MATHEMATICAL MODELLING AND ANALYSIS Volume 17 Number 5, November 2012, 673–685 http://dx.doi.org/10.3846/13926292.2012.735260 © Vilnius Gediminas Technical University, 2012

Publisher: Taylor&Francis and VGTU http://www.tandfonline.com/TMMA Print ISSN: 1392-6292

Online ISSN: 1648-3510

## Joint Universality of Dirichlet L-Functions and Periodic Hurwitz Zeta-Functions\*

# Kęstutis Janulis<sup>a</sup>, Antanas Laurinčikas<sup>a</sup>, Renata Macaitienė<sup>b</sup> and Darius Šiaučiūnas<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Vilnius University

Naugarduko 24, LT-03225 Vilnius, Lithuania

<sup>b</sup>Šiauliai Universitu

P. Višinskio 19, LT-77156 Šiauliai, Lithuania

E-mail: kestutis.janulis@gmail.com E-mail: antanas.laurincikas@mif.vu.lt E-mail: renata.macaitiene@mi.su.lt E-mail(corresp.): siauciunas@fm.su.lt

Received June 29, 2012; revised September 20, 2012; published online November 1, 2012

**Abstract.** In the paper, we prove that every system of analytic functions can be approximated simultaneously uniformly on compact subsets of some region by a collection consisting of shifts of Dirichlet *L*-functions with pairwise non-equivalent characters and periodic Hurwitz zeta-functions with parameters algebraically independent over the field of rational numbers.

**Keywords:** Dirichlet *L*-function, limit theorem, periodic Hurwitz zeta-function, space of analytic functions, universality.

AMS Subject Classification: 11M06; 11M41.

#### 1 Introduction

Since a remarkable Voronin's work [24] on the universality of the Riemann zeta-function  $\zeta(s)$ ,  $s=\sigma+it$ , it is known that the majority of other zeta and L-functions also are universal in the sense that their shifts approximate uniformly on compact subsets of certain regions wide classes of analytic functions, for results and references, see [1, 4, 6, 7, 12, 17, 22]. Also, a more complicated approximation property of zeta and L-functions – the joint universality – is known. In this case, we deal with a simultaneous approximation of a given system of analytic functions. The first result in this direction also is due to Voronin who obtained [23] the joint universality of Dirichlet L-functions. The

<sup>\*</sup> The third author is partially supported by the project LYMOS (1.2.1 activity), No. VPI-3.2-ŠMM-02-V-02-001.

joint universality for Hurwitz zeta-functions was proved in [20] and [9]. We observe that Dirichlet L-functions have Euler product over primes while Hurwitz zeta-functions  $\zeta(s,\alpha)$ ,  $0 < \alpha \le 1$ , do not have Euler product, except for the cases  $\zeta(s,1) = \zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta(s,1/2) = (2^s - 1)\zeta(s)$ .

In [19] H. Mishou began to study the joint universality for zeta-functions having and having no Euler product over primes. He proved a joint universality theorem for the Riemann zeta-function and Hurwitz zeta-function with transcendental parameter  $\alpha$ .

Let  $D = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1\}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{K}$  the class of compact subsets of D with connected complements. Moreover, let  $\mathcal{H}_0(K)$  and  $\mathcal{H}(K)$ ,  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ , be the classes of continuous non-vanishing and continuous on K functions, respectively, which are analytic in the interior of K. Denote by meas $\{A\}$  the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Then the Mishou theorem is stated as follows: Suppose that  $\alpha$  is transcendental. Let  $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}$ , and  $f_1 \in \mathcal{H}_0(K_1), f_2 \in \mathcal{H}(K_2)$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\liminf_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T] : \sup_{s \in K_1} \left| \zeta(s + i\tau) - f_1(s) \right| < \varepsilon, \\
\sup_{s \in K_2} \left| \zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha) - f_2(s) \right| < \varepsilon \right\} > 0.$$

We call a property of  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta(s,\alpha)$  in the later theorem the mixed joint universality.

In [5], the Mishou theorem was generalised for a periodic zeta-function and a periodic Hurwitz zeta-function. Let  $\mathfrak{a} = \{a_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $\mathfrak{b} = \{b_m : m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$  be two periodic sequences of complex numbers. Then the periodic zeta-function  $\zeta(s;\mathfrak{a})$  and the periodic Hurwitz zeta-function  $\zeta(s,\alpha;\mathfrak{b})$  are defined, for  $\sigma > 1$ , by

$$\zeta(s;\mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{m^s} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta(s,\alpha;\mathfrak{b}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_m}{(m+\alpha)^s},$$

respectively, and by analytic continuation elsewhere, except for a possible poles at s=1.

In [10] a mixed universality theorem was extended to a collection consisting of several periodic zeta-functions and periodic Hurwitz zeta-functions.

