_{it}[f])(x) = C\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \int_0^{\infty} \left[K_{i\left(\frac{t}{2}+n\right)}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + K_{i\left(\frac{t}{2}-n\right)}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)\right] \cos(xt) dt$$
$$= \frac{C}{2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[K_{i\left(\frac{t}{2}-n\right)}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + K_{i\left(\frac{t}{2}+n\right)}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)\right] e^{ixt} dt$$
$$= 2\pi C e^{-\frac{a}{2}\cosh(2x)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \cos(2xn).$$

Therefore

$$|F_c(\cosh(\pi t/2)K_{it}[f])(x)| \le Ce^{-\frac{a}{2}\cosh(2x)} = O\left(e^{-a\sinh^2 x}\right).$$

Further, it is easily seen under conditions of the theorem and asymptotic behavior of the modified Bessel function (1.5), (1.7), that $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+; K_0(y) \, dy)$. Moreover, by virtue of the asymptotic formula with respect to an index (1.8), we verify that $K_{ix}[f] \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+; x \cosh \frac{\pi x}{2} \, dx)$. Consequently, calling (1.3), (1.4) we find

$$yf(y) = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty x \sinh \frac{\pi x}{2} \cosh \frac{\pi x}{2} K_{ix}(y) K_{ix}[f] \, dx.$$
(2.4)

However, since $\sinh \frac{\pi x}{2} K_{ix}(y)$ is bounded for any y > 0 (see (1.8)), we take the representation (1.10) and substitute it in (2.4). As a result we obtain

$$yf(y) = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \lim_{N \to \infty} \int_0^N x \cosh \frac{\pi x}{2} K_{ix}[f] \int_0^\infty \sin(y \sinh u) \sin(xu) \, du \, dx$$
$$= \frac{4}{\pi^2} \lim_{N \to \infty} \int_0^N x \cosh \frac{\pi x}{2} K_{ix}[f] \int_0^\infty \sin(yv) \sin(x \log(v + \sqrt{v^2 + 1})) \frac{dv \, dx}{\sqrt{v^2 + 1}}.$$

Via Abel's test we observe, that the latter integral is uniformly convergent with respect to $x \in [0, N]$. Thus inverting the order of integration, we come out with

$$yf(y) = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \lim_{N \to \infty} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(yv)}{\sqrt{v^2 + 1}} \int_0^N x \cosh \frac{\pi x}{2} K_{ix}[f] \sin(x \log(v + \sqrt{v^2 + 1})) \, dx \, dv.$$
(2.5)

Moreover, the integrability condition $K_{ix}[f] \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+; x \cosh \frac{\pi x}{2} dx)$ and the Abel test allow us to pass to the limit under the integral sign in (2.5). Hence returning to the old variables we get

$$yf(y) = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \sin(y \sinh u) \int_0^\infty x \cosh \frac{\pi x}{2} K_{ix}[f] \sin(ux) \, dx \, du$$
$$= -\frac{4}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \sin(y \sinh u) \frac{d}{du} \int_0^\infty \cosh \frac{\pi x}{2} K_{ix}[f] \cos(ux) \, dx \, du. \quad (2.6)$$

We note, that the differentiation under the integral sign in (2.6) is motivated by the uniform convergence by $u \in \mathbb{R}_+$ of the latter integral with respect to x. Hence, integrating by parts in (2.6) and eliminating the outer terms owing to the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma we take into account (2.1) to derive the representation

$$f(y) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\pi\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \cos(y\sinh u) \cosh u F_c(\cosh(\pi t/2)K_{it}[f])(u) du$$

Appealing to the above estimates and the value of an elementary integral, we

292

find for any complex variable z, |z| = r,

$$|f(z)| < C \int_0^\infty \cosh(r \sinh u) \cosh u |F_c(\cosh(\pi t/2)K_{it}[f])(u)| du$$
$$\leq C \int_0^\infty \cosh(r \sinh u) \ e^{-a \sinh^2 u} \cosh u \, du$$
$$= C \int_0^\infty \cosh(rt) e^{-at^2} \, dt = C e^{\frac{r^2}{4a}}.$$

Thus $f(\sqrt{z})$ is an entire function, which is $O(e^{\frac{|z|}{4a}})$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $f(\sqrt{y}) = O(e^{-\frac{y}{4a}}), y \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Therefore according to [16], Theorem 128, $f(y) = Ce^{-\frac{y^2}{4a}}$. Theorem 1 is proved. \Box

