Solitary Wave and Periodic Wave Solutions for a Class of Singular p-Laplacian Systems with Impulsive Effects

http://mma.vgtu.lt

ISSN: 1392-6292

eISSN: 1648-3510

Fanchao Kong^a, Zhiguo Luo^b and Hongjun Qiu^c

^a School of Mathematics and Big Data, Anhui University of Science and Technology

Huainan, 232001 Anhui, China

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Hunan Normal University

Changsha, 410081 Hunan, China

^cCollege of Science, Jiujiang University

Jiujiang, 332005 Jiangxi, China

E-mail(corresp.): fanchaokong88@sohu.com

Received March 8, 2017; revised December 5, 2017; accepted December 6, 2017

Abstract. This work deals with the existence of periodic wave solutions and nonexistence of solitary wave solutions for a class of second-order singular *p*-Laplacian systems with impulsive effects. A sufficient criterion for the solutions of the considered system is provided via an innovative method of the mountain pass theorem and an approximation technique. Some corresponding results in the literature can be enriched and extended.

Keywords: periodic wave solution, solitary wave solution, singular p-Laplacian systems, impulsive effects, mountain pass theorem.

AMS Subject Classification: 34B16; 34B37; 34C25; 34C37.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider a class of second-order singular p-Laplacian systems with impulsive effects described by

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} \left(|u'(t)|^{p-2} u'(t) \right) + f(u(t)) = e(t), & t \neq t_j, \ t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ -\Delta \left(|u'(t_j)|^{p-2} u'(t_j) \right) = g_j(u(t_j)), & j \in \mathbb{Z}, \end{cases}$$

$$(1.1)$$

Copyright © 2018 The Author(s). Published by VGTU Press

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

where $p \geq 2$, $u = (u_1, u_2, ..., u_N)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$ and f may be singular at u = 0, $g_j(u) = \operatorname{grad}_u G_j(u)$ for some $G_j \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$, $e \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$, $\Delta(u(t)) = u(t_j^+) - u(t_j^-)$, $u(t_j^{\pm}) = \lim_{t \to t_j^{\pm}} u(t)$. It is assumed that there exist an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a T > 0 such that $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_{m-1} < t_m = T$, $t_{j+m} = t_j + T$, $g_{j+m} = g_j$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

During the past years, different types of impulsive differential equations have been studied by many authors. Some classical tools have been widely used to get the solutions of impulsive differential equations, such as fixed point theorems in cones, topological degree theory (including continuation method and coincidence degree theory), the method of lower and upper solutions, and the critical point theory. For the theory and classical results, we refer the readers to the references, [4], [17], [19], [26], [32] and books [2], [22], [31].

Recently, the study on the existence of homoclinic solutions for the impulsive differential equations has attracted many researchers' attention. See, to name a few, [8], [29], [32]. For example, in [32], by applying the variational methods, Zhang and Li established the existence result of homoclinic solutions of the following second order impulsive differential equations

$$\begin{cases} q'' + V_q(t, q) = f(t), & \text{for } t \in (s_{k-1}, s_k), \\ \Delta q'(s_k) = g_k(q(s_k)), \end{cases}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\Delta q'(s_k) = q'(s_k^+) - q'(s_k^-)$ with $q'(s_k^\pm) = \lim_{t \to s_k^\pm} q'(t)$, $V_q(t,q) = \operatorname{grad}_q V(t,q)$, $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$, $g_k(q) = \operatorname{grad}_q G_k(q)$, $G_k \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and there exist an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a $T \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $0 = s_0 < s_1 < \ldots < s_m = T$, $s_{k+m} = s_k + T$ and $g_{k+m} \equiv g_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ (that is, g_k is m-periodic in k).

Singular equations appear in a lot of physical models, see [9], [15], [18], [21], [30] and the references therein. The existence periodic solutions and homoclinic solutions of different kinds of singular equations has been proposed by many authors, see [3], [5], [6], [7], [11], [13], [20], [27], [28], [33] and the references therein. Singular problems with impulsive effects have been scarcely studied, see [1], [12], [23], [24]. For example, in [12], the author and Luo considered the following first-order singular problems:

$$x'(t) + x^{-\alpha(t)} = e(t)$$

under impulsive conditions

$$\Delta(x(t_k)) = x(t_k^+) - x(t_k^-) = I_k(x(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, q - 1,$$

where $\alpha > 0$, $e: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and T-periodic, $I_k: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}(k = 1, 2, ..., q-1)$ are continuous and $I_{k+q} \equiv I_k$. t_k , k = 1, 2, ..., q-1, are the instants where the impulses occur and $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < \cdots < t_{q-1} < t_q = T$, $t_{k+q} = t_k + T$. By applying the continuation theorem due to Mawhin and Gaines, the authors proved that the positive periodic solution was generated by impulses.

However, to the best of our knowledge, few researchers have studied the existence of periodic wave solutions and the nonexistence of solitary wave solutions for the singular p-Laplacian problems with impulsive effects. Inspired by the works mentioned above, in this paper, by means of the mountain pass theorem and an approximation technique, we establish the existence results of periodic wave solutions and nonexistence results of solitary wave solutions for system (1.1).

Definition 1. Suppose that u(s) is a solution of the system (1.1) for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, u(s) is called a solitary wave solution if $\lim_{s \to -\infty} u(s) = \lim_{s \to +\infty} u(s)$. Usually, a solitary wave solution of system (1.1) corresponds to a homoclinic solution of system (1.1). Similarly, a periodic wave solution of system (1.1) corresponds to a periodic solution of system (1.1).

Thus, in order to investigate the existence of periodic wave solutions and nonexistence of solitary wave solutions of system (1.1), we only need to prove the existence of periodic solutions and nonexistence of homoclinic solutions of system (1.1).

A function $u \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ is a solution of system (1.1) if function u satisfies (1.1). A solution u of system (1.1) is homoclinic to 0 if $u(t) \to 0$ and $u'(t^{\pm}) \to 0$ as $t \to \pm \infty$, and the corresponding orbit is called a homoclinic orbit.