In the case of periodic Hurwitz zeta-functions, the following more general joint universality can be considered. For  $j=1,\ldots,r,$   $\alpha_j,$  let  $0<\alpha_j\leq 1$  be a fixed parameter,  $l_j\in\mathbb{N},$   $\mathfrak{a}_{jl}=\{a_{mjl}\colon m\in\mathbb{N}_0\}$  be a periodic sequence of complex numbers with minimal period  $k_{jl}\in\mathbb{N},$  and  $\zeta(s,\alpha_j;\mathfrak{a}_{jl})$  be the corresponding periodic Hurwitz zeta-function. In [8, 14, 15], the joint universality for the functions

$$\zeta(s, \alpha_1; \mathfrak{a}_{11}), \dots, \zeta(s, \alpha_1; \mathfrak{a}_{1l_1}), \dots, \zeta(s, \alpha_r; \mathfrak{a}_{r1}), \dots, \zeta(s, \alpha_r; \mathfrak{a}_{rl_r})$$
(1.1)

was obtained. Later, the mixed joint universality for system (1.1) extended by some zeta-functions having Euler product was began to study. In [3], the Riemann zeta-function was added to the system (1.1). In the subsequent papers [13, 16, 21], the function  $\zeta(s)$  was replaced by zeta-functions of certain cusp forms. Namely, the paper [21] is devoted to a mixed joint universality theorem for the zeta-function  $\zeta(s,F)$  attached to a normalized Hecke eigen cusp form F and the functions (1.1), in [16], the function  $\zeta(s,F)$  was replaced by a zeta-function of a new form, and in [13], the case of a zeta-function of a cusp form F with respect to the Hecke subgroup with Dirichlet character was considered.

The aim of this paper is to extend the system (1.1) by a collection of Dirichlet L-functions. The extension of the class of jointly universal functions is motivated by wide theoretical and practical applications of universality (functional independence, zero-distribution, various value denseness problems, approximation and estimation of complicated analytic functions and their functionals).

Let  $\chi$  be a Dirichlet character modulo q. We remind that the corresponding Dirichlet L-function  $L(s,\chi)$  is defined, for  $\sigma > 1$ , by the series

$$L(s,\chi) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(m)}{m^s},$$

and is analytically continued to an entire function provided  $\chi$  is a non-principal character. For the principal character  $\chi_0$ ,

$$L(s,\chi_0) = \zeta(s) \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right).$$

Let  $k_j = [k_{j1}, \dots, k_{jl_j}]$  be the least common multiple of the periods  $k_{j1}, \dots, k_{jl_j}$ , and

$$A_{j} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1j1} & a_{1j2} & \dots & a_{1jl_{j}} \\ a_{2j1} & a_{2j2} & \dots & a_{2jl_{j}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{k_{j}j1} & a_{k_{j}j2} & \dots & a_{k_{j}jl_{j}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r.$$

The main result of the paper is contained in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that  $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_d$  are pairwise non-equivalent Dirichlet characters, the numbers  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r$  are algebraically independent over the field of rational numbers, and that  $\operatorname{rank}(A_j) = l_j, \ j = 1, \ldots, r$ . For  $j = 1, \ldots, d$ , let  $K_j \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $f_j \in \mathcal{H}_0(K_j)$ , and, for  $j = 1, \ldots, r$ ,  $l = 1, \ldots, l_j$ , let  $K_{jl} \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $f_{jl} \in \mathcal{H}(K_{jl})$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\liminf_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T] : \sup_{1 \le j \le d} \sup_{s \in K_j} \left| L(s + i\tau, \chi_j) - f_j(s) \right| < \varepsilon, \\
\sup_{1 \le j \le r} \sup_{1 \le l \le l_j} \sup_{s \in K_{jl}} \left| \zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha_j; \mathfrak{a}_{jl}) - f_{jl}(s) \right| < \varepsilon \right\} > 0.$$

#### 2 Multidimensional Limit Theorem

The main ingredient of the proof of Theorem 1 is a limit theorem for probability measures in the multidimensional space of analytic functions.

Denote by H(D) the space of analytic functions on D endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta, and let

$$H_{d,u} = H_{d,u}(D) = H^d(D) \times H^u(D), \quad u = \sum_{j=1}^r l_j.$$

Let  $\mathcal{B}(S)$  stand for the  $\sigma$ -field of Borel sets of the space S. This section is devoted to weak convergence of probability measures defined by terms of Dirichlet L-functions and periodic Hurwitz zeta-functions in the space  $(H_{d,u}, \mathcal{B}(H_{d,u}))$ .

Let  $\gamma = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s| = 1\}$  be the unit circle on the complex plane, and

$$\Omega = \prod_{p} \gamma_{p}, \qquad \Omega_{1} = \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{m},$$

where  $\gamma_p = \gamma$  for all primes p, and  $\gamma_m = \gamma$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . The tori  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega_1$  are compact topological Abelian groups. Define

$$\Omega^{\kappa} = \Omega \times \Omega_{11} \times \cdots \times \Omega_{1r}$$

where  $\Omega_{1j} = \Omega_1$ , for all j = 1, ..., r, and  $\kappa = 1 + r$ . Then  $\Omega^{\kappa}$  also is a compact topological group. Therefore on  $(\Omega^{\kappa}, \mathcal{B}(\Omega^{\kappa}))$ , the probability Haar measure  $m_H^{\kappa}$  can be defined. This gives the probability space  $(\Omega^{\kappa}, \mathcal{B}(\Omega^{\kappa}), m_H^{\kappa})$ . Let  $\Omega_1^r = \Omega_{11} \times, \cdots, \times \Omega_{1r}$ . Then we have that the measure  $m_H^{\kappa}$  is the product of the probability Haar measures  $m_H$  and  $m_H^r$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$  and  $(\Omega^r, \mathcal{B}(\Omega^r))$ , respectively.

