2016 Volume 22(8): 981-990

http://dx.doi.org/10.3846/13923730.2016.1232306

Invited Review

# APPLICATIONS OF GRAVITATIONAL SEARCH ALGORITHM IN ENGINEERING

Nazmul SIDDIQUE<sup>a</sup>, Hojjat ADELI<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Computing and Intelligent Systems, Ulster University, Northland Road, Londonderry, BT48 7JL, United Kingdom

<sup>b</sup>Departments of Biomedical Engineering, Biomedical Informatics, Civil, Environmental, and Geodetic Engineering, Electrical and Computer Engineering, Neuroscience, and Neurology, The Ohio State University, 470 Hitchcock Hall, 2070 Neil Avenue, Columbus, Ohio 43210 U.S.A.

Received 09 Aug 2016; accepted 22 Aug 2016

**Abstract.** Gravitational search algorithm (GSA) is a nature-inspired conceptual framework with roots in gravitational kinematics, a branch of physics that models the motion of masses moving under the influence of gravity. In a recent article the authors reviewed the principles of GSA. This article presents a review of applications of GSA in engineering including combinatorial optimization problems, economic load dispatch problem, economic and emission dispatch problem, optimal power flow problem, optimal reactive power dispatch problem, energy management system problem, clustering and classification problem, feature subset selection problem, parameter identification, training neural networks, traveling salesman problem, filter design and communication systems, unit commitment problem and multi-objective optimization problems.

Keywords: gravitational search algorithm, nature-inspired computing, gravitational kinematics, metaheuristic algorithm.

## Introduction

Inspired by the universal law of gravitation, Rashedi *et al.* (2009) proposed the Gravitational Search Algorithm (GSA) as a heuristic optimization method. In a recent article the authors reviewed the principles of gravitational search algorithm (GSA), how they are applied to the optimization problem, and the key ideas behind GSA (Siddique, Adeli 2016). They presented a review of GSA and its variants and summarized guidelines from the literature on the choice of parameters used in GSA for effective solution of optimization problems.

GSA was first applied to well-known benchmark combinatorial optimization problems (Rashedi *et al.* 2009, 2011). Since then GSA has found a wide range of applications. This paper presents a review of GSA applications for solution of engineering problems.

## 1. Economic load dispatch problem

Economic Load Dispatch (ELD) is a method of determining the most efficient, low-cost and reliable operation of a power system by dispatching available electricity generation resources to supply load on the system most economically. The ELD problem is multimodal, non-differentiable and highly nonlinear. The objective function of ELD problem can be stated as follows:

$$F_T = Min \sum_{i=1}^{N_g} C_i(P_i), \qquad (1)$$

where  $F_T$  is the total electricity generation cost to be minimized,  $C_i$  is the cost function of i-th generator and  $N_g$  is the number of power generating units each loaded to  $P_i$  in MW. Chatterjee  $et\ al.\ (2012)$  proposed GSA with wavelet mutation (Perez  $et\ al.\ 2014$ ; Dai  $et\ al.\ 2015$ ) (GSAWM) for solution of the ELD problem with 3, 6, 13 and 140 generating units incorporating valve-point effect. Swain  $et\ al.\ (2012)$  investigated the effectiveness and performance of standard GSA for solving ELD systems with 3 and 13 thermal generating units taking into account the valve-point effect.

## 2. Economic and emission dispatch problem

Sustainability has become a key area in the frontiers of research (Wang, Adeli 2014; Rafiei, Adeli 2016). The cost-effective production of electrical energy is crucial with the increasing demand (Pinto *et al.* 2014; Morais *et al.* 2015). The thermal power plants using fossil fuels produce energy economically but release toxic gases such as carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ), sulphur dioxide ( $SO_2$ ), nitrogen oxide ( $SO_2$ ), and some other particles, which cause environmental pollution. The objective of the eco-

Corresponding author: Nazmul Siddique *E-mail: nh.siddique@ulster.ac.uk* 



nomic and emission dispatch (EED) of power generation is to schedule the committed generating unit outputs to meet the load demand at minimum operating cost and minimum emission levels. The EED problem has thus become one of the most important optimization problems in power system operation and forms the basis of a benchmark problem for optimisation algorithms. EED poses a bi-objective optimization problem formulated as Min[F, E], where F is the total fuel cost of  $N_g$  generators and  $\vec{E}$  is the total emission dispatch from the generators expressed as the sum of all types of emissions such as NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, and particle emissions. Rather than handling the EED problem with two objectives, many researchers convert the bi-objective problem into a single objective optimization function using a price penalty factor (Bharathi et al. 2007). Güvenç et al. (2012) applied the GSA to four different test cases for the EED problem with quadratic cost and emission functions. Shaw et al. (2012) applied opposition-based GSA to solve the EED problem. Mondal et al. (2013) applied GSA to the IEEE 30-bus system with six conventional thermal generators. Jiang et al. (2014) proposed a hybrid particle swarm optimization (PSO) (Wu et al. 2014; Shabbir, Omenzetter 2015) and GSA (HPSO-GSA) to solve the EED problem with five various features such as with/without losses, with/without valve-point effects, with/without prohibited operating zones, with/without multiple fuels and ramp rate limits.