In general, it is very difficult (if not impossible) to construct a suitable functional such that the existence of its critical point implies to that of a homoclinic orbit of system (1.1). First of all, we assume that there exists homoclinic orbits of system (1.1). Then, by applying an approximation technique, we show that the existence of homoclinic orbit is obtained as a limit of 2kT-periodic solutions of the following sequence of impulsive differential equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} (|u'(t)|^{p-2} u'(t)) + f(u(t)) = e_k(t), & t \neq t_j, \ t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ -\Delta (|u'(t_j)|^{p-2} u'(t_j)) = g_j(u(t_j)), & j \in \mathbb{Z}, \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

where $e_k : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is a 2kT periodic extension of the restriction of e to the interval [-kT, kT].

Remark 1. Note that the domain under consideration is unbounded, and thus, there is a lack of compactness for the Sobolev embedding. To overcome this difficulty, we show the existence of a homoclinic orbit of system (1.1) by proving that (1.2) has 2kT periodic solutions whose limit gives a homoclinic orbit of system (1.1). Another difficulty is that we have to deal with the impulsive perturbations in system (1.2).

For the sake of convenience, we list the following assumptions:

• [H1] There exist constants a > 0 and $\gamma \in (1, p]$ such that for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$-F(u) \ge a|u|^{\gamma},$$

where $F \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ and $f(u) = \operatorname{grad}_u F(u)$.

• [H2] There exists a constant $\theta > p$ such that

$$-F(u) \le -f(u)u \le -\theta F(u)$$
, for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

- [H3] $G_i(0) = 0$, $g_i(u) = o(|u|^{p-1})$ as $|u| \to 0$, j = 1, 2, ..., m.
- [H4] There are two constants μ , β with $\mu > \theta$ and $0 < \beta < \mu \theta$ such that

$$0 < \mu G_j(u) \le g_j(u)u + \beta a|u|^{\gamma}, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, \ j = 1, 2, ..., m.$$

• [H5] $e \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ with

$$\Big(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}|e(t)|^qdt\Big)^{1/q}<\frac{1}{C^{p-1}}\min\Big\{\frac{\delta^{p-1}}{p},\Big[a\Big(1-\frac{\beta}{\mu-\gamma}\Big)\delta^{\gamma-1}-M\delta^{\mu-1}\Big]\Big\},$$

where $M = \sup \{G_j(u) : j = 1, 2, ..., m, |u| = 1\}, 1/p + 1/q = 1, \delta \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$a\Big(1-\frac{\beta}{\mu-\gamma}\Big)\delta^{\gamma-1}-M\delta^{\mu-1}=\max_{x\in[0,1]}\left[a\Big(1-\frac{\beta}{\mu-\gamma}\Big)x^{\gamma-1}-Mx^{\mu-1}\right]$$

and C > 0 is a constant.

• [H6]
$$M_1 > \beta a/(\mu - \gamma)$$
, where $M_1 = \inf \{G_j(u) : j = 1, 2, ..., m, |u| = 1\}$.

The remainder part of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to state some necessary definitions, lemmas and the variational structure. Section 3 is devoted to state the main results and an example is given to support the established results. Section 4 is devoted to prove the main results.

2 Preliminary

Throughout this paper, we adopt the convention that $|u| = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{N} u_j^2}$ and $uv = \sum_{j=1}^{N} u_j v_j$ for $u = (u_1, ..., u_N)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $v = (v_1, ..., v_N)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Define the space

$$H_{2kT} = \{ u : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^N | u, u' \in L^p([-kT, kT], \mathbb{R}^N), u(t) = u(t + 2kT), t \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

Then H_{2kT} is a separable and reflexive Banach space with the norm defined by

$$\|u\|_{H_{2kT}} = \left(\int_{-kT}^{kT} |u'(t)|^p dt + \int_{-kT}^{kT} |u(t)|^p dt\right)^{1/p}.$$

Denote $L^{\infty}_{2kT}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ by the space of 2kT periodic essentially bounded measurable functions from \mathbb{R} into \mathbb{R} with norm given by

$$||u||_{L^{\infty}_{2kT}} = ess \sup_{t \in [-kT, kT]} |u(t)|.$$

Let $\Omega_k = \{-km+1, -km+2, ..., 0, 1, 2, ..., km-1, km\}$ and define a functional φ_k as

$$\varphi_k(u) := \frac{1}{p} \eta_k^p(u) + \int_{-kT}^{kT} e_k u dt - \sum_{j \in \Omega_k} G_j(u(t_j)), \quad u \in H_{2kT}, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\eta_k(u) := \left(\int_{-kT}^{kT} |u'(t)|^p dt - \int_{-kT}^{kT} pF(u(t)) dt \right)^{1/p}. \tag{2.2}$$

Then φ_k is Fréchet differentiable at any $u \in H_{2kT}$. For any $v \in H_{2kT}$, by a simple calculation, we have

$$\varphi'_{k}(u)v = \int_{-kT}^{kT} |u'(t)|^{p-2}u'(t)v'(t)dt - \int_{-kT}^{kT} f(u(t))v(t)dt + \int_{-kT}^{kT} e_{k}(t)v(t)dt - \sum_{j \in \Omega_{k}} g_{j}(u(t_{j}))v(t_{j}).$$

Thus, by [H2], we get

$$\varphi'_{k}(u)u \leq \int_{-kT}^{kT} |u'(t)|^{p} dt - \int_{-kT}^{kT} \theta F(u(t)) dt
+ \int_{-kT}^{kT} e_{k}(t) u(t) dt - \sum_{j \in \Omega_{k}} g_{j}(u(t_{j})) u(t_{j}).$$
(2.3)

It is evident that critical points of the functional φ_k are classical 2kT periodic solutions of system (1.2).