Corollary 1. Under conditions of Theorem 1

$$K_{ix}[f] = C \operatorname{sech}(\pi x/2) K_{ix/2}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) = O(e^{-\frac{3\pi}{4}x}), \quad x \to +\infty.$$

Proof. Indeed, substituting the value $f(y) = Ce^{-\frac{y^2}{4a}}$ into (1.1) we just call the relation (2.16.8.3) from [12], Vol. 2, to get the result. The required asymptotic behavior at infinity immediately follows from (1.8). Corollary 1 is proved. \Box

Remark 1. As we see, $K_{ix}[f]$ from the corollary admits the representation (2.2) with $\alpha_0 \neq 0$, $\alpha_n = 0$, n = 1, 2...

As a consequence we are ready to state an analog of the Hardy uncertainty principle for the Kontorovich-Lebedev transformation (1.1).

Corollary 2. Let $|f(y)| \leq Ce^{-by^2}$, $b > \frac{1}{4a}$. Then f(y) = 0.

This principle can be formulated in terms of composition $F_c(\cosh(\pi t/2)K_{it}[f])$.

Corollary 3. One cannot have both

$$|f(y)| \le Ce^{-ay^2}, \ a > 0, \ |F_c(\cosh(\pi t/2)K_{it}[f])(x)| \le Ce^{-b\sinh^2 x}, \ b > 0,$$

where $ab > \frac{1}{4}$ unless f(y) = 0.

As a consequence of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 we get

Corollary 4. Let
$$|f(y)| \leq Ce^{-ay^2}$$
, $a > 0$ and $|F_c(\cosh(\pi t/2)K_{it}[f])(x)|$
 $\leq Ce^{-b\sinh^2 x}$, $b > 0$, where $0 < ab \leq \frac{1}{4}$. If $|K_{ix}[f]| \leq Ce^{-cx}$, $x > 0$,
 $c > \frac{3\pi}{4}$, then $f(y) = 0$.

3 Beurling, Cowling-Price and Gelfand-Shilov Theorems

The Beurling condition related to the cosine Fourier transform (2.1) says (cf. [7]), that if $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+; dy)$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f(y)(F_c f)(x)| e^{xy} \, dx \, dy < \infty, \tag{3.1}$$

then f = 0. Here we will prove an analog of the Beurling theorem for the Kontorovich-Lebedev transformation (1.1).

Theorem 2. Let $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+; K_0(y) dy)$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f(y)K_{ix}[f]| K_x(y) \, dx \, dy < \infty, \tag{3.2}$$

then f = 0.

Proof. We can assume that $f(y) \neq 0$ on a set of the positive measure $K_0(y)dy$, for otherwise there is nothing to prove. Since representation (1.9) for the modified Bessel function yields the inequality $K_x(y) \geq K_0(y)$, condition (3.2) implies

$$\infty > \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f(y)K_{ix}[f]| K_x(y) \, dx \, dy \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f(y)| K_0(y) \, dy \, \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |K_{ix}[f]| \, dx.$$

Therefore $K_{ix}[f] \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+; dx)$. The latter condition guarantees the existence of the cosine Fourier transform of $K_{ix}[f]$. We will show that

$$(F_c K_{it}[f])(\lambda) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-y \cosh \lambda} f(y) \, dy.$$
(3.3)

Indeed, denoting by $h(\lambda)$ the right-hand side of (3.3) we find

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |h(\lambda)| \ d\lambda \le \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} e^{-y \cosh \lambda} |f(y)| \ dy \ d\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f(y)| K_0(y) \ dy < \infty.$$

So $h \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+; d\lambda)$ and $(F_ch)(x)$ can be now easily calculated by using (1.9) and Fubini's theorem. Thus we obtain

$$(F_ch)(x) = \int_0^\infty \cos x\lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-y\cosh\lambda} f(y) \, dy \, d\lambda = \int_0^\infty K_{ix}(y)f(y) \, dy K_{ix}[f].$$

Since $K_{ix}[f] \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+; dx)$ the inversion theorem for the cosine Fourier transform gives $(F_c K_{it}[f])(\lambda) = h(\lambda)$ and we establish equality (3.3).