## 3. Optimal power flow problem

The optimal power flow (OPF) is a key problem in modern economic power systems requiring the balance of economy, reliable power supply, and computational effort. Efficient market equilibrium requires multi-part nonlinear pricing to be economical. As the power flow is alternating current, it induces additional nonlinearities (Carpentier 1979). Moreover, the power system must be able to withstand the loss of any generator or transmission unit, and the system operator must make binary decisions to start up and shut down generation and transmission units in response to system events. The OPF problem is a large-scale highly nonlinear control optimisation problem which seeks the most favourable settings of a given power system that minimises total fuel cost, active power loss, and bus voltage deviation, and maximizes voltage stability while satisfying a number of equality and inequality constraints (Bhowmik, Chakraborty 2014).

A number of population-based optimisation methods have been applied to the OPF problem such as PSO (Abido 2002; Boulkabeit *et al.* 2014) and genetic algorithm (GA). Duman *et al.* (2012a) applied GSA to the standard IEEE 30-bus test system with six generators for different cases with various objective functions such as quadratic cost function, voltage profile improvement, voltage stability enhancement during contingency, piecewise quadratic fuel cost functions and quadratic cost curve with valve point loading.

## 4. Reactive power dispatch problem

The OPF problem can be divided into two sub-problems: optimal Reactive Power Dispatch (RPD) and optimal real power dispatch (Shi et al. 2012). The electric power loads in a power generating system vary from hour to hour. The change in load causes variation in the reactive power requirement which depends on voltage. Therefore, an important operating task is to maintain the voltage level within the allowable range for high quality consumer service and minimise real power transmission losses. The RPD problem is a non-linear optimization problem with a number of equality and inequality constraints. The objective functions of RPD are to minimise the real power losses and improve voltage profile and voltage stability while satisfying a number of constraints such as load flow, generator bus voltages, load bus voltages, switchable reactive power compensations, reactive power generation, transformer tap setting and transmission line flow (Duman et al. 2012a; Niknam et al. 2013; Shaw et al. 2014).

Recently, different meta-heuristic algorithms have been applied to the RPD problem such as differential evaluation (DE) (Vincenzi, Savoia 2015; Cheng *et al.* 2015; Abou El Ela *et al.* 2011; Varadarajan, Swarup 2008), GA (Lee, Park 1995), evolutionary programming (Lai *et al.* 1997; Lai, Ma 1997), cooperative co-evolutionary DE algorithm (Liang *et al.* 2007), PSO (Mahadevan, Kannan 2010), self-adaptive real coded GA (Subbaraj, Rajnarayanan 2009), and PSO-based multi-agent systems (Zhao *et al.* 2005) using the concept of autonomous agents from the artificial intelligence research (Sun, Wu 2014; Montalvo *et al.* 2014).

Duman *et al.* (2012b) applied the GSA to the RPD problem for different cases with various objective functions. Niknam *et al.* (2013) applied opposition-based self-adaptive modified GSA to the RPD problem formulated as a mixed integer nonlinear optimisation problem (Adeli 1994). Shaw *et al.* (2014) used opposition-based population initialization and generation jumping in GSA to improve the performance of GSA and applied the approach to the RPD problem.

## 5. Energy management systems

Energy management system (EMS) is an integrated computer-based system used by operators of electric utility grids to monitor, control, and optimize the performance of the generation and/or transmission system. EMS is also used for optimum use of distributed energy sources, and automated control and monitoring of electromechanical facilities in buildings with high energy consumption. Performance optimization and scheduling of the distributed generation (DG) are issues of concern within Microgrid (MG). Optimization methods need to be applied to achieve maximum efficiency and best performance. In the recent years, researchers have applied population-based algorithms to the EMS problem such as GA (Chen *et al.*)

2011), and PSO (Hassan, Abido 2011) and also simulated annealing (SA) (Zhuang, Galiana 1990) with limited success.

Marzband *et al.* (2014) applied GSA to the real-time EMS problem in an MG including different types of DG units with particular attention to the technical constraints. The EMS consists of a stand-alone wind turbine (WT), photovoltaic (PV), microturbine (MT) and energy storage (ES) system. The objective function for the optimisation of the EMS is the total general cost. The application includes the implementation of some variation in load consumption model considering accessibility to the ES and demand response. The GSA provides a good compromise between computation time and precision of the solution.