Lemma 1. [10] There is a positive constant C such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in H_{2kT}$ the following inequality holds:

$$||u||_{L^{\infty}_{\alpha,n}} \leq C ||u||_{H_{2kT}}.$$

Lemma 2. [14] There exists $r_p > 0$, for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$(|x|^{p-2}x - |y|^{p-2}y)(x-y) \ge r_p|x-y|^p, p \ge 2.$$

Lemma 3. Suppose $u : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is a continuous mapping such that

$$u' \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$$
:= $\left\{ u : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} | \text{for any finite interval } [a, b], u|_{[a, b]} \in L^p([a, b], \mathbb{R}^N) \right\}$

Then for $a, b \ge 0$ with a + b > 0, the following inequality holds:

$$|u(t)| \leq 2^{\frac{p-1}{p}} (a+b)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \max\{1, (a+b)\} \left(\int_{t-a}^{t+b} (|u'(s)|^p + |u(s)|^p) ds \right)^{1/p}.$$

In particular,

$$|u(t)| \le 2^{\frac{p-2}{p}} a^{-\frac{1}{p}} \max\{1, 2a\} \left(\int_{t-a}^{t+a} (|u'(s)|^p + |u(s)|^p) ds \right)^{1/p},$$

$$|u(t)| \le 2^{\frac{2p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{t-1}^{t+1} (|u'(s)|^p + |u(s)|^p) ds \right)^{1/p}.$$

Proof. Fix $t \in \mathbb{R}$, for any given $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, we can have

$$|u(t)| \le |u(\delta)| + \Big| \int_{\delta}^{t} u'(s)ds \Big|. \tag{2.4}$$

Integrating (2.4) on the interval [t-a, t+b] with respect to δ , then it follows from the Jensen and Hölder inequalities that

$$\begin{split} &(a+b)|u(t)| \leq \int_{t-a}^{t+b} \left(|u(\delta)| + \left| \int_{\delta}^{t} u'(s)ds \right| \right) d\delta \\ &\leq (a+b)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{t-a}^{t+b} \left(|u(\delta)| + \left| \int_{\delta}^{t} u'(s)ds \right| \right)^{p} d\delta \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq (2a+2b)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{t-a}^{t+b} \left(|u(\delta)|^{p} + \left| \int_{\delta}^{t} u'(s)ds \right|^{p} \right) d\delta \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq (2a+2b)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{t-a}^{t+b} |u(\delta)|^{p} d\delta + (a+b)^{p} \int_{t-a}^{t+b} |u'(s)|^{p} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq (2a+2b)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \max\{1, (a+b)\} \left(\int_{t-a}^{t+b} |u(\delta)|^{p} d\delta + \int_{t-a}^{t+b} |u'(s)|^{p} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{split}$$

then, we obtain

$$|u(t)| \le 2^{\frac{p-1}{p}} (a+b)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \max\{1, (a+b)\}$$

$$\times \left(\int_{t-a}^{t+b} |u(\delta)|^p d\delta + \int_{t-a}^{t+b} |u'(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

In particular, if a = b and a = b = 1, respectively, we have

$$|u(t)| \le 2^{\frac{p-2}{p}} a^{-\frac{1}{p}} \max\{1, 2a\} \left(\int_{t-a}^{t+a} (|u'(s)|^p + |u(s)|^p) ds \right)^{1/p},$$

$$|u(t)| \le 2^{\frac{2p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{t-1}^{t+1} (|u'(s)|^p + |u(s)|^p) ds \right)^{1/p}.$$

Therefore, the proof is completed. \Box

3 Main results

Theorem 1. Assume that [H1]-[H6] hold, then the system (1.1) possesses at least one 2kT-periodic wave solution.

Theorem 2. Assume that [H1]–[H6] hold, then the system (1.1) possesses no solitary wave solution.

We conclude this section considering the following example.

Example 1. Consider system (1.1) with p=4, $t_j=\frac{2j\pi}{m}$, $j\in\mathbb{Z}$, $T=2\pi$, m=5, $F(u)=-\frac{1}{\gamma}u^{\gamma}+\frac{1}{1-\gamma}u^{1-\gamma}$, $e(t)=\sin t/4$, $G_j(u(t_j))=(1+|\sin(t_j/2)|)|u(t_j)|^6$. Then, it is easy to verify that $F,\,f,\,G_j,\,g_j,\,e$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1-2 with $a=1/4,\,\gamma=4,\,\mu=6,\,\theta=5$ and $\beta=1/2$. Therefore, system (1.1) possesses at least one periodic wave solution and no solitary wave solution, which are induced by impulses.

4 Proofs of main results

Now, we give the proof of Theorem 1 by using the mountain-pass theorem [16].

4.1 Proof of Theorem 1

Proof. For any given sequence $\{u_n\} \in H_{2kT}$ such that $\{\varphi_k(u_n)\}$ is bounded and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi'_k(u_n) = 0$, there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$|\varphi_k(u_n)| \le C_1, \ \|\varphi'_k(u_n)\|_{H^*_{2k,T}} \le C_1, \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where H_{2kT}^* is the dual space of H_{2kT} . The rest of the proof is divided into three steps.