Let us verify the Beurling condition (3.1) for $K_{ix}[f]$, $(F_c K_{it}[f])$. We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |K_{ix}[f](F_{c}K_{it}[f])(\lambda)| e^{x\lambda} \, dx \, d\lambda < \sqrt{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |K_{ix}[f]| \cosh x\lambda$$
$$\times \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-y\cosh\lambda} |f(y)| \, dy \, dx \, d\lambda \sqrt{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |f(y)K_{ix}[f]| \, K_{x}(y) \, dx \, dy < \infty.$$

Thus $K_{ix}[f] = 0$. Combining with (3.3) the latter condition yields

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-y\cosh\lambda} f(y) \, dy = 0, \ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$$
(3.4)

for any $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+; K_0(y) dy)$. We will show that in this case f = 0. In fact, choosing any $\lambda_0 > 1$ we treat the left-hand side of equality (3.4) as the Laplace integral $(Lf)(\cosh \lambda)$, where

$$(Lf)(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-yz} f(y) \, dy,$$

which is zero via (3.4) at least at the countable set of points satisfying the condition $\cosh \lambda_n = \lambda_0 + jn, \ j > 0, n = 1, 2, \dots$. Moreover, since (see (1.5), (1.7))

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-y\cosh\lambda_n} |f(y)| \, dy < \infty, \ n = 1, 2, \dots ,$$

then by virtue of [3], Chapter I we get that f(y) = 0 almost for all $y \in \mathbb{R}_+$, i.e. f = 0 in the Lebesgue sense. Theorem 2 is proved. \Box

Let us prove an analog of the Gelfand-Shilov uncertainty principle for the transformation (1.1). Indeed, it was shown in [5] that if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |f(y)| e^{(ay)^{p}/p} \, dy < \infty, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |(F_{c}f)(x)| e^{(bx)^{q}/q} \, dx < \infty,$$

with $1 < p, q < \infty$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ and ab > 1/4, then f = 0. We have accordingly

Theorem 3. Let $1 < p, q < \infty$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, [q] be an integer part of q and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |f(y^{2})| e^{\frac{(2([q]+1))!}{4y^{2}}} dy < \infty, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |K_{ix}[f]| e^{x^{p}/p} dx < \infty.$$
(3.5)

Then f = 0.

Proof. By using the Young inequality $xy \leq \frac{x^p}{p} + \frac{y^q}{q}$ and representation (1.9) for the modified Bessel function we derive

$$K_{x}(y) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-y\cosh u} \cosh xu du \le \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-y\cosh u + xu} du$$
$$\le e^{x^{p}/p} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-y\cosh u + \frac{u^{q}}{q}} du = e^{x^{p}/p} \left(\int_{0}^{1} + \int_{1}^{\infty}\right) e^{-y\cosh u + \frac{u^{q}}{q}} du. \quad (3.6)$$

Meanwhile,

$$\int_{0}^{1} e^{-y \cosh u + u^{q}/q} du < e \int_{0}^{1} e^{-y \cosh u} du < eK_{0}(y),$$
$$\int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-y \cosh u + u^{q}/q} du < ([q] + 1) \int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-y \cosh u + u^{[q]+1}} u^{[q]} du.$$

S.B. Yakubovich

Therefore an elementary inequality $\cosh u > \frac{u^{2([q]+1)}}{(2([q]+1))!}$ gives the following estimation of the latter integral

$$\begin{split} \int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-y\cosh u + \frac{u^{q}}{q}} du &< ([q]+1) \int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-y\cosh u + u^{[q]+1}} u^{[q]} \, du \\ &< ([q]+1) \int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{yu^{2}([q]+1)}{(2([q]+1))!} + u^{[q]+1}} u^{[q]} du \\ &= \int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{yv^{2}}{(2([q]+1))!} + v} \, dv < \frac{C}{\sqrt{y}} e^{(2([q]+1))!/(4y)}. \end{split}$$

Combining with (3.6) and taking into account the asymptotic formulas (1.5), (1.7), we obtain the estimate

$$e^{-x^p/p}K_x(y) < eK_0(y) + \frac{C}{\sqrt{y}}e^{(2([q]+1))!/(4y)} < \frac{C}{\sqrt{y}}e^{(2([q]+1))!/(4y)}.$$

Consequently, with conditions (3.2), (3.5) and since via (3.5) we have that $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+; K_0(y) \, dy)$, it yields