Now, on the probability space  $(\Omega^{\kappa}, \mathcal{B}(\Omega^{\kappa}), m_H^{\kappa})$ , define a  $H_{d,u}$ -valued random element. We denote by  $\omega_p$  the projection of  $\omega \in \Omega$  to  $\gamma_p$ , and by  $\omega_j(m)$  the projection of  $\omega_j \in \Omega_{1j}$  to  $\gamma_m$ . Let, for brevity,  $\underline{\omega} = (\omega, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)$ ,  $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r), \ \underline{\chi} = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_d)$  and  $\underline{\mathfrak{a}} = (\mathfrak{a}_{11}, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_{1l_1}, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_{r1}, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_{rl_r})$ . Let the  $H_{d,u}$ -valued random element  $F(s, \underline{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}})$  be given by

$$F(s, \underline{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}}) = (L(s, \omega, \chi_1), \dots, L(s, \omega, \chi_d), \zeta(s, \alpha_1, \omega_1; \mathfrak{a}_{11}) \dots, \zeta(s, \alpha_1, \omega_1; \mathfrak{a}_{1l_1}), \dots, \zeta(s, \alpha_r, \omega_r; \mathfrak{a}_{r1}), \dots, \zeta(s, \alpha_r, \omega_r; \mathfrak{a}_{rl_r})),$$

where

$$L(s, \omega, \chi_j) = \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{\chi_j(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, d,$$

and

$$\zeta(s, \alpha_j, \omega_j; \mathfrak{a}_{jl}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{mjl}\omega_j(m)}{(m+\alpha_j)^s}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r, \ l = 1, \dots, l_j.$$

Denote by  $P_F$  the distribution of the random element  $F(s, \underline{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}})$ , i.e., for  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H_{d,u})$ ,

$$P_F(A) = m_H^{\kappa} (\underline{\omega} \in \Omega^{\kappa} : F(s, \chi, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}}) \in A).$$

Moreover,

$$F(s, \underline{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}}) = (L(s, \chi_1), \dots, L(s, \chi_d), \zeta(s, \alpha_1; \mathfrak{a}_{11}) \dots, \zeta(s, \alpha_1; \mathfrak{a}_{1l_1}), \dots, \zeta(s, \alpha_r; \mathfrak{a}_{r1}), \dots, \zeta(s, \alpha_r; \mathfrak{a}_{rl_r})).$$

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that the numbers  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r$  are algebraically independent over the field of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then

$$P_T(A) \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas} \{ \tau \in [0, T] : F(s + i\tau, \underline{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}}) \in A \}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H_{d,u}),$$

converges weakly to  $P_F$  as  $T \to \infty$ .

Limit theorems for as a wide system of functions as in Theorem 2 are not known, however, their proofs differ from that, for example, in [4] only by details. Therefore, we present a shortened proof of Theorem 2. Denote by  $\mathcal{P}$  the set of all prime numbers.

**Lemma 1.** Suppose that the numbers  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r$  are algebraically independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then

$$Q_T(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T] : \left( \left( p^{-i\tau} : p \in \mathcal{P} \right), \left( (m + \alpha_1)^{-i\tau} : m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right), \dots, \right. \right.$$

$$\left( \left( (m + \alpha_r)^{-i\tau} : m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right) \right) \in A \right\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega^{\kappa}),$$

converges weakly to the Haar measure  $m_H^{\kappa}$  as  $T \to \infty$ .

Proof of the lemma is given in [10, Theorem 3]. Let  $\sigma_0 > \frac{1}{2}$  be a fixed number, and

$$v_n(m) = \exp\biggl\{-\biggl(\frac{m}{n}\biggr)^{\sigma_0}\biggr\}, \qquad v_n(m,\alpha) = \exp\biggl\{-\biggl(\frac{m+\alpha}{n+\alpha}\biggr)^{\sigma_0}\biggr\}.$$

Define

$$L_n(s,\chi_j) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_j(m)v_n(m)}{m^s}, \quad j = 1,\dots,d,$$
  
$$\zeta_n(s,\alpha_j;\mathfrak{a}_{jl}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{mjl}v_n(m,\alpha_j)}{(m+\alpha_j)^s}, \quad j = 1,\dots,r, \ l = 1,\dots,l_j,$$

and, for  $\underline{\omega}_0 = (\omega_0, \omega_{10}, \dots, \omega_{r0}) \in \Omega^{\kappa}$ ,

$$L_{n}(s, \chi_{j}, \omega_{0}) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_{j}(m)\omega_{0}(m)v_{n}(m)}{m^{s}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, d,$$

$$\zeta_{n}(s, \alpha_{j}, \omega_{0j}; \mathfrak{a}_{jl}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{mjl}\omega_{0j}(m)v_{n}(m, \alpha_{j})}{(m + \alpha_{j})^{s}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r, \ l = 1, \dots, l_{j}.$$