Step 1. We show that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded. In fact, by (2.1) and [H4]

$$\eta_{k}^{p}(u_{n}) = p\varphi_{k}(u_{n}) + p \sum_{j \in \Omega_{k}} G_{j}(u_{n}(t_{j})) - p \int_{-kT}^{kT} e_{k}(t)u_{n}(t)dt \leq p\varphi_{k}(u_{n})
+ \frac{p}{\mu} \sum_{j \in \Omega_{k}} \left[g_{j}(u_{n}(t_{j}))u_{n}(t_{j}) + \beta a|u_{n}(t_{j})|^{\gamma} \right] - p \int_{-kT}^{kT} e_{k}(t)u_{n}(t)dt.$$
(4.1)

By (2.3) and $\mu > \theta > p$, we have

$$\frac{p}{\mu}\varphi_{k}'(u_{n})u_{n} \leq \int_{-kT}^{kT} \left[\frac{p}{\mu}|u_{n}'(t)|^{p} - \frac{p}{\mu}\theta F(u_{n}(t)) + \frac{p}{\mu}e_{k}(t)u_{n}(t)\right] dt
- \frac{p}{\mu}\sum_{j\in\Omega_{k}}g_{j}(u_{n}(t_{j}))u_{n}(t_{j}) \leq \int_{-kT}^{kT} \left[\frac{\theta}{\mu}|u_{n}'(t)|^{p} - \frac{\theta}{\mu}pF(u_{n}(t)) + \frac{p}{\mu}e_{k}(t)u_{n}(t)\right] dt - \frac{p}{\mu}\sum_{j\in\Omega_{k}}g_{j}(u_{n}(t_{j}))u_{n}(t_{j})
= \frac{\theta}{\mu}\eta_{k}^{p}(u_{n}) + \frac{p}{\mu}\int_{-kT}^{kT}e_{k}(t)u_{n}(t) dt - \frac{p}{\mu}\sum_{j\in\Omega_{k}}g_{j}(u_{n}(t_{j}))u_{n}(t_{j}).$$
(4.2)

From (4.1) and (4.2), we can obtain

$$\left(1 - \frac{\theta}{\mu}\right)\eta_k^p(u_n) \le p\varphi_k(u) - \frac{p}{\mu}\varphi_k'(u_n)u_n - \left(p - \frac{p}{\mu}\right)\int_{-kT}^{kT} e_k(t)u_n(t)dt$$

$$+ \frac{p\beta a}{\mu} \sum_{j \in \Omega_k} |u_n(t_j)|^{\gamma} \le pC_1 + \frac{p\beta a}{\mu} \int_{-kT}^{kT} |u_n(t)|^{\gamma} dt + \left[\frac{pC_1}{\mu} + \left(p - \frac{p}{\mu} \right) \left(\int_{-kT}^{kT} |e_k(t)|^q dt \right)^{1/q} \right] ||u_n||_{H_{2kT}},$$
(4.3)

where q > 1 satisfying 1/p + 1/q = 1.

On the other hand, from [H1], (2.2) and Lemma 1, we have

$$\left(1 - \frac{\theta}{\mu}\right) \eta_{k}^{p}(u) = \left(1 - \frac{\theta}{\mu}\right) \int_{-kT}^{kT} \left(|u'(t)|^{p} - pF(u(t))\right) dt
+ \frac{p\beta a}{\mu} \int_{-kT}^{kT} |u_{n}(t)|^{\gamma} dt - \frac{p\beta a}{\mu} \int_{-kT}^{kT} |u_{n}(t)|^{\gamma} dt
\geq \left(1 - \frac{\theta}{\mu}\right) \int_{-kT}^{kT} |u'(t)|^{p} dt + pa \left(1 - \frac{\theta}{\mu} - \frac{\beta}{\mu}\right) \int_{-kT}^{kT} |u_{n}(t)|^{\gamma} dt
+ \frac{p\beta a}{\mu} \int_{-kT}^{kT} |u_{n}(t)|^{\gamma} dt \geq \min\left\{ \left(1 - \frac{\theta}{\mu}\right) \|u_{n}\|_{H_{2kT}}^{p}, \right.
paC^{\gamma-p} \left(1 - \frac{\theta}{\mu} - \frac{\beta}{\mu}\right) \|u_{n}\|_{H_{2kT}}^{\gamma} \right\} + \frac{p\beta a}{\mu} \int_{-kT}^{kT} |u_{n}(t)|^{\gamma} dt.$$
(4.4)

It follows from (4.3) and (4.4) that

$$\min \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{\theta}{\mu} \right) \|u_n\|_{H_{2kT}}^p, paC^{\gamma - p} \left(1 - \frac{\theta}{\mu} - \frac{\beta}{\mu} \right) \|u_n\|_{H_{2kT}}^{\gamma} \right\}$$

$$\leq pC_1 + \left[\frac{pC_1}{\mu} + \left(p - \frac{p}{\mu} \right) \left(\int_{-kT}^{kT} |e_k(t)|^q dt \right)^{1/q} \right] \|u_n\|_{H_{2kT}}.$$

Since $p \ge \gamma > 1$ and $0 \le \beta < \mu - \theta$, then we can see that $\|u_n\|_{H_{2kT}}$ is bounded. Because H_{2kT} is a reflexive Banach space, we can pick $\{u_n\}$ be a weakly convergent sequence to u in H_{2kT} , and $\{u_n\}$ converges uniformly to u in C[-kT,kT]. So, we have

$$u_n(t) - u(t) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty, \ t \in [-kT, kT], \quad \sum_{j \in \Omega_k} [g_j(u_n(t_j)) - g_j(u(t_j))]$$

$$\times [u_n(t_j) - u(t_j)] \to 0, \quad \int_{-kT}^{kT} [f(u_n(t)) - f(u(t))][u_n(t) - u(t)] dt \to 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Then

$$\int_{-kT}^{kT} \left[|u'_n(t)|^{p-2} u'_n(t) - |u'(t)|^{p-2} u'(t) \right] \left[u'_n(t) - u'(t) \right] dt = \left[\varphi'_k(u_n(t)) - \varphi'_k(u(t)) \right] \\
\times \left[u_n(t) - u(t) \right] + \int_{-kT}^{kT} \left[f(u_n(t)) - f(u(t)) \right] \left[u_n(t) - u(t) \right] dt \\
+ \sum_{j \in Q_k} \left[g_j(u_n(t_j)) - g_j(u(t_j)) \right] \left[u_n(t_j) - u(t_j) \right].$$
(4.6)

Thus, it follows from (4.5), (4.6) and Lemma 2 that $||u_n - u||_{H_{2kT}} \to 0$. Therefore, the functional φ_k satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.