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |f(y)K_{ix}[f]| K_{x}(y) \, dx \, dy \\ & < C \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |K_{ix}[f]| \, e^{x^{p}/p} \, dx \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |f(y)| e^{(2([q]+1))!/(4y)} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{y}} \\ & = C \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |K_{ix}[f]| \, e^{x^{p}/p} \, dx \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |f(y^{2})| e^{(2([q]+1))!/(4y^{2})} \, dy < \infty. \end{split}$$

Applying Theorem 2 we get that f = 0. Theorem 3 is proved. \Box

Finally in this section we establish the Cowling-Price theorem for the Kontorovich-Lebedev transform (1.1). This will be an analog of the following result for the Fourier transform (2.1) (cf. [11]: if $1 \le p, q < \infty$ and

$$\left\|e^{ax^2}f(x)\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)} + \left\|e^{b\lambda^2}(F_cf)(\lambda)\right\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+)} < \infty$$

with ab > 1/4, then f = 0. We have

Theorem 4. If

$$\left\| e^{ax^2} K_{ix}[f] \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)} < \infty, \quad \left\| e^{6b^2/y^2} f(y^2) \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)} < \infty,$$

where $p \in [1, \infty)$ and ab > 1/4, then f = 0.

Proof. Indeed, choosing a_0, b_0 such that $0 < a_0 < a \ 0 < b_0 < b$, $a_0b_0 > 1/4$ we easily find, that

$$a_0 x^2 + b_0 y^2 \ge 2\sqrt{a_0 b_0} \ xy \ge xy.$$

Furthermore, with the Hölder inequality it gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |K_{ix}[f]| e^{a_0 x^2} dx \le \left\| e^{a x^2} K_{ix}[f] \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)} \left\| e^{-(a-a_0) x^2} \right\|_{L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+)} < \infty,$$

where p' is the conjugate exponent $(p^{-1} + p'^{-1} = 1)$. Taking (3.2) we deduce similar to (3.6)

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |f(y)K_{ix}[f]| K_{x}(y) \, dx \, dy \\ < \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |K_{ix}[f]| \, e^{a_{0}x^{2}} \, dx \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |f(y)| \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-y \cosh u + b_{0}u^{2}} \, du \, dy \\ < C \left\| e^{ax^{2}} K_{ix}[f] \right\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R}_{+})} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |f(y)| \left(\int_{0}^{1} + \int_{1}^{\infty} \right) e^{-y \cosh u + b_{0}u^{2}} \, du \, dy. \end{split}$$

But

$$\left(\int_{0}^{1} + \int_{1}^{\infty}\right) e^{-y\cosh u + b_{0}u^{2}} du < CK_{0}(y) + 2\int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-y\frac{u^{4}}{4!} + bu^{2}} u \, du$$
$$= CK_{0}(y) + \int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-y\frac{u^{2}}{4!} + bv} dv < C\frac{e^{6b^{2}/y}}{\sqrt{y}}.$$

Hence as in Theorem 3

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |f(y)K_{ix}[f]| K_{x}(y) \, dx \, dy &< C \left\| e^{ax^{2}} K_{ix}[f] \right\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R}_{+})} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |f(y)| \frac{e^{6b^{2}/y}}{\sqrt{y}} \, dy \\ &= C \left\| e^{ax^{2}} K_{ix}[f] \right\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R}_{+})} \left\| e^{6b^{2}/y^{2}} f(y^{2}) \right\|_{L_{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+})} &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the Beurling type condition (3.2) holds, and by virtue of Theorem 2 f = 0. Theorem 4 is proved. \Box

4 Donoho-Stark Theorem

It is shown in [19], when $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+; y \, dy)$, then $K_{ix}[f] \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+; x \sinh \pi x \, dx)$ and vice versa. Moreover, by virtue of (1.2)

$$\|K_{ix}[f]\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+;x\sinh\pi x\,dx)} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \|f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+;y\,dy)}$$

and the Kontorovich-Lebedev integrals (1.1), (1.3) can be interpreted accordingly in the mean convergence sense with respect to the related norm

$$K_{ix}[f] \equiv g(x) = \text{l.i.m.}_{N \to \infty} \int_{1/N}^{N} K_{ix}(y) f(y) \, dy,$$

$$f(y) = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \text{l.i.m.}_{N \to \infty} \int_{0}^{N} x \sinh \pi x \frac{K_{ix}(y)}{y} K_{ix}[f] \, dx.$$
(4.1)