All later series are absolutely convergent for  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ . Here  $\omega(p)$  is extended to the set  $\mathbb{N}$  by the formula

$$\omega(m) = \prod_{\substack{p^k \mid m \\ p^{k+1} \nmid m}} \omega^k(p), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let, for brevity,

$$F_n(s, \underline{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}}) = (L_n(s, \chi_1), \dots, L_n(s, \chi_d), \zeta_n(s, \alpha_1; \mathfrak{a}_{11}) \dots, \zeta_n(s, \alpha_1; \mathfrak{a}_{1l_1}), \dots, \zeta_n(s, \alpha_r; \mathfrak{a}_{r1}), \dots, \zeta_n(s, \alpha_r; \mathfrak{a}_{rl_r})),$$

and

$$F_n(s, \underline{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}}) = (L_n(s, \omega, \chi_1), \dots, L_n(s, \omega, \chi_d), \zeta_n(s, \alpha_1, \omega_1; \mathfrak{a}_{11}) \dots, \zeta_n(s, \alpha_1, \omega_1; \mathfrak{a}_{1l_1}), \dots, \zeta_n(s, \alpha_r, \omega_r; \mathfrak{a}_{r1}), \dots, \zeta_n(s, \alpha_r, \omega_r; \mathfrak{a}_{rl_r})).$$

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that the numbers  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r$  are algebraically independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then on  $(H_{n,r}, \mathcal{B}(H_{n,r}))$ , there exists a probability measure  $P_n$  such that

$$P_{T,n}(A) \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0,T] \colon F_n(s+i\tau,\underline{\chi},\underline{\alpha};\underline{\mathfrak{a}}) \in A \right\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H_{d,u}),$$

$$\hat{P}_{T,n}(A) \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0,T] \colon F_n(s+i\tau,\underline{\chi},\underline{\alpha},\underline{\omega}_0;\underline{\mathfrak{a}}) \in A \right\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H_{d,u}),$$

both converge weakly to  $P_n$  as  $T \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* Define  $h_n: \Omega^{\kappa} \to H_{d,r}$  by the formula  $h_n(\underline{\omega}) = F_n(s, \underline{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}})$ . In view of the absolute convergence of the series for  $L_n(s, \chi_j, \omega)$  and  $\zeta_n(s, \alpha_j, \omega_j; \mathfrak{a}_{jl})$ , the function  $h_n$  is continuous, and

$$h_n((p^{-i\tau}: p \in \mathcal{P}), ((m+\alpha_1)^{-i\tau}: m \in \mathbb{N}_0), \dots, ((m+\alpha_r)^{-i\tau}: m \in \mathbb{N}_0))$$
  
=  $F_n(s+i\tau, \chi, \underline{\alpha}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}}).$ 

Thus, we have that  $P_{T,n} = Q_T h_n^{-1}$ . This, continuity of  $h_n$ , and Lemma 1 show that  $P_{T,n}$  converges weakly to  $P_n = m_H^{\kappa} h_n^{-1}$  as  $T \to \infty$ .

The invariance of the Haar measure  $m_H^{\kappa}$  allows to prove that the measure  $\hat{P}_{T,n}$  also converges weakly to  $P_n$ .  $\square$ 

Define

$$\hat{P}_T(A) = \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T] \colon F(s + i\tau, \underline{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}}) \in A \right\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H_{d, u}).$$

**Lemma 3.** Suppose that the numbers  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r$  are algebraically independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then on  $(H_{d,u}, \mathcal{B}(H_{d,u}))$ , there exists a probability measure P such that  $P_T$  and  $\hat{P}_T$  both converge weakly to P as  $T \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* To prove the lemma it suffices to pass from  $F_n(s, \underline{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}})$  and  $F_n(s, \underline{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}; \underline{\alpha})$  and  $F(s, \underline{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}})$ , respectively. For this, define a metric on  $H_{d,u}$  which induces the topology of uniform convergence on compacta. Let  $\{K_v \colon v \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset D$  be a sequences on compact subsets such that  $D = \bigcup_{v=1}^{\infty} K_v$ ,  $K_l \subset K_{l+1}$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , and if  $K \subset D$  is a compact subset, then  $K \subset K_v$ , for some v. For  $g_1, g_2 \in H(D)$ , define

$$\rho(g_1, g_2) = \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} 2^{-v} \frac{\sup_{s \in K_v} |g_1(s) - g_2(s)|}{1 + \sup_{s \in K_v} |g_1(s) - g_2(s)|}.$$

Then  $\rho$  is a metric on H(D) inducing the topology of uniform convergence on compacta. For  $\underline{g}_j = (g_{j1}, \dots, g_{j,d+u}) \in H_{d,u}, j = 1, 2$ , we put

$$\rho_{d+u}(\underline{g}_1,\underline{g}_2) = \max_{1 \le l \le d+u} \rho(g_{1l},g_{2l}).$$

Then  $\rho_{d+u}$  is a desired metric on  $H_{d,u}$ . Let  $\rho_d$  and  $\rho_r$  be analogical metrics on  $H^d(D)$  and  $H^u(D)$ , respectively. We put

$$\begin{split} \underline{L}(s,\underline{\chi}) &= \big(L(s,\chi_1),\dots,L(s,\chi_d)\big),\\ \underline{\zeta}(s,\underline{\alpha};\underline{\mathfrak{a}}) &= \big(\zeta(s,\alpha_1;\mathfrak{a}_{11}),\dots,\zeta(s,\alpha_1;\mathfrak{a}_{1l_1}),\dots,\zeta(s,\alpha_r;\mathfrak{a}_{r1}),\dots,\zeta(s,\alpha_r;\mathfrak{a}_{rl_r})\big) \end{split}$$

and

$$\underline{L}_n(s,\underline{\chi}) = (L_n(s,\chi_1),\dots,L_n(s,\chi_d)), \quad \underline{\zeta}_n(s,\underline{\alpha};\underline{\mathfrak{a}}) = (\zeta_n(s,\alpha_1;\mathfrak{a}_{11}),\dots,\zeta_n(s,\alpha_1;\mathfrak{a}_{1l_1}),\dots,\zeta_n(s,\alpha_r;\mathfrak{a}_{r1}),\dots,\zeta_n(s,\alpha_r;\mathfrak{a}_{rl_r})).$$