Step 2. Define

$$\varphi(s) = s^{\mu} G_j\left(\frac{u}{s}\right) - \frac{\beta a s^{\mu-\gamma}}{\mu - \gamma} |u|^{\gamma}, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., m, \ s > 0.$$

From [H4], we can have

$$\begin{split} \varphi'(s) = & \frac{d}{ds} \left[s^{\mu} G_j \left(\frac{u}{s} \right) - \frac{\beta a s^{\mu - \gamma}}{\mu - \gamma} |u|^{\gamma} \right] \\ = & s^{\mu - 1} \left[\mu G_j \left(\frac{u}{s} \right) - g_j \left(\frac{u}{s} \right) \frac{u}{s} - \beta a \left(\frac{|u|}{s} \right)^{\gamma} \right] \le 0, \end{split}$$

which implies that $\varphi(s)$ is non-increasing for s > 0. Thus,

$$G_{j}(u(t_{j})) - \frac{\beta a}{\mu - \gamma} |u(t_{j})|^{\gamma} \leq G_{j} \left(\frac{u(t_{j})}{|u(t_{j})|}\right) |u(t_{j})|^{\mu} - \frac{\beta a}{\mu - \gamma} |u(t_{j})|^{\mu}$$

$$\leq \left(M - \frac{\beta a}{\mu - \gamma}\right) |u(t_{j})|^{\mu} \leq M |u(t_{j})|^{\mu},$$
(4.7)

for $0 < |u(t_j)| \le 1, j \in \Omega_k$, and

$$G_{j}(u(t_{j})) - \frac{\beta a}{\mu - \gamma} |u(t_{j})|^{\gamma} \ge G_{j} \left(\frac{u(t_{j})}{|u(t_{j})|} \right) |u(t_{j})|^{\mu} - \frac{\beta a}{\mu - \gamma} |u(t_{j})|^{\mu}$$

$$\ge \left(M_{1} - \frac{\beta a}{\mu - \gamma} \right) |u(t_{j})|^{\mu},$$
(4.8)

for $|u(t_j)| \geq 1$, $j \in \Omega_k$. Note that [H1] implies that $p \geq \gamma > 0$, thus, we have

$$\int_{-kT}^{kT} |u(t)|^p dt \le ||u||_{L^{\infty}_{2kT}}^{p-\gamma} \int_{-kT}^{kT} |u(t)|^{\gamma} dt.$$

If $||u||_{L^{\infty}_{2kT}} \leq \delta \leq 1$, then from (2.1), [H1], [H2], (4.6) and (4.7), we have

$$\begin{split} \varphi_k(u) &= \frac{1}{p} \eta_k^p(u) + \int_{-kT}^{kT} e_k(t) u(t) dt - \sum_{j \in \Omega_k} G_j(u(t_j)) = \frac{1}{p} \bigg[\int_{-kT}^{kT} |u'(t)|^p dt \\ &- \int_{-kT}^{kT} p F(u(t)) dt \bigg] + \int_{-kT}^{kT} e_k(t) u(t) dt - \sum_{j \in \Omega_k} G_j(u(t_j)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} \int_{-kT}^{kT} |u'(t)|^p dt + a \int_{-kT}^{kT} |u(t)|^\gamma dt - \sum_{j \in \Omega_k} \bigg(M |u(t_j)|^\mu + \frac{\beta a}{\mu - \gamma} |u(t_j)|^\gamma \bigg) \\ &- \bigg(\int_{-kT}^{kT} |e_k(t)|^q dt \bigg)^{1/q} \bigg(\int_{-kT}^{kT} |u(t)|^p dt \bigg)^{1/p} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} \int_{-kT}^{kT} |u'(t)|^p dt + a \int_{-kT}^{kT} |u(t)|^\gamma dt - \int_{-kT}^{kT} \bigg(M |u(t_j)|^\mu + \frac{\beta a}{\mu - \gamma} |u(t_j)|^\gamma \bigg) dt \\ &- \bigg(\int_{-kT}^{kT} |e_k(t)|^q dt \bigg)^{1/q} \bigg(\int_{-kT}^{kT} |u(t)|^p dt \bigg)^{1/p} . \end{split}$$

Further, we have

$$\begin{split} \varphi_k(u) &\geq \frac{1}{p} \int_{-kT}^{kT} |u'(t)|^p dt + \left[a \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{\mu - \gamma} \right) - M \, \|u\|_{L^\infty_{2kT}}^{\mu - \gamma} \right] \int_{-kT}^{kT} |u(t)|^\gamma dt \\ &- \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |e_k(t)|^q dt \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_{-kT}^{kT} |u(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \geq \frac{1}{p} \int_{-kT}^{kT} |u'(t)|^p dt \\ &+ \left[a \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{\mu - \gamma} \right) \|u\|_{L^\infty_{2kT}}^{\gamma - p} - M \, \|u\|_{L^\infty_{2kT}}^{\mu - p} \right] \int_{-kT}^{kT} |u(t)|^p dt \\ &- \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |e_k(t)|^q dt \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_{-kT}^{kT} |u(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \geq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{p}, a \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{\mu - \gamma} \right) \|u\|_{L^\infty_{2kT}}^{\gamma - p} - M \, \|u\|_{H_{2kT}}^{\mu - p} \right\} \|u\|_{H_{2kT}}^p - \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |e_k(t)|^q dt \right)^{1/q} \|u\|_{H_{2kT}}. \end{split}$$

Set $\rho = \delta/C$, and

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{C^p} \min \left\{ \frac{\delta^p}{p}, a \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{\mu - \gamma} \right) \delta^{\gamma} - M \delta^{\mu} \right\} - \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |e_k(t)|^q dt \right)^{1/q} \frac{\delta}{C} > 0,$$

where C is defined in Lemma 1. Let $||u||_{H_{2kT}} = \rho$, then $||u||_{L^{\infty}_{2kT}} \leq \delta \leq 1$. Therefore, $\varphi_k(u) \geq \alpha > 0$.