Let $\mathbb{X} = [0, X]$, $\mathbb{Y} = [1/Y, Y]$ the Lebesgue measurable sets and $|\mathbb{X}|$, $|\mathbb{Y}|$ be their Lebesgue measures. Denoting by $P_{\mathbb{X}}$ the operator

$$(P_{\mathbb{X}}g)(x) = \begin{cases} g(x), & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{X}, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{X}, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$||g - P_{\mathbb{X}}g||_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+;x\sinh\pi x\,dx)} \le \varepsilon_{\mathbb{X}}$$

and this means that g is $\varepsilon_{\mathbb{X}}$ -concentrated on the set \mathbb{X} . Plainly $||P_{\mathbb{X}}|| = 1$. Another auxiliary operator is given by the formula

$$(Q_{\mathbb{Y}}g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{Y}} K_{ix}(y)f(y)\,dy,$$

where f is the reciprocal inverse Kontorovich-Lebedev transform (4.1). If $h = Q_{\mathbb{Y}}g$ the transform (4.1) $\hat{h}(y)$ is equal to

$$\hat{h}(y) = \begin{cases} f(y), & \text{if } y \in \mathbb{Y}, \\ 0, & \text{if } y \notin \mathbb{Y}. \end{cases}$$

Meanwhile by Parseval's equality (1.2) we find

$$\left\| f - \hat{h} \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+; y \, dy)} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \left\| g - Q_{\mathbb{Y}} g \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+; x \sinh \pi x \, dx)}, \tag{4.2}$$

and f is ε -concentrated on \mathbb{Y} if, and only if, $\|g - Q_{\mathbb{Y}}g\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+;x \sinh \pi x \, dx)} \leq \varepsilon_{\mathbb{Y}}$. Moreover, we can show that $\|Q_{\mathbb{Y}}\| = 1$.

Now we are ready to prove the following analog of the Donoho-Stark uncertainty principle (cf. [8]).

Theorem 5. Let g is $\varepsilon_{\mathbb{X}}$ -concentrated on $\mathbb{X} = [0, X]$ and its Kontorovich-Lebedev reciprocity f is $\varepsilon_{\mathbb{Y}}$ -concentrated on $\mathbb{Y} = [1/Y, Y]$. Then

$$|\mathbb{X}|^{3/2} \ |\mathbb{Y}| \ge \frac{\pi^{7/4}\sqrt{24}}{\Gamma^2(1/4)} (1 - (\varepsilon_{\mathbb{X}}^2 + \varepsilon_{\mathbb{Y}}^2)^{1/2})^2, \tag{4.3}$$

where $\Gamma(z)$ is Euler's gamma-function.

Proof. Without loss of generality we suppose that Y > 1. Since g is $\varepsilon_{\mathbb{X}}$ concentrated on \mathbb{X} integral (1.3) exists as a Lebesgue integral and is uniformly
convergent with respect to $y \in \mathbb{Y}$. Hence we calculate the following composition
of operators $(P_{\mathbb{X}}Q_{\mathbb{Y}}g)(x)$. Indeed, we derive

$$(P_{\mathbb{X}}Q_{\mathbb{Y}}g)(x) = \frac{2}{\pi^2} P_{\mathbb{X}} \int_{\mathbb{Y}} \frac{K_{ix}(y)}{y} \int_0^\infty t \sinh \pi t K_{it}(y)g(t) dt dy$$
$$= \frac{2}{\pi^2} P_{\mathbb{X}} \int_0^\infty t \sinh \pi t g(t) \int_{\mathbb{Y}} K_{ix}(y) K_{it}(y) \frac{dy}{y} dt = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{K}(x,t)g(t) dt,$$

298

where

$$\mathcal{K}(x,t) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\pi^2} t \sinh \pi t \int_{\mathbb{Y}} K_{ix}(y) K_{it}(y) \frac{dy}{y}, & \text{if } x < X, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \ge X. \end{cases}$$

Further,

$$\|P_{\mathbb{X}}Q_{\mathbb{Y}}g\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+;x\sinh\pi x\,dx)} \le \|P_{\mathbb{X}}Q_{\mathbb{Y}}\|\,\|g\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+;x\sinh\pi x\,dx)}$$

and the norm of composition $P_{\mathbb{X}}Q_{\mathbb{Y}}$ does not exceed its Hilbert-Schmidt norm, which is equal to