## 6. Clustering problem

Finding a high performance search method for mining of huge data known as big data is of great current interest (Chira et al. 2014). A requisite for mining of big data is an effective clustering algorithm (Peng, Ouyang 2014). Clustering is a search process of discovering hidden patterns or relationship between data objects in large data sets (Gonçalves et al. 2014). It usually involves partitioning data sets into homogeneous subgroups or clusters subject to satisfying two objectives: minimise within-cluster variation (that is intra-cluster distance) and maximise the between-cluster variation (that is inter-cluster distance) (Menendez et al. 2014; Coletta et al. 2015). There are many popular clustering methods reported in the literature to date such as K-means clustering (MacQueen 1967), fuzzy C-means clustering (Bezdek 1981), mountain clustering (Yager, Filev 1994), subtractive clustering (Chiu 1994), and K-harmonic (K-H) means clustering (Zhang et al. 2000) but the search for effective algorithms still

Yin et al. (2011) integrated the GSA into K-harmonic means clustering algorithm to help K-harmonic (K-H) means escape from local minima. Hatamlou et al. (2012) applied a hybrid GSA-K-means approach to solve the optimal clustering problem. K-means algorithm is used in generating the initial population, i.e. cluster centres, and then GSA is employed as an improvement method to find the optimal solution. The proposed approach was tested on five real datasets.

## 7. Classification problems

Classification problem is to group objects into categories. Mathematically it is a mapping from input features into a set of labels or classes. There are many popular techniques for classifier system such as binary classifiers (Garcia-Predajas, Ortiz-Boyer 2011), decision tree classifier (Kurzynski 1983), neural networks classifier such as the Enhanced Probabilistic Neural Network (EPNN) (Ahmadlou, Adeli 2010), Bayesian classifier (Hernández-González *et al.* 2013), and support vector machine (Zhang, Zhou 2015; Chou, Pham 2015; Castillo *et al.* 2015). Some researchers also applied metaheuristic ap-

proaches such as PSO (De Falco *et al.* 2007) and Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) (Karaboga, Ozturk 2011) to the classification problem. Bahrololoum *et al.* (2012) applied the GSA to instance-based classification. Chakraborti *et al.* (2014) used a local extrema-based GSA which employs a stochastic local neighbourhood-based search instead of a global search to face recognition problem.

#### 8. Feature subset selection

Pattern recognition, data mining and knowledge discovery problems require feature subset selection (FSS) to represent the patterns to be classified (Zalama *et al.* 2014; Amezquita-Sanchez, Adeli 2015). The FSS problem refers to the task of identifying and discovering a useful subset of features to represent a pattern from a larger set of features which may be redundant and even irrelevant causing unnecessary computational complexity and cost (Jackowski *et al.* 2014). The research problem is then how to select the minimum subset of features to represent the original knowledge effectively. Thus, FSS can be viewed as a search problem.

The FSS problem can be treated as an optimization problem in a search space of  $2^N$ . Han *et al.* (2013) introduced a binary GSA to solve the FSS problem. The length of the encoding represents the total number of features where 1 represents a selected feature and 0 represents a non-selected feature (Fig. 1). Once a set of features is selected, a classification algorithm such as K nearest neighbour (K-NN) or EPNN (Ahmadlou, Adeli 2010) is used to perform the classification. Han *et al.* (2013) also applied quantum-inspired GSA to the FSS problem with the aim of improving the classification accuracy of the K-NN method. The method was verified using several machine learning benchmark examples from the University of California Machine Learning Repository.



Fig. 1. Encoding of FSS problem

#### 9. Parameter identification

System identification is a general mathematical procedure to build a dynamic model from measured input-output data (Sirca, Adeli 2012). That means system identification needs to deal with analysis, determination of order and parameters, and estimation of parameters of the dynamic system (Gutierrez-Soto, Adeli 2014; Wang, Adeli 2015). Thus, the system identification problem can be defined as constructing a suitable model of the system from input-output data where input u(k) produces an output  $\hat{y}(k)$  such that  $e(k) = \|y(k) - \hat{y}(k)\| < \varepsilon$  for some desired  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where  $\|.\|$  is a suitably defined norm and the norm  $\|y(k) - \hat{y}(k)\|$  is absolute error or squared error. The parameter identification is then to obtain the best possible

set of parameter values by minimising an objective function which is usually defined as function of errors between the system's actual output y(k) and model's estimated output  $\hat{y}(k)$ . If the error  $e(k) > \varepsilon$  the parameters are re-estimated. The process continues until  $e(k) < \varepsilon$  is reached. The problem of parameter identification can be treated as a problem of optimisation of the parameter set with an objective function J to be minimised (Ljung 1999) defined as:

$$J_{\min} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^{M} ||y(k) - \hat{y}(k)||^2 < \varepsilon.$$
 (2)

Many meta-heuristic algorithms have been applied to parameter identification. For example, GA and PSO have been used for power system parameter identification problems (Quispe, Graciela 2008; Carlos, Schirru 2008). Li and Zhou (2011) introduced a GSA-based optimisation algorithm for parameter identification of the hydraulic turbine governing system (HTGS) with the following fitness function:

$$F(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{j} \left[ z_{j}(k) - \hat{z}_{j}(k) \right]^{2}, \qquad (3)$$

where z is the system output,  $\hat{z}$  is the estimated model output, w is the weight vector, N is the number of samples and n is the number of parameters or the dimension of the system.