Step 3. We choose $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega = \frac{\pi}{T}$, $Q(t) = (\sin(\omega t), 0, ..., 0) \in H_{2T} \setminus \{0\}$. Then, we can see that $Q(\pm T) = 0$. Let

$$m_1 = \min\{F(u) : |u(t)| \le 1, t \in [0, T]\}, m_2 = \min\{F(u) : |u(t)| = 1, t \in [0, T]\},$$

then $0 > m_2 \ge m_1 > -\infty$.

Let $h(s) = s^{-\theta} F(su)$, s > 0. It follows from [H2] that

$$h'(s) = (f(su)su - \theta F(su))/s^{\theta+1} \ge 0.$$

Then, we can get

$$F(u) \ge |u|^{\theta} F(u/|u|), \quad |u| \ge 1,$$
 (4.9)

which implies that

$$F(u) \ge m_2 |u|^{\theta} + m_1, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Set $|\zeta u(t_j)| \ge 1$, $j \in \Omega_k$. From (4.8), we can have

$$G_j(\zeta u(t_j)) - \frac{\beta a}{\mu - \gamma} |\zeta u(t_j)|^{\gamma} \ge \left(M_1 - \frac{\beta a}{\mu - \gamma} \right) |\zeta u(t_j)|^{\mu}, \ t_j \in \Omega_k.$$

Define

$$\widetilde{Q}(t) = \begin{cases} Q(t), & t \in [-T, T], \\ 0, & t \in [-kT, kT] \setminus [-T, T]. \end{cases}$$

$$(4.10)$$

It follows from (2.1), (2.2) and (4.9)-(4.10) that

$$\begin{split} \varphi_k(\zeta\widetilde{Q}) &= \frac{1}{p} \int_{-T}^T |\zeta Q'(t)|^p dt - \int_{-T}^T F(\zeta Q(t)) dt - \sum_{j \in \Omega_1} G_j(\zeta Q(t_j)) \\ &+ \int_{-T}^T e_1(t) \zeta Q(t) dt \leq \frac{T|\zeta|^p \omega^p}{p} - m_2 |\zeta|^\theta \int_{-T}^T |Q(t)|^\theta dt \\ &- |\zeta|^\gamma \sum_{j \in \Omega_1} \frac{\beta a}{\mu - \gamma} |Q(t_j)|^\gamma - |\zeta|^\mu \sum_{j \in \Omega_1} \Big(M_1 - \frac{\beta a}{\mu - \gamma} \Big) |Q(t_j)|^\mu \\ &+ |\zeta| \bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |e_1(t)|^q dt \bigg)^{1/q} \bigg(\int_{-T}^T |Q(t)|^p \bigg)^{1/p} - 2m_1 T. \end{split}$$

Clearly,

$$\varphi_k(\zeta \widetilde{Q}) \to -\infty \text{ as } |\zeta| \to +\infty.$$

Consequently, φ_k possesses a critical value $c_k \geq \alpha > 0$. Let u_k denote the corresponding critical point of φ_k on H_{2kT} , that is,

$$\varphi_k(u_k) = c_k, \ \varphi'_k(u_k) = 0. \tag{4.11}$$

Hence, system (1.2) possesses a 2kT-periodic solution u_k . Therefore, the system (1.1) possesses at least one 2kT-periodic wave solution. \square

Theorem 3. Let $\{u_k\}$ be the sequence defined in (4.11). Then there exist a subsequence $\{u_{k,k}\}$ of $\{u_k\}$ and a function $u_0 \in W^{1,p}_{loc} \cap L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\{u_{k,k}\}$ converges to u_0 weakly in $W^{1,p}_{loc}$ and strongly in $L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. We claim that there is a constant $M_3>0$ independent of k such that $\|u_k\|_{H_{2kT}}\leq M_3$. Let $e_1\in H_{2T}\setminus\{0\}$ such that $e_1(\pm T)=0,\ e_1(t_k)\neq 0$ for some $t_k\in (-T,T)$ and $\varphi_1(e_1)\leq 0$. Define

$$e_k(t) = \begin{cases} e_1(t), & |t| < T, \\ 0, & T \le |t| \le kT, k = 2, 3, \dots \end{cases}$$

We then extend $e_k(k=1,2,...)$ to be 2kT periodic, which, for convenience, we denote also by e_k . It is clear that $e_k \in H_{2kT}$ and $\varphi_k(e_k) = \varphi_1(e_1) \leq 0$.

Define $g_k:[0,1]\to H_{2kT}$ by $g_k(s)=se_k$ for $s\in[0,1].$ Then, we can have

$$c_k \le \max_{s \in [0,1]} \varphi_k(g_k(s)) = \max_{s \in [0,1]} \varphi_1(g_1(s)) \equiv c_0$$

independently of k, where c_k is a constant of (4.11).

As in Step 1 in the proof of Theorem 1, we can prove that $\{u_k\}$ is a bounded sequence in $W^{1,p}((-T,T),\mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence, we can choose a subsequence $\{u_{1,k}\}$ such that $\{u_{1,k}\}$ converges weakly in $W^{1,p}((-T,T),\mathbb{R}^N)$ and strongly in $L^{\infty}((-T,T),\mathbb{R}^N)$. Note that $\{u_{1,k}\}$ is a bounded sequence in $W^{1,p}((-2T,2T),R^N)$, we can choose a subsequence $\{u_{2,k}\}$ such that $\{u_{2,k}\}$ converges weakly in $W^{1,p}((-2T,2T),R^N)$ and strongly in $L^{\infty}((-2T,2T),R^N)$. Repeating this

process, we obtain, for any positive integer n, a sequence $\{u_{n,k}\}$ that converges weakly in $W^{1,p}((-nT,nT),\mathbb{R}^N)$ and strongly in $L^{\infty}((-nT,nT),\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfying

$$\{u_k\} \supset \{u_{1,k}\} \supset \{u_{2,k}\} \supset \dots \{u_{n,k}\} \supset \dots$$

Therefore, for any positive integer n, the sequence $\{u_{j,j}\}$ converges weakly in $W^{1,p}((-nT,nT),\mathbb{R}^N)$ and the sequence $\{u_{j,j}\}$ converges strongly in $L^\infty((-nT,nT),\mathbb{R}^N)$. Therefore, there exists a function $u_0\in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^N)\cap L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that the sequence $\{u_{j,j}\}$ converges weakly u_0 in $W^{1,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^N)$ and strongly in $L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^N)$. \square

4.2 Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. We divide the proof into three steps.