Then, from the proof of a limit theorem for Dirichlet L-functions in [11], it follows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \limsup_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \rho_d(\underline{L}(s+i\tau,\underline{\chi}),\underline{L}_n(s+i\tau,\underline{\chi})) d\tau = 0.$$

Similarly, in [8], it was obtained that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \rho_u \left( \underline{\zeta}(s + i\tau, \underline{\alpha}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}}), \underline{\zeta}_n(s + i\tau, \underline{\alpha}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}}) \right) d\tau = 0.$$

Two last equalities together with the definition of the metric  $\rho_{\kappa}$  show that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \rho_{d+u} \left( F(s+i\tau, \underline{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}}), F_n(s+i\tau, \underline{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}}) \right) d\tau = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Now let  $\theta$  be a random variable defined on a certain probability space  $(\hat{\Omega}, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$  and uniformly distributed on [0, 1]. Define the  $H_{d,u}$ -valued random element  $\underline{X}_{T,n} = \underline{X}_{T,n}(s) = (X_{T,n,1}(s), \dots, X_{T,n,d}(s), \hat{X}_{T,n,1,1}(s), \dots, \hat{X}_{T,n,1,l_1}(s), \dots, \hat{X}_{T,n,r,1}(s), \dots, \hat{X}_{T,n,r,l_r}(s)) = F_n(s + i\theta T, \underline{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}})$ , and denote by  $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}$  the convergence in distribution. Then, by Lemma 2, we have that

$$\underline{X}_{T,n} \xrightarrow[T \to \infty]{\mathcal{X}} \underline{X}_n,$$
 (2.2)

where  $\underline{X}_n = (X_{n,1}, \dots, X_{n,d}, \hat{X}_{n,1,1}, \dots, \hat{X}_{n,1,l_1}, \dots, \hat{X}_{n,r,1}, \dots, \hat{X}_{n,r,l_r})$  is a  $H_{d,r}$ -valued random element having the distribution  $P_n$  ( $P_n$  is the limit measure in Lemma 2). We will prove that the family of probability measures  $\{P_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is tight.

Since the series for  $L_n(s,\chi_j)$  converges absolutely for  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ , we find that

$$\limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \sup_{s \in K_v} \left| L_n(s + i\tau, \chi_j) \right| d\tau \le C_v R_{jv}, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$R_{jv} = \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{2\sigma_v}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, d, \ l \in \mathbb{N},$$

with some  $C_v > 0$  and  $\sigma_v > \frac{1}{2}$ . Similarly, the absolute convergence for  $\zeta_n(s, \alpha_j, ; \mathfrak{a}_{jl})$  leads to the estimate

$$\limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \sup_{s \in K_v} \left| \zeta_n(s + i\tau, \alpha_j; \mathfrak{a}_{jl}) \right| d\tau \le \hat{C}_v R_{jlv}, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$R_{jlv} = \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{mjl}|^2}{(m+\alpha_j)^{2\hat{\sigma}_v}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

with some  $\hat{C}_v > 0$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_v > \frac{1}{2}$ .

Now let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary fixed number,

$$M_{jv} = M_{jv}(\varepsilon) = C_v R_{jv} 2^{v+1} d\varepsilon^{-1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, d,$$

and

$$M_{jlv} = M_{jlv}(\varepsilon) = \hat{C}_v R_{jlv} 2^{v+1} u \varepsilon^{-1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r.$$

Then the bounds (2.3) and (2.4) imply

$$\begin{split} & \limsup_{T \to \infty} \mathbb{P} \Big( \exists j = 1, \dots, d \colon \sup_{s \in K_v} \left| X_{T,n,j}(s) \right| > M_{jv} \\ & \text{or } \exists (j,l), \ j = 1, \dots, r, \ l = 1, \dots, l_j \colon \sup_{s \in K_v} \left| \hat{X}_{T,n,j,l}(s) \right| > M_{jlv} \Big) \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^d \limsup_{T \to \infty} \mathbb{P} \Big( \sup_{s \in K_j} \left| X_{T,n,j}(s) \right| > M_{jv} \Big) \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{l=1}^{l_j} \limsup_{T \to \infty} \mathbb{P} \Big( \sup_{s \in K_j} \left| \hat{X}_{T,n,j,l}(s) \right| > M_{jlv} \Big) \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{M_{jv}} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \sup_{s \in K_v} \left| L_n(s + i\tau, \chi_j) \right| d\tau \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{l=1}^{l_j} \frac{1}{M_{jlv}} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \sup_{s \in K_v} \left| \zeta_n(s + i\tau, \alpha_j; \mathfrak{a}_{jl}) \right| d\tau \\ & < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{v+1}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{v+1}} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2^v}, \quad v \in \mathbb{N}. \end{split}$$