Chen *et al.* (2014a, 2014b) also applied a GSA-based optimisation algorithm for parameter identification of a water turbine regulation system using the same fitness function represented by Eqn (3). Li *et al.* (2012a) applied GSA and chaotic GSA to identify the parameters of the chaotic Lorenz system described by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = \sigma(y - x) \\ \dot{y} = \rho x - xz - y , \\ \dot{z} = xy - \beta z \end{cases}$$
 (4)

where  $4 < \sigma < 14$ ,  $24 < \rho < 90$  and  $1.5 < \beta < 4.5$  are the parameters of the Lorenz system. The task of identification of the chaotic parameters  $[\sigma, \rho, \beta]$  is to optimise the objective function defined by Eqn (3).

There are a lot of inherent uncertainties in most real world systems which cannot be incorporated into a model simply by a set of differential equations. Researchers have attempted to model uncertainties in real world systems using the theory of fuzzy logic (Jiang, Adeli 2003) such as the Takagi-Sugeno type fuzzy set known as the T-S fuzzy set modelling approach (Takagi, Sugeno 1985; Siddique, Adeli 2013). Li *et al.* (2013) applied chaotic GSA to a T-S fuzzy model of HTGS. The objective function used for the 3-rule T-S fuzzy model is the mean squared error defined by Eqn (3). Li *et al.* (2012b) also used a GSA-based hyperplane clustering for the T-S fuzzy model identification.

## 10. Training neural networks

In general, feed-forward neural network (NN) consists of one input layer, one or more hidden layers with a nonlinear activation function and one output layer with a linear activation function (Adeli, Park 1998). Biases can be set to non-zero or zero. The problem is to find the connection weights of the network for the given architecture to produce the correct output for the function for each corresponding inputs. The training NN weights are obtained by minimizing the mean squared of the network error function defined by Adeli and Hung (1995):

$$MSE(w,b) = \min_{w,b} \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 \right\}.$$
 (5)

Minimum of MSE(w,b) leads to optimum behaviour of the NN where  $y_i$  is the target output and  $\hat{y}_i$  is the actual or estimated output of the network. Most NN applications use the backpropagation (BP) algorithm or its variation for training the NN which requires the gradient information of error with respect to the weights. BP algorithm can get trapped in local minima and end up bouncing between local minima without reaching the global optimum (Siddique, Adeli 2013). BP's speed and robustness are sensitive to its parameters: such as learning rate, momentum and acceleration constant and the best parameters usually varies from problem to problem. Various heuristic optimisation algorithms have been applied for training of NN such as PSO (Settles et al. 2003), central force optimisation (Siddique, Adeli 2015), spiral dynamics optimisation (Siddique, Adeli 2014). Mirjalili et al. (2012) proposed combining PSO and GSA for finding the optimal values of weights and biases.

## 11. Travelling salesman problem

The travelling salesman problem was mathematically formulated in the 1800s by the Irish mathematician W. R. Hamilton and by the British mathematician Thomas Kirkman. Hassler Whitney at Princeton University introduced the name travelling salesman problem (Schrijver 2005). TSP is modelled as an undirected weighted graph G = (V, E), where the set of vertices V denotes the n cities and the edge set E denotes the edges between cities. The goal of TSP is to find a tour with the minimum total length among all such possible tours for the given graph where each city is visited only once. Many real world combinatorial optimisation problems can be formulated as an instance of the TSP such as scheduling (Li et al. 2015), routing, placement of goods and machines in the warehouse, and printed circuit design. Dowlatshahi et al. (2014) applied a discrete GSA to a set of 54 Euclidean benchmark instances of TSP with sizes ranging from 51 to 2392 nodes. The TSP is encoded as a permutation problem and a small move operator is used based on a swap operator.

## 12. Filter design and communication systems

A digital filter transforms input signals into desired output signals by keeping the frequency contents of the desired band and eliminating undesirable signals. Two important types of linear filters are finite impulse response (FIR) filters and infinite impulse response (IIR) filters (Mitra 2002). Non-linear filters are another type of digital filters used in many systems with nonlinear behaviour. The output of a FIR filter depends on inputs whereas the output of an IIR filter depends on the inputs as well as the outputs. IIR filters have the advantage of requiring fewer coefficients to compute. A nonlinear filter is described by the ratio of two polynomials expressed as:

$$\hat{y}(k) = \frac{\hat{a}[x(k-1), \dots, x(k-n), \hat{y}(k-1), \dots, \hat{y}(k-m)]}{\hat{b}[x(k-1), \dots, x(k-n), \hat{y}(k-1), \dots, \hat{y}(k-m)]}, (6)$$

where  $\hat{y}(k)$  is the estimated output of the filter, m and n are the orders of the filter,  $\hat{a}_i$  and  $\hat{b}_i$  are the filter coefficients to be estimated using a suitable algorithm. Rashedi *et al.* (2011) applied GSA to modelling digital filters where they estimated the filter parameters  $\hat{a}_i$  and  $\hat{b}_i$  using a GSA-based optimisation procedure. The objective function is defined as:

$$J = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k=1}^{L} [\hat{y}(k) - y(k)]^2 , \qquad (7)$$

where y(k) is the actual output of the filter. Han and Chang (2012a) present a secure communication approach consisting of encoding, GSA-based filtering, and chaotic receiver and decoding. Useful signal is encoded as a parametric continuous-time carrier signal in the unified chaotic system. The chaotic states recovered in the receiver are corrupt with noise which requires a GSA-based filtering to estimate the states used in a decoding scheme to achieve a useful message. Han and Chang (2012b) also proposed a chaotic secure communication scheme based on a modified GSA to minimize the risk of premature convergence of GSA. Saha *et al.* (2015) present an optimal IIR filter design using GSA with Wavelet Mutation (Kim, Adeli 2005; Hsu 2015).

## 13. Unit commitment problem in power systems

Unit commitment (UC) problem in power systems aims to schedule the most cost-effective combination of generating units to meet the forecasted load and reserve requirements while adhering to generator and transmission constraints. The commitment schedule takes into account the inter-temporal parameters of each generator (minimum run time, minimum down time, notification time, etc.) but does not specify production levels which are determined five minutes before delivery. The determination of these levels is known as economic dispatch and is the least-cost usage of the committed assets during a single period to meet the demand. The objective is to minimize the total system cost of generating power from

N units over a specific time horizon T defined by Happ et al. (1971):

$$F = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ FC_i \left( P_i(t) \right) + S_i \left( h_i(t), u_i(t) \right) \right]. \tag{8}$$

The fuel costs  $FC_i$  are dependent on the level of power generation  $P_i(t)$ . The start-up costs  $S_i$  are dependent on the state of the unit  $h_i$  and the number of hours the unit has been on (positive) or off (negative). The discrete decision variable  $u_i$  denotes if power generation of the unit at time t is up (denoted as 1) or down (denoted as -1) from the unit at time t+1. Binary numbers are used in the UC problem to indicate the units' status (i.e. committed or not committed). There are a number of equality and inequality constraints in the UC problem depending on the nature of the power system under study.

Roy (2013) applied GSA to the UC problem. Units' status is updated using a sigmoid function

$$f(x_i^j) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(x_i^j)} \in \{0, 1\} . \text{ In each test case, GSA}$$

appears to be a robust and reliable optimisation algorithm showing competitive performance compared with other heuristic algorithms. Ji *et al.* (2014) also applied binary GSA to the UC problem and reported competitive results in all experiments.

## 14. Multi-objective optimisation

Problems requiring simultaneous optimization of more than one objective functions are known as multi-objective optimization problems (MOOP). Formally it can be defined as:

Minimise/maximise 
$$f(x)$$
  
Subject to  $g_j(x) \ge 0, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, J$ , (9)  
 $h_k(x) = 0, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, K$ 

where  $f(x) = \{f_1(x), f_2(x), ..., f_n(x)\}$  is a vector of objective functions, n is the number of objectives,  $x = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_p\}$  is a vector of decision variables and p is the number of decision variables. The goal is to optimize n objectives while satisfying J inequality and K equality constraints. This type of problem has no unique solution. The objectives can interact or conflict with each other. Multi-objective optimization algorithms usually do not provide a single solution rather a set of solutions based on *trade-offs* or good compromises among the objectives. In order to generate these trade-off solutions, an old notion of optimality called Pareto-optimum is usually adopted (Ben-Tal 1980).

Hassanzadeh and Rouhani (2010) proposed a multiobjective GSA. Ghasemi *et al.* (2013) discuss design of multi-machine power system stabilizers using a fuzzy GSA. Mondal *et al.* (2013) solve the multi-objective economic emission load dispatch problem considering wind power penetration using the GSA. Tian *et al.* (2014) discuss the multi-objective optimization of short-term hydrothermal scheduling using a non-dominated sorting GSA with chaotic mutation.

#### Conclusions

GSA is a relatively new algorithm but has attracted significant attention from the research community in the past few years. This paper presented a review of significant engineering applications of GSA. Additional research is needed on several topics such as premature convergence to a local minimum, estimation of convergence rate, searching behaviours, and parameter selection. GSA can be used for solution of a variety of civil engineering problems such as optimization of renewable energy sources (Yazdani-Chamzini *et al.* 2013), hybrid multicriteria decision support systems (Turskis *et al.* 2009; Zavadskas *et al.* 2016), size and topology optimization of structures (Cirovic *et al.* 2014), optimization of transportation networks (Chen *et al.* 2014a, 2014b), and optimization of water distribution systems (Montalvo *et al.* 2014).