Step 1. We show that u_0 is a solution to system (1.1). Here, for simplicity, we denote $\{u_{k,k}\}$ by $\{u_k\}$. For any given interval $(a,b) \subset (-kT,kT)$ and any $v \in W_0^{1,p}((a,b),\mathbb{R}^N)$, define

$$v_1 = \begin{cases} v(t), & t \in (a, b), \\ 0, & t \in (-kT, kT) \setminus (a, b). \end{cases}$$

For any $v \in W_0^{1,p}((a,b),\mathbb{R}^N)$, we get

$$0 = \varphi'_k(u_k)(v_1) = \int_a^b |u'_k|^{p-2} u'_k v' dt - \int_a^b f(u_k) v dt + \int_a^b e_k v dt - \sum_{t_j \in (a,b)} g_j(u_k(t_j)) v(t_j),$$

then, it follows that

$$\begin{split} & \int_{a}^{b} |u_{0}'|^{p-2} u_{0}' v' dt - \int_{a}^{b} f(u_{0}) v dt + \int_{a}^{b} ev dt - \sum_{t_{j} \in (a,b)} g_{j}(u_{0}(t_{j})) v(t_{j}) \\ & = \lim_{k \to +\infty} \left(\int_{a}^{b} |u_{k}'|^{p-2} u_{k}' v' dt - \int_{a}^{b} f(u_{k}) v dt + \int_{a}^{b} e_{k} v dt \right. \\ & \left. - \sum_{t_{j} \in (a,b)} g_{j}(u_{k}(t_{j})) v(t_{j}) \right) = 0. \end{split}$$

By using a similar argument as the proof of Lemma 2.5 in [25], we can show that u_0 is a solution to system (1.1).

Step 2. We prove that $u_0(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \pm \infty$. Since $\{u_k\}$ is weakly convergent in $W_{loc}^{1,p}$, it follows from Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 1 that there exists a constant $M_3 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (|u_0'|^p + |u_0|^p) dt = \lim_{k \to +\infty} \int_{-kT}^{kT} (|u_0'|^p + |u_0|^p) dt$$

$$\leq \lim_{k \to +\infty} \lim_{j \to +\infty} \int_{-kT}^{kT} (|u_j'|^p + |u_j|^p) dt \leq M_3^p.$$

So, we can have

$$\int_{|t|>r} (|u_0'|^p + |u_0|^p)dt \to 0, \text{ as } r \to +\infty,$$
(4.12)

which together with Lemma 3 yields that $u_0(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \pm \infty$.

Step 3. We prove that $u_0'(t^{\pm}) \not\to 0$ as $t \to \pm \infty$.

Note that $0=t_0 < t_1 \le t_2 < \ldots < t_m = T, \ t_{j+m} = t_j + T, \ j \in \mathbb{Z},$ $\underline{\delta} = \min_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \{t_j - t_{j-1}\} > 0$, so $\overline{\delta} = \max_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \{t_j - t_{j-1}\} \ge \delta > 0$. By means of the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\underline{\delta}|u_0'(t)|^{p-1} \leq \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \left| |u_0'(\tau)|^{p-2} u_0'(\tau) + \int_{\tau}^{t} \frac{d}{ds} \left(|u_0'(s)|^{p-2} u_0'(s) \right) ds \right| d\tau$$

$$\leq \overline{\delta}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} |u_0'(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + \overline{\delta}^{\frac{2p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \left| \frac{d}{ds} \left(|u_0'(s)|^{p-2} u_0'(s) \right) \right|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$
(4.13)

From (4.12), we can see that

$$\int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} |u_0'|^p dt \le \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (|u_0'|^p + |u_0|^p) dt \to 0, \text{ as } j \to \pm \infty.$$
 (4.14)

It follows from $e \in L^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ that

$$\int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} |e(s)|^p ds \to 0, \text{ as } j \to \pm \infty.$$
 (4.15)

In Step 1, we have prove that u_0 is a solution to system (1.1). Then,

$$\int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \left| \frac{d}{ds} (|u_0'(s)|^{p-2} u_0'(s)) \right|^p ds = \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \left| -f(u_0(s)) + e(s) \right|^p ds
\leq 2^{p-1} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (|f(u_0(s))|^p + |e(s)|^p) ds.$$
(4.16)

However, $f(0) \neq 0$. So that, from $\lim_{t \to \pm \infty} |u_0(t^{\pm})| = 0$, (4.15) and (4.16), we can see that

$$\int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \left| \frac{d}{ds} \left(|u_0'(s)|^{p-2} u_0'(s) \right) \right|^p ds \not\to 0 \text{ as } j \to \pm \infty.$$
 (4.17)

Substituting (4.17) and (4.14) into (4.13), we can obtain

$$|u_0'(t^{\pm})| \not\to 0 \text{ as } j \to \pm \infty.$$

Hence, by the definition of homoclinic solutions, we can see that there are no existence of homoclinic solutions for system (1.1). Therefore, the system (1.1) possesses no solitary wave solution. \Box

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their great thanks to the reviewers who carefully reviewed the manuscript. The research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.11471109), the Construct Program of the Key Discipline in Hunan Province and Hunan Provincial Innovation Foundation for Postgraduate (CX2017B172).