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty |\mathcal{K}(x,t)|^2 \frac{x \sinh \pi x}{t \sinh \pi t} \, dt \, dx\right)^{1/2}.$$

Therefore,

$$||P_{\mathbb{X}}Q_{\mathbb{Y}}||^{2}_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+};x\sinh\pi x\,dx)} \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{K}(x,t)|^{2} \frac{x\sinh\pi x}{t\sinh\pi t}\,dt\,dx$$
$$= \int_{0}^{X} \int_{0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{K}(x,t)|^{2} \frac{x\sinh\pi x}{t\sinh\pi t}\,dt\,dx.$$
(4.4)

But the inner integral with respect to t in (4.4) can be calculated by the Parseval equality (1.2), regarding $\frac{\mathcal{K}(x,t)}{t\sinh \pi t}$ as the Kontorovich-Lebedev transform (1.1) of

$$\varphi(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{K_{ix}(y)}{y}, & \text{if } y \in \mathbb{Y}, \\ 0, & \text{if } y \notin \mathbb{Y}. \end{cases}$$

Consequently,

$$\int_0^\infty |\mathcal{K}(x,t)|^2 \frac{dt}{t \sinh \pi t} = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{Y}} K_{ix}^2(y) \frac{dy}{y}$$

and we come out with

$$\|P_{\mathbb{X}}Q_{\mathbb{Y}}\|^2_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+;x\sinh\pi x\,dx)} \le \frac{2}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{X}} \int_{\mathbb{Y}} x\sinh\pi x K^2_{ix}(y) \frac{dy}{y} dx.$$
(4.5)

Let us estimate the right-hand side of (4.5). Applying twice the Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$\frac{2}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{X}} \int_{\mathbb{Y}} x \sinh \pi x K_{ix}^2(y) \frac{dy}{y} dx \le \frac{2}{\pi^2} \left(Y - \frac{1}{Y} \right)^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{X}} x \sinh \pi x \left(\int_{\mathbb{Y}} K_{ix}^4(y) \, dy \right)^{1/2} dx \\ \le \frac{2}{\pi^2 \sqrt{3}} |\mathbb{X}|^{3/2} \sqrt{|\mathbb{Y}|} \left(\int_{\mathbb{X}} \int_{\mathbb{Y}} \sinh^2 \pi x K_{ix}^4(y) \, dy \, dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

On the other hand by relation (2.16.52.17) from [12] Vol. 2, and the Parseval equality for the sine Fourier transform we find

$$\int_0^\infty \sinh^2 \pi x K_{ix}^4(y) \, dx = \frac{\pi^3}{8} \int_0^\infty J_0^2 \left(2y \sinh(u/2) \right) du,$$

where $J_0(z)$ is the Bessel function of the first kind. Consequently, employing relation (2.12.31.2) from [12] Vol. 2, and the Hölder inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{\pi^2\sqrt{3}} |\mathbb{X}|^{3/2} \sqrt{|\mathbb{Y}|} \left(\int_{\mathbb{X}} \int_{\mathbb{Y}} \sinh^2 \pi x K_{ix}^4(y) \, dy \, dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{6\pi}} |\mathbb{X}|^{3/2} \sqrt{|\mathbb{Y}|} \left(\int_{\mathbb{Y}} \int_0^\infty J_0^2 \left(2y \sinh(u/2) \right) \, du \, dy \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3\pi}} |\mathbb{X}|^{3/2} \sqrt{|\mathbb{Y}|} \left(\int_{\mathbb{Y}} \int_0^\infty J_0^2 \left(v \right) \frac{dv \, dy}{\sqrt{v^2 + 4y^2}} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{|\mathbb{X}|^{3/2} \sqrt{|\mathbb{Y}|}}{\sqrt{6\pi}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{Y}} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{y}} \int_0^\infty J_0^2 \left(v \right) \frac{dv}{\sqrt{v}} \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma^2(1/4)}{2\pi^{7/4}\sqrt{6}} |\mathbb{X}|^{3/2} \sqrt{|\mathbb{Y}|} \left(\int_{\mathbb{Y}} \frac{dy}{y^2} \right)^{1/8} = \frac{\Gamma^2(1/4)}{2\pi^{7/4}\sqrt{6}} |\mathbb{X}|^{3/2} |\mathbb{Y}|^{7/8} \left(\int_{\mathbb{Y}} \frac{dy}{y^2} \right)^{1/8} = \frac{\Gamma^2(1/4)}{2\pi^{7/4}\sqrt{6}} |\mathbb{X}|^{3/2} |\mathbb{Y}|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus combining with (4.4) we derive finally the inequality