#### References

- Abido, M. A. 2002. Optimal power flow using particle swarm optimization, *Electrical Power Energy Systems* 24(7): 563-71.
  - http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0142-0615(01)00067-9
- Abou El Ela, A. A.; Abido, M. A.; Spea, S. R. 2011. Differential evolution algorithm for optimal reactive power dispatch, *Electrical Power Systems Research* 81(2): 458–464. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsr.2010.10.005
- Adeli, H. (Ed.). 1994. Advances in design optimization. London: Chapman and Hall.
- Adeli, H.; Hung, S. L. 1995. *Machine learning neural networks, genetic algorithms, and fuzzy systems*. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Adeli, H.; Park, H. S. 1998. Neurocomputing for design automation. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.
- Ahmadlou, M.; Adeli, H. 2010. Enhanced probabilistic neural network with local decision circles: A robust classifier, *Integrated Computer-Aided Engineering* 17(3): 197–210. http://dx.doi.org/10.3233/ICA-2010-0345
- Amezquita-Sanchez, J. P.; Adeli, H. 2015. Feature extraction and classification techniques for health monitoring of structures, *Scientia Iranica Transaction A: Civil Engineering* 22(6): 1931–1940. (Invited paper).
- Bahrololoum, A.; Nezamabadi-pour, H.; Bahrololoum, H.; Saeed, M. 2012. A prototype classifier based on gravitational search algorithm, *Applied Soft Computing* 12(2): 819–825. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2011.10.008
- Ben-Tal, A. 1980. Characterization of Pareto and lexicographic optimal solutions, in G. Fandel, T. Gal (Eds). *Multiple criteria decision making: Theory and application, Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems*, vol. 17, Springer, Berlin, 1–11. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-48782-8 1
- Bezdek, J. C. 1981. Pattern recognition with fuzzy objective function algorithms. New York: Plenum Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-0450-1
- Bharathi, R.; Kumar, M. J.; Sunitha, D.; Premalatha, S. 2007. Optimisation of combined economic and emission dispatch problem – A comparative study, in *Proceedings of the Power Engineering Conference*, 2007, Singapore, 134–139.

- Bhowmik, A. R.; Chakraborty, A. K. 2014. Solution of optimal power flow using non-dominated sorting multi objective gravitational search algorithm, *Electrical Power & Energy Systems* 62: 323–334. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2014.04.053
- Boulkabeit, I.; Mthembu, L.; De Lima Neto, F.; Marwala, T. 2014. Finite element model updating using Fish school search and volatile particle swarm optimization, *Integrated Computer-Aided Engineering* 22(4): 361–376. http://dx.doi.org/10.3233/ICA-150495
- Carlos, C. M. J. A.; Schirru, R. 2008. Identification of nuclear power plant transients using the particle swarm optimisation algorithm, *Annals of Nuclear Energy* 35(4): 576–582. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anucene.2007.08.013
- Carpentier, J. 1979. Optimal power flows, *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems* 1(1): 3–15. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0142-0615(79)90026-7
- Castillo, E.; Peteiro-Barral, D.; Guijarro Berdinas, B.; Fontenla-Romero, O. 2015. Distributed one-class support vector machine, *International Journal of Neural Systems* 25, 1550029 (17 pages). http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S012906571550029X
- Chakraborti, T.; Sharma, K. D.; Chatterjee, A. 2014. A novel local extrema based gravitational search algorithm and its application in face recognition using one training image per class, *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence* 34: 13–22. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2014.05.002
- Chatterjee, A.; Ghoshal, S. P.; Mukherjee, V. 2012. A maiden application of gravitational search algorithm with wavelet mutation for the solution of economic load dispatch problems, *International Journal of Bio-Inspired Computation* 4(1): 33–46. http://dx.doi.org/10.1504/JBIC.2012.044934
- Chen, C.; Duan, S.; Cai, T.; Liu, B.; Hu, G. 2011. Smart energy management system for optimal microgrid economic operation, *IET Renew Power Generation* 5(3): 258–67. http://dx.doi.org/10.1049/iet-rpg.2010.0052
- Chen, Z.; Yuan, X.; Tian, H.; Ji, B. 2014a. Improved gravitational search algorithm for parameter identification of water turbine regulation system, *Energy Conversion and Management* 78: 306–315. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2013.10.060
- Chen, X.; Zhang, L.; He, X.; Xiong, C.; Li, Z. 2014b. Surrogate-based optimization of expensive-to-evaluate objective for optimal highway toll charging in a large-scale transportation network, *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering* 29(5): 359–381. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mice.12058
- Cheng, J.; Zhang, G.; Caraffini, F.; Neri, F. 2015. Multicriteria adaptive differential evolution for global numerical optimization, *Integrated Computer-Aided Engineering* 22(2): 103–117. http://dx.doi.org/10.3233/ICA-150481
- Chira, C.; Sedano, J.; Camara, M.; Prieto, C.; Villar, J. R.; Corchado, E. 2014. A cluster merging method for time series microarray with product values, *International Journal of Neural Systems* 24(6), 1450018 (18 pages). http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S012906571450018X
- Chiu, S. L. 1994. Fuzzy model identification based on cluster estimation, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems* 2(3): 267–278.
- Chou, J. S.; Pham, A. D. 2015. Smart artificial firefly colony-based support vector regression for enhanced forecasting in civil engineering, *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering* 30(9): 715–732. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mice.12121
- Cirovic, G.; Radonjanin, V.; Trivunic, M.; Nikolic, D. 2014. Optimization of UHPFRC beams subjected to bending us-