This together with (2.2), for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , gives

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\exists j=1,\ldots,d\colon \sup_{s\in K_v} |X_{n,j}(s)| > M_{jv} \text{ or } \exists (j,l), \ j=1,\ldots,r,$$

$$l=1,\ldots,l_j\colon \sup_{s\in K_v} |\hat{X}_{n,j,l}(s)| > M_{jlv}\Big) \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2^v}, \quad v\in\mathbb{N}.$$
(2.5)

Define the set

$$K_{d,u} = K_{d,u}(\varepsilon) = \Big\{ (g_1, \dots, g_d, \hat{g}_{11}, \dots, \hat{g}_{1l_1}, \dots, \hat{g}_{r1}, \dots, \hat{g}_{r,l_r}) \in H_{d,u}:$$

$$\sup_{s \in K_v} |g_j(s)| \le M_{jv}, \ j = 1, \dots, r,$$

$$\sup_{s \in K_v} |\hat{g}_{jl}(s)| \le M_{jlv}, \ j = 1, \dots, r, \ l = 1, \dots, l_j, \ v \in \mathbb{N} \Big\}.$$

Then  $K_{d,u}$  is a compact subset in  $H_{d,u}$ . Moreover, in view of (2.5), for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\underline{X}_n \in K_{d,u}) > 1 - \varepsilon \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^v} = 1 - \varepsilon,$$

or, by the definition of  $\underline{X}_n$ , we find that  $P_n(K_{d,u}(\varepsilon)) > 1 - \varepsilon$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Thus, we have proved that the family of probability measures  $\{P_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is tight. Hence, by the Prokhorov theorem, see [2], it is relatively compact. Therefore, there exists a sequence  $\{P_{n_k}\} \subset P_n$  such that  $P_{n_k}$  converges weakly to a certain probability measure P on  $(H_{d,u}, \mathcal{B}(H_{d,u}))$  as  $k \to \infty$ . In other words,

$$\underline{X}_{n_k} \xrightarrow[k \to \infty]{\mathcal{D}} P.$$
 (2.6)

Now, using (2.1), (2.2), (2.6) and Theorem 4.2 of [2], we obtain that

$$\underline{X}_T \xrightarrow[T \to \infty]{\mathcal{D}} P,$$
 (2.7)

where,  $\underline{X}_T = \underline{X}(s) = F(s+i\theta T, \underline{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}})$ . Thus, we proved that  $P_T$  converges weakly to P as  $T \to \infty$ .

Similarly to a relation (2.1), we find that, for almost all  $\underline{\omega} \in \Omega^{\kappa}$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \rho_{d+u} \left( F(s+i\tau, \underline{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}}), F_n(s+i\tau, \underline{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}}) \right) d\tau = 0.$$
(2.8)

Let

$$\underline{\hat{X}}_{T,n} = \underline{\hat{X}}(s) = F_n(s + i\theta T, \chi, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}}).$$

Then, by Lemma 2

$$\underline{\hat{X}}_{T,n} \xrightarrow[T \to \infty]{\mathcal{D}} P_n. \tag{2.9}$$

Moreover, (2.7) shows that

$$\underline{\hat{X}}_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{\mathcal{D}} P. \tag{2.10}$$

Now (2.8)–(2.10) and Theorem 4.2 of [2] show that

$$\underline{\hat{X}}_T \xrightarrow[T \to \infty]{\mathcal{D}} P.$$

where

$$\underline{\hat{X}}_T = \underline{\hat{X}}_T(s) = F(s + i\theta T, \underline{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}}).$$

Then,  $\hat{P}_T$  also converges weakly to P as  $T \to \infty$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$ 

Math. Model. Anal., 17(5):673-685, 2012.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* We apply standard arguments. Let A be a fixed continuity set of the measure P in Lemma 3. Then we have that

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas} \left( \tau \in [0, T] : F(s + i\tau, \underline{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}}) \in A \right) = P(A). \tag{2.11}$$

We have to prove that  $P = P_F$ . For this, on the probability space  $(\Omega^{\kappa}, \mathcal{B}(\Omega^{\kappa}), m_H^{\kappa})$ , define the random variable

$$\xi(\underline{\omega}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } F(s, \underline{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}}) \in A, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Obviously, the expectation  $\mathbb{E}\xi$  of  $\xi(\underline{\omega})$  is of the form:

$$\mathbb{E}\xi = \int_{\Omega^{\kappa}} \xi(\underline{\omega}) \, \mathrm{d}m_H^{\kappa} = m_H^{\kappa} \big(\underline{\omega} \in \Omega^{\kappa} \colon F(s, \underline{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}}) \in A\big). \tag{2.12}$$

In the sequel, we apply the ergodic theory. We consider the one parameter group  $\{\Phi_{\tau} \colon \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$  of measurable measure preserving transformations on  $\Omega^{\kappa}$  given by

$$\Phi_{\tau}(\underline{\omega}) = ((p^{-i\tau}: p \in \mathcal{P}), ((m+\alpha_1)^{-i\tau}: m \in \mathbb{N}_0), \dots, ((m+\alpha_r)^{-i\tau}: m \in \mathbb{N}_0))\underline{\omega}.$$