$$\|P_{\mathbb{X}}Q_{\mathbb{Y}}\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+};x\sinh\pi x\,dx)} \leq \frac{\Gamma(1/4)}{\sqrt{2\sqrt{6}\pi^{7/8}}} |\mathbb{X}|^{3/4} |\mathbb{Y}|^{1/2}.$$

But $||P_{\mathbb{X}}Q_{\mathbb{Y}}||_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+;x \sinh \pi x \, dx)} < 1$, and therefore, $I - P_{\mathbb{X}}Q_{\mathbb{Y}}$ is invertible in $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+;x \sinh \pi x \, dx)$ when $|\mathbb{X}|^{3/4} |\mathbb{Y}|^{1/2} < \frac{\sqrt{2\sqrt{6}\pi^{7/8}}}{\Gamma(1/4)}$. Moreover,

$$\|(I - P_{\mathbb{X}}Q_{\mathbb{Y}})^{-1}\| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|P_{\mathbb{X}}Q_{\mathbb{Y}}\|^n \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\Gamma(1/4)}{\sqrt{2\sqrt{6}\pi^{7/8}}} |\mathbb{X}|^{3/4} |\mathbb{Y}|^{1/2}\right]^n$$
$$= \frac{\sqrt{2\sqrt{6}\pi^{7/8}}}{\sqrt{2\sqrt{6}\pi^{7/8}} - \Gamma(1/4) |\mathbb{X}|^{3/4} |\mathbb{Y}|^{1/2}}.$$

However,

$$I = P_{\mathbb{X}} + P_{\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \mathbb{X}} P_{\mathbb{X}} Q_{\mathbb{Y}} + P_{\mathbb{X}} Q_{\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \mathbb{Y}} + P_{\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \mathbb{X}}$$

and the orthogonality $P_{\mathbb{X}}$ and $P_{\mathbb{R}_+ \backslash \mathbb{X}}$ gives

$$\begin{split} \|P_{\mathbb{X}}Q_{\mathbb{R}_{+}\setminus\mathbb{Y}}g\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+};x\sinh\pi x\,dx)}^{2} + \|P_{\mathbb{R}_{+}\setminus\mathbb{X}}g\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+};x\sinh\pi x\,dx)}^{2} \\ &= \|P_{\mathbb{X}}Q_{\mathbb{R}_{+}\setminus\mathbb{Y}}g + P_{\mathbb{R}_{+}\setminus\mathbb{X}}g\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+};x\sinh\pi x\,dx)}^{2}. \end{split}$$

Taking into account that $||P_{\mathbb{X}}|| = 1$ we find

$$\|g\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+;x\sinh\pi x\,dx)}^2 \le \|(I - P_{\mathbb{X}}Q_{\mathbb{Y}})^{-1}\|^2 \|(I - P_{\mathbb{X}}Q_{\mathbb{Y}})g\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+;x\sinh\pi x\,dx)}^2$$

Uncertainty Principles for the Kontorovich-Lebedev Transform 301

$$\leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\sqrt{6}\pi^{7/8}}}{\sqrt{2\sqrt{6}\pi^{7/8}} - \Gamma(1/4)|\mathbb{X}|^{3/4}|\mathbb{Y}|^{1/2}}\right)^2 \left[\|P_{\mathbb{X}}Q_{\mathbb{R}_+\setminus\mathbb{Y}}g\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+;x\sinh\pi x\,dx)}^2 + \|P_{\mathbb{R}_+\setminus\mathbb{X}}g\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+;x\sinh\pi x\,dx)}^2 \right] \leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\sqrt{6}\pi^{7/8}}}{\sqrt{2\sqrt{6}\pi^{7/8}} - \Gamma(1/4)|\mathbb{X}|^{3/4}|\mathbb{Y}|^{1/2}}\right)^2 \\ \times \left[\|Q_{\mathbb{R}_+\setminus\mathbb{Y}}g\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+;x\sinh\pi x\,dx)}^2 + \|P_{\mathbb{R}_+\setminus\mathbb{X}}g\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+;x\sinh\pi x\,dx)}^2\right].$$