- ing genetic algorithms, *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management* 20(4): 527–536.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.3846/13923730.2013.801908
- Coletta, L. F. S.; Hruschka, E. R.; Acharya, A.; Ghosh, J. 2015. Using metaheuristics to optimize the combination of classifier and cluster ensembles, *Integrated Computer-Aided Engineering* 22(3): 229–242. http://dx.doi.org/10.3233/ICA-150485
- Dai, H.; Wang, W.; Zhang, H. 2015. A multiwavelet neural network-based response surface method for structural reliability analysis, *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering* 30(2): 151–162. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mice.12086
- De Falco, I.; Cioppa, A. D.; Tarantino, E. 2007. Facing classification problems with particle swarm optimisation, *Applied Soft Computing* 7(3): 652–658. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2005.09.004
- Dowlatshahi, M. B.; Nezamabadi-pour, H.; Mashinchi, M. 2014. A discrete gravitational search algorithm for solving combinatorial optimization problems, *Information Sciences* 258: 94–107. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2013.09.034
- Duman, S.; Güvenç, Ü.; Sönmez, Y.; Yörükeren, N. 2012a. Optimal power flow using gravitational search algorithm, *Energy Conversion and Management* 59: 86–95. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2012.02.024
- Duman, S.; Sonmez, Y.; Guvenc, U.; Yorukeren, N. 2012b. Optimal reactive power dispatch using a gravitational search algorithm, *IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution* 6(6): 563–576. http://dx.doi.org/10.1049/iet-gtd.2011.0681
- Garcia-Predajas, N.; Ortiz-Boyer, D. 2011. An empirical study of binary classifier fusion methods for multi-class classification, *Information Fusion* 12(2): 111–130. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2010.06.010
- Ghasemi, A.; Shayeghi, H.; Alkhatib, H. 2013. Robust design of multi-machine power system stabilizers using fuzzy gravitational search algorithm, *International Journal of Electrical Power* 51: 190–200. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2013.02.022
- Gonçalves, N.; Nikkilä, J.; Vigário, R. 2014. Self-supervised MRI tissue segmentation by discriminative clustering, *International Journal of Neural Systems* 24(1), 1450004 (16 pages). http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S012906571450004X
- Gutierrez-Soto, M.; Adeli, H. 2014. Optimum tuning parameters of tuned mass dampers for vibration control of irregular highrise building structures, *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management* 20(5): 609–620. http://dx.doi.org/10.3846/13923730.2014.967287
- Güvenç, U.; Sönmez, Y.; Duman, S.; Yörükeren, N. 2012. Combined economic and emission dispatch solution using gravitational search algorithm, *Scientia Iranica* 19(6): 1754–1762. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scient.2012.02.030
- Han, X.-H.; Chang, X-M. 2012a. Chaotic secure communication based on a gravitational search algorithm filter, *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence* 25(4): 766–774. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2012.01.014
- Han, X.; Chang, X. 2012b. A chaotic digital secure communication based on a modified gravitational search algorithm filter, *Information Sciences* 208(15): 14–27. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2012.04.039
- Han, X.-H.; Quan, L.; Xiong, X.-Y.; Wu, B. 2013. Facing the classification of binary problems with a hybrid system based on quantum-inspired binary gravitational search algorithm and K-NN method, *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence* 26(10): 2424–2430. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2013.05.011
- Happ, H. H.; Johnson, R. C.; Wright, W. J. 1971. Large scale hydrothermal unit commitment method and results, *IEEE*