In [10, Lemma 7], it is proved that the group  $\{\Phi_{\tau} : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is ergodic. Hence, the random process  $\xi(\Phi_{\tau}(\underline{\omega}))$  is ergodic as well. Therefore, the Birkhoff–Khintchine theorem implies the inequality

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \xi(\Phi_{\tau}(\underline{\omega})) d\tau = \mathbb{E}\xi.$$
 (2.13)

On the other hand, the definitions of  $\xi$  and  $\Phi_{\tau}$  yield

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \xi(\Phi_{\tau}(\underline{\omega})) d\tau = \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas} \{ \tau \in [0, T] : F(s + i\tau, \underline{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}}) \in A \}.$$

This, (2.12) and (2.13) show that

$$\lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\operatorname{meas}\big\{\tau\in[0,T]\colon F(s+i\tau,\underline{\chi},\underline{\alpha},\underline{\omega};\underline{\mathfrak{a}})\in A\big\}=P_F(A).$$

Then in view of (2.11),  $P(A) = P_F(A)$ . Since A was an arbitrary continuity set of P, the later equality holds for all continuity sets of P. It is well known that continuity sets constitute a determining class. Consequently,  $P(A) = P_F(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H_{d,u})$ , and the theorem is proved.  $\square$ 

### 3 Support

This section is devoted to the explicit form of the support  $S_{P_F}$  of the probability measure  $P_F$ . By the definition,  $S_{P_F}$  is a minimal closed subset of  $H_{d,u}$  such that  $P_F(S_{P_F}) = 1$ . Define

$$S = \{g \in H(D) \colon g(s) \neq 0 \text{ or } g(s) \equiv 0\}.$$

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that  $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_d$  are pairwise non-equivalent Dirichlet characters, and parameters  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r$  are algebraically independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then the support of  $P_F$  is the set  $S^d \times H^u(D)$ .

*Proof.* The set  $H_{d,u}$  is separable, therefore [2],

$$\mathcal{B}(H_{d,u}) = \mathcal{B}(H^d(D)) \times \mathcal{B}(H^u(D)).$$

Therefore, it suffices to consider  $P_F(A \times B)$ , where  $A = \mathcal{B}(H^d(D))$  and  $B = \mathcal{B}(H^u(D))$ . Let

$$\underline{L}(s, \underline{\chi}, \omega) = (L(s, \chi_1, \omega), \dots, L(s, \chi_d, \omega)),$$

$$\underline{\zeta}(s, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}}) = (\zeta(s, \alpha_1, \omega_1; \mathfrak{a}_{11}), \dots, \zeta(s, \alpha_1, \omega_1; \mathfrak{a}_{1l_1}), \dots,$$

$$\zeta(s, \alpha_r, \omega_r; \mathfrak{a}_{r1}), \dots, \zeta(s, \alpha_r; \mathfrak{a}_{rl_r}, \omega_r)),$$

where  $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)$ . Since the measure  $m_H^{\kappa}$  is a product of the measures  $m_H$  and  $m_H^r$ , we have that

$$P_F(A \times B) = m_H^{\kappa} (\underline{\omega} \in \Omega^{\kappa} : F(s, \underline{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}}) \in A \times B)$$

$$m_H(\omega \in \Omega : \underline{L}(s, \chi, \omega) \in A) \times m_H^{r} (\underline{\omega} \in \Omega^{r} : \zeta(s, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}; \underline{\mathfrak{a}}) \in B). \tag{3.1}$$

In [11], it was obtained that  $S^d$  is a minimal closed set such

$$m_H(\omega \in \Omega : \underline{L}(s, \chi, \omega) \in S^d) = 1,$$

and in [8], it was proved that  $H^u(D)$  is a minimal closed set such that

$$m_H^r(\underline{\omega} \in \Omega^r : \underline{\zeta}(s,\underline{\alpha},\underline{\omega};\underline{\mathfrak{a}}) \in H^u(D)) = 1.$$

These equalities together with (3.1) prove the theorem.  $\square$ 

#### 4 Proof of Theorem 1

We will apply the Mergelyan theorem on approximation of analytic functions by polynomials [18] which asserts that if  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a compact subset with connected complement, and g(s) is a function continuous on K and analytic in the interior of K, then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a polynomial p(s) such that

$$\sup_{s \in K} |g(s) - p(s)| < \varepsilon.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. By the Mergelyan theorem, there exist polynomials  $p_j(s)$ , j = 1, ..., d, and  $p_{jl}(s)$ , j = 1, ..., r,  $l = 1, ..., l_j$ , such that

$$\sup_{1 \le j \le d} \sup_{s \in K_j} |f_j(s) - p_j(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$
(4.1)

and

$$\sup_{1 \le j \le r} \sup_{1 \le l \le l_j} \sup_{s \in K_{jl}} \left| f_{jl}(s) - p_{jl}(s) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \tag{4.2}$$

If  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small, we have that  $p_j(s) \neq 0$  on  $K_j$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, d$ . Therefore, there exists a continuous branch  $\log p_j(s)$  which is analytic in the interior of  $K_j$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, d$ . Applying the Mergelyan theorem once more, we can find polynomials  $q_j(s)$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, d$ , such that

$$\sup_{1 \leq j \leq d} \sup_{s \in K_j} \left| p_j(s) - \mathrm{e}^{q_j(s)} \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Combining this with (4.1) gives

$$\sup_{1 \le j \le d} \sup_{s \in K_j} \left| f_j(s) - e^{q_j(s)} \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \tag{4.3}$$