Now since g is $\varepsilon_{\mathbb{X}}$ -concentrated then $\|P_{\mathbb{R}_+\setminus\mathbb{X}}g\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+;x\sinh\pi xdx)} \leq \varepsilon_{\mathbb{X}}$. Furthermore, since f is $\varepsilon_{\mathbb{Y}}$ -concentrated then owing to (4.2) we have the estimate $\|Q_{\mathbb{R}_+\setminus\mathbb{Y}}g\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+;x\sinh\pi xdx)} \leq \varepsilon_{\mathbb{Y}}$. Therefore considering g of unit norm we arrive at the inequality

$$1 \le \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\sqrt{6}\pi^{7/8}}}{\sqrt{2\sqrt{6}\pi^{7/8}} - \Gamma(1/4)|\mathbb{X}|^{3/4}|\mathbb{Y}|^{1/2}}\right)^2 (\varepsilon_{\mathbb{X}}^2 + \varepsilon_{\mathbb{Y}}^2),$$

which is equivalent to (4.3). Theorem 5 is proved. \Box

Acknowledgments

The present investigation was supported, in part, by the "Centro de Matemática" of the University of Porto.

References

- F. Al-Musallam and Vu Kim Tuan. An uncertainty principle for a modified y-transform. Arch. of Ineq. and Appl., 1:441–452, 2003.
- [2] R. Daher and T. Kawazoe. Generalized Hardy's theorem for the Jacobi transform. *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 36:331–337, 2006.
- [3] V.A. Ditkin and A.P. Prudnikov. Operational Calculus. Vysh. Shkola, Moscow, 1975. (in Russian)
- [4] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F.G. Tricomi. Higher Transcendental Functions, Vol. II. McGraw-Hill, New York, London and Toronto, 1953.
- [5] I.M. Gelfand and G.E. Shilov. Fourier transforms of rapidly increasing functions and questions of uniqueness of the solution of Cauchy's problem. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 8:3–54, 1953.
- [6] G. Hardy. A theorem concerning Fourier transform. J. London Math. Soc., 8:227–231, 1933.
- [7] L. Hörmander. A uniqueness theorem of Beurling for Fourier transform pairs. Ark. Mat., 29:237–240, 1991.
- [8] J. A. Hogan and J.D. Lakey. Time-Frequency and Time-Scale Methods. Adaptive Decompositions, Uncertainty Principles, and Sampling. Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, Berlin, 2005.
- [9] N.N. Lebedev. Sur une formule d'inversion. C.R.Acad. Sci. URSS, 52:655-658, 1946.

- [10] R. Ma. Heisenberg inequalities for Jacobi transforms. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 332:155–163, 2007.
- [11] M.G.Cowling and J.F.Price. Generalization of Heisenberg's inequality. In *Harmonic Analysis, LNM*, number 992, pp. 443–449. Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [12] A.P. Prudnikov, Yu. A. Brychkov and O. I. Marichev. Integrals and Series: Vol. 1: Elementary Functions; Vol. 2: Special Functions. Gordon and Breach, New York, 1986.
- [13] M. Rösler. An uncertainty principle for the Dunkl transform. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 99:353–360, 1999.
- [14] M. Rösler and M. Voit. An uncertainty principle for Hankel transform. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 127(1):183–194, 1999.
- [15] I.N. Sneddon. The Use of Integral Transforms. McGray Hill, New York, 1972.
- [16] E.C. Titchmarsh. Introduction to the Theory of Fourier Integrals. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1937.
- [17] Vu Kim Tuan. Uncertainty principles for the Hankel transform. Integral Transforms and Special Functions, 18(5):369–381, 2007.
- [18] S.B. Yakubovich. *Index Transforms*. World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, New Jersey, London and Hong Kong, 1996.
- [19] S.B. Yakubovich. On the least values of Lp-norms for the Kontorovich-Lebedev transform and its convolution. Journal of Approximation Theory, 131:231–242, 2004.
- [20] S.B. Yakubovich and Yu.F. Luchko. The Hypergeometric Approach to Integral Transforms and Convolutions. Kluwers Ser. Math. and Appl.: Vol. 287, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1994.