References

- [1] R. Agarwal, D. Franco and D. O'Regan. Singular boundary value problems for first and second order impulsive differential equations. *Aequationes Math.*, **69**(1–2):83–96, 2005. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00010-004-2735-9.
- M. Benchohra, J. Henderson and S. Ntouyas. Impulsive Differential Equations and Inclusions, volume 2. Hindawi Publishing Corporation, New York, 2006. https://doi.org/10.1155/9789775945501.
- [3] D. Bonheure and P. Torres. Bounded and homoclinic-like solutions of a second-order singular differential equation. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 44(1):47–54, 2012. https://doi.org/10.1112/blms/bdr060.
- [4] L. Chen, C. Tisdell and R. Yuan. On the solvability of periodic boundary value problems with impulse. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 331(2):233–244, 2007. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.09.021.
- [5] A. Fonda and R. Toader. Periodic orbits of radially symmetric Keplerianlike systems: A topological degree approach. J. Differential Equations., 244(12):3235–3264, 2008. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2007.11.005.
- [6] D. Franco and P. Torres. Periodic solutions of singular systems without the strong force condition. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 136:1229–1236, 2008. https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-07-09226-X.
- [7] R. Hakl and P. Torres. On periodic solutions of second-order differential equations with attractive-repulsive singularities. J. Differential Equations., 248(1):111-126, 2010. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2009.07.008.
- [8] X. Han and H. Zhang. Periodic and homoclinic solutions generated by impulses for asymptotically linear and sublinear Hamiltonian system. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **235**(5):1531–1541, 2011. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2010.08.040.
- [9] A. Huaux. Sur l'existence d'une solution périodique de l'e quation différentielle non linéaire $\ddot{x}+(0,2)\dot{x}+\frac{x}{1-x}=(0,5)\cos\omega t$. Bull. Acad. r. Belg., **48**:494–504, 1962.
- [10] M. Izydorek and J. Janczewska. Homoclinic solutions for a class of the second order Hamiltonian systems. J. Differential Equations., 219(2):375–389, 2005. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2005.06.029.
- [11] F. Kong, S. Lu and Z. Luo. Positive periodic solutions for singular higher order delay differential equations. Results Math., 72(1-2):71-86, 2017. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00025-016-0647-3.
- [12] F. Kong and Z. Luo. Positive periodic solutions for a kind of first-order singular differential equation induced by impulses. *Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst.*, 2017. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12346-017-0239-y.

- [13] F. Kong, Z. Luo and F. Chen. Solitary wave solutions for singular non-Newtonian filtration equations. J. Math. Phys., 58(9):093506, 2017. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5005100.
- [14] P. Lindqvist. On the equation $\div(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + \lambda |u|^{p-2}u = 0$. Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc., **109**:157–164, 1990.
- [15] J. Mawhin. Topological degree and boundary value problems for nonlinear differential equations. In In: Furi, M., Zecca, P. (eds.) Topologic Methods for Ordinary Differential Equations, volume 1537 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, pp. 74–142, New York, 1993. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0085076.
- [16] J. Mawhin and M. Willem. Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems. Springer, 1989. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-2061-7.
- [17] J. Nieto and D. O'Regan. Variational approach to impulsive differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.*, **10**(2):680–690, 2009. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2007.10.022.
- [18] H. Pishkenari, Μ. Behzad and A. Meghdari. Nonlinear dynamic analysis of atomic force microscopy under deterministic and ran-Solitonsdomexcitation. ChaosFractals, **37**(3):748–762, 2008. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2006.09.079.
- [19] D. Qian and X. Li. Periodic solutions for ordinary differential equations with sublinear impulsive effects. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **303**(1):288–303, 2005. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2004.08.034.
- [20] I. Rachåunková and J. Tomeček. Homoclinic solutions of singular nonautonomous second-order differential equations. *Bound. Value Probl.*, 959636:1–21, 2009. https://doi.org/10.1155/2009/959636.
- [21] S. Rutzel, S. Lee and A. Raman. Nonlinear dynamics of atomic-force-microscope probes driven in Lennard-Jones potentials. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A.*, 459(2036):1925–1948, 2003. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2002.1115.
- [22] A. Samoilenko and N. Perestyuk. Impulsive Differential Equations. World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.
- [23] J. Sun, J. Chu and H. Chen. Periodic solution generated by impulses for singular differential equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 404(2):562–569, 2013. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2013.03.036.
- [24] J. Sun and D. O'Regan. Impulsive periodic solution for singular problems via variational methods. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 86(2):193–204, 2012. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972711003509.
- [25] Y. Tian and W. Ge. Applications of variational methods to boundary-value problem for impulsive differential equations. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.*, 51(2):509– 527, 2008. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0013091506001532.
- [26] Y. Tian and W. Ge. Variational methods to Sturm-Liouville boundary value problem for impulsive differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 72(1):277–287, 2010. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2009.06.051.
- [27] Z. Wang. Periodic solutions of Liénard equation with a singularity and a deviating argument. Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., 16:227–234, 2014. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2013.09.021.
- [28] Z. Wang and T. Ma. Existence and multiplicity of periodic solutions of semilinear resonant Duffing equations with singularities. *Nonlinearity*, 25(2):279–307, 2012. https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/25/2/279.

- [29] J. Xie and Z. Luo. Homoclinic orbits for Hamiltonian systems induced by impulses. Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 39(9):2239–2250, 2016. https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.3636.
- [30] G. Yang, J. Lu and A. Luo. On the computation of Lyapunov exponents for forced vibration of a Lennard-Jones oscillator. *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **23**(3):833–841, 2005. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2004.05.034.
- [31] S. Zavalishchin and A. Sesekin. *Dynamic Impulse Systems: Theory and Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, United States, 1997. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-015-8893-5.
- [32] H. Zhang and Z. Li. Periodic and homoclinic solutions generated by impulses. Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., 12(1):39–51, 2011. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2010.05.034.
- [33] M. Zhang. Periodic solutions of damped differential systems with repulsive singular forces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 127:401–407, 1999. https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-99-05120-5.