By Theorem 3,

$$(e^{q_1(s)}, \dots, e^{q_d(s)}, p_{11}(s), \dots, p_{1l_1}(s), \dots, p_{r_1}(s), \dots, p_{rl_r}(s)) \in S_{P_F}.$$

Therefore, setting

$$G = \left\{ \underline{g} \in H_{d,r} \colon \sup_{1 \le j \le d} \sup_{s \in K_j} \left| g_j(s) - e^{q_j(s)} \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \right.$$
$$\sup_{1 \le j \le r} \sup_{1 \le l \le l_j} \sup_{s \in K_{jl}} \left| g_{jl}(s) - p_{jl}(s) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\},$$

we obtain, by Theorem 2, that

$$\liminf_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0,T] \colon F(s+i\tau,\underline{\chi},\underline{\alpha};\underline{\mathfrak{a}}) \in G \right\} \ge P_F(G) > 0.$$

This, definition of G and (4.2) and (4.3) complete the proof of the theorem.  $\Box$ 

#### References

- [1] B. Bagchi. The statistical behaviour and universality properties of the Riemann zeta-function and other allied Dirichlet series. PhD Thesis, Calcutta, Indian Statistical Institute, 1981.
- [2] P. Billingsley. Convergence of Probability Measures. Wiley and Sons, New York, 1968.
- [3] J. Genys, R. Macaitienė, S. Račkauskienė and D. Šiaučiūnas. A mixed joint universality theorem for zeta-functions. *Math. Model. Anal.*, 15(4):431–446, 2010. http://dx.doi.org/10.3846/1392-6292.2010.15.431-446.
- [4] S.M. Gonek. Analytic properties of zeta and L-functions. PhD Thesis, University of Michigan, 1979.
- [5] R. Kačinskaitė and A. Laurinčikas. The joint distribution of periodic zetafunctions. Studia Sci. Math., 74(3):257–279, 2010.
- [6] A. Laurinčikas. Limit Theorems for the Riemann Zeta-Function. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1996.

- [7] A. Laurinčikas. The universality of zeta-functions. Acta Appl. Math., 78(1–3):251–271, 2003. http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1025797802722.
- [8] A. Laurinčikas. The joint universality for periodic Hurwitz zeta-functions. Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat., 72(4):121-140, 2008. (in Russian); translated in Izv. Math., 72(4):741-760, 2008
- [9] A. Laurinčikas. The joint universality of Hurwitz zeta-functions. Šiauliai Math. Semin., 3(11):169–187, 2008.
- [10] A. Laurinčikas. Joint universality of zeta-functions with periodic coefficients. Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat., 74(3):79–102, 2010. (in Russian); translated in Izv. Math. 74(3):515–539, 2010
- [11] A. Laurinčikas. On joint universality of Dirichlet L-functions. Chebyshevskii Sb., 12(2):182–191, 2011.
- [12] A. Laurinčikas and R. Garunkštis. The Lerch Zeta-Function. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 2002.
- [13] A. Laurinčikas and D. Šiaučiūnas. A mixed joint universality theorem for zetafunctions. III. Anal. Probab. Methods Number Theory (to appear).
- [14] A. Laurinčikas and S. Skerstonaitė. A joint universality theorem for periodic Hurwitz zeta-functions. I. Lith. Math. J., 48(3):287–296, 2008.
- [15] A. Laurinčikas and S. Skerstonaitė. Joint universality for periodic Hurwitz zetafunctions. II. In R. Steuding and J. Steuding(Eds.), New Directions in Value-Distribution Theory of Zeta and L-Functions, pp. 161–170, Aachen, 2009. Shaker Verlag.
- [16] R. Macaitienė. On joint universality for the zeta-functions of newforms and periodic Hurwitz zeta-functions. RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu (to appear).
- [17] K. Matsumoto. Probababilistic value-distribution theory of zeta-functions. Sugaku Expositions, 17(1):51-71, 2004.
- [18] S.N. Mergelyan. Uniform approximations to functions of complex variable. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 7:31–122, 1952. (in Russian)
- [19] H. Mishou. The joint value distribution of the Riemann zeta-function and Hurwitz zeta-functions. *Lith. Math. J.*, 47(1):32–47, 2008. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10986-007-0003-0.
- [20] T. Nakamura. The existence and the non-existence of joint t-universality for Lerch zeta-functions. J. Number Theory, 125:424-441, 2007. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2006.12.008.
- [21] S. Račkauskienė and D. Šiaučiūnas. A mixed joint universality theorem for zetafunctions. II. Lith. Math. J. (submitted).
- [22] J. Steuding. Value-Distribution of L-Functions, volume 1877 of Lecture Notes in Math. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2007.
- [23] S.M. Voronin. On the functional independence of Dirichlet L-functions. Acta Arith., 27:493–503, 1975. (in Russian)
- [24] S.M. Voronin. Theorem on the "universality" of the Riemann zeta-function. *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR*, *Ser. Matem.*, **39**(3):475–486, 1975. (in Russian); translated in Math. USSR Izv., **9**(3):443–453, 1975