- Transaction on Power Apparatus Systems PAS-90(3): 1373–1384. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TPAS.1971.292941
- Hassan, M. A.; Abido, M. A. 2011. Optimal design of microgrids in autonomous and grid connected modes using particle swarm optimization, *IEEE Transaction on Power Electronics* 26(3): 755–769. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TPEL.2010.2100101
- Hassanzadeh, H. R.; Rouhani, M. 2010. A multi-objective gravitational search algorithm, in *International Conference on Computational Intelligence, Communication Systems and Networks*, 2010, 117–122. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/cicsyn.2010.32
- Hatamlou, A.; Abdullah, S.; Nezamabadi-pour, H. 2012. A combined approach for clustering based on K-means and gravitational search algorithms, *Swarm and Evolutionary Computation* 6: 47–52. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.swevo.2012.02.003
- Hernández-González, J.; Inza, I.; Lozano, J. A. 2013. Learning Bayesian network classifiers from label proportions, *Pattern Recognition* 46(12): 3425–3440. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.patcog.2013.05.002
- Hsu, W. Y. 2015. Assembling a multi-feature EEG classifier for left-right motor data using wavelet-based fuzzy approximate entropy for improved accuracy, *International Journal of Neural Systems* 25(8), 1550037 (13 pages). http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0129065715500379
- Jackowski, K.; Krawczyk, B.; Wozniak, M. 2014. Improved adaptive splitting and selection: the hybrid training method of a classifier based on a feature space partitioning, *International Journal of Neural Systems* 24(3), 1430007 (18 pages). http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0129065714300071
- Jiang, X.; Adeli, H. 2003. Fuzzy clustering approach for accurate embedding dimension identification in chaotic time series, *Integrated Computer-Aided Engineering* 10(3): 287–302.
- Ji, B.; Yuan, X.; Chen, Z.; Tian, H. 2014. Improved gravitational search algorithm for unit commitment considering uncertainty of wind power, *Energy* 67: 52–62. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2014.02.014
- Jiang, S.; Ji, Z.; Shen, Y. 2014. A novel hybrid particle swarm optimization and gravitational search algorithm for solving economic emission load dispatch problems with various practical constraints, *International Journal of Electri*cal Power & Energy Systems 55: 628–644. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2013.10.006
- Karaboga, D.; Ozturk, C. 2011. A novel clustering approach: artificial bee colony (ABC) algorithm, *Applied Soft Computing* 11(1): 652–657. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2009.12.025
- Kim, H.; Adeli, H. 2005. Hybrid control of smart structures using a novel wavelet-based algorithm, *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering* 20(1): 7–22. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8667.2005.00373.x
- Kurzynski, M. W. 1983. The optimal strategy of a tree classifier, Pattern Recognition 16(1): 81–87. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0031-3203(83)90011-0
- Lai, L. L.; Ma, J. T. 1997. Application of evolutionary programming to reactive power planning approach, *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems* 12(1): 198–206. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/59.574940
- Lai, L. L.; Ma, J. T.; Yokoyama, R.; Zhao, M. 1997. Improved genetic algorithms for optimal power flow under normal and contingent operation states, *International Journal of Electrical Power Energy Systems* 19(5): 287–292. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0142-0615(96)00051-8
- Lee, K. Y.; Park, Y. M. 1995. Optimization method for reactive power planning by using a modified simple genetic algorithm, *IEEE Transaction on Power Systems* 10(4): 1843–1850. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/59.476049

- Li, X. X.; Li, W. D.; Cai, X. T.; He, F. Z. 2015. A hybrid optimization approach for sustainable process planning and scheduling, *Integrated Computer-Aided Engineering* 22(4): 311–326. http://dx.doi.org/10.3233/ICA-150492
- Li, C.; Zhou, J. 2011. Parameters identification of hydraulic turbine governing system using improved gravitational search algorithm, *Energy Conversion and Management* 52(1): 374–381.
  - http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2010.07.012
- Li, C.; Zhou, J.; Xiao, J.; Xiao, H. 2012a. Parameters identification of chaotic system by chaotic gravitational search algorithm, *Chaos, Solutions & Fractals* 45(4): 539–547. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2012.02.005
- Li, C.; Zhou, J.; Fu, B.; Kou, P.; Xiao, J. 2012b. T–S fuzzy model identification with a gravitational search-based hyperplane clustering algorithm, *IEEE Transaction on Fuzzy Systems* 20(2): 305–317. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TFUZZ.2011.2173693
- Li, C.; Zhou, J.; Xiao, J.; Xiao, H. 2013. Hydraulic turbine governing system identification using T–S fuzzy model optimized by chaotic gravitational search algorithm, *Engi*neering Applications of Artificial Intelligence 26(9): 2073– 2082. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2013.04.002
- Liang, C. H.; Chung, C. Y.; Wong, K. P.; Duan, X. Z. 2007. Parallel optimal reactive power flow based on cooperative coevolutionary differential evolution and power system decomposition, *IEEE Transaction on Power Systems* 22(1): 249–257. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2006.887889
- Ljung, L. 1999. System identification theory for the user. 2nd ed. Upper Saddle River, N.J.: PTR Prentice Hall.
- MacQueen, J. 1967. Some methods for classification and analysis of multivariate observations, in *Proceedings of Fifth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematics Statistics and Probability*, 1967, University of California Press, 1: 281–297.
- Mahadevan, K.; Kannan, P. S. 2010. Comprehensive learning particle swarm optimization for reactive power dispatch, *Applied Soft Computing* 10(2): 641–652. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2009.08.038
- Marzband, M.; Ghadimi, M.; Sumper, A.; Domínguez-García, J. L. 2014. Experimental validation of a real-time energymanagement system using multi-period gravitational search algorithm for microgrids in islanded mode, *Applied Energy* 128: 164–174. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.04.056
- Menendez, H.; Barrero, D. F.; Camacho, D. 2014. A genetic graph-based approach to the partitional clustering, *Inter*national Journal of Neural Systems 24(3), 1430008 (19 pages). http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0129065714300083
- Mirjalili, S.; Hashim, S. Z. M.; Sardroudi, H. M. 2012. Training feed forward neural networks using hybrid particle swarm optimisation and gravitational search algorithm, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 218: 11125–11137. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2012.04.069
- Mitra, S. K. 2002. Digital signal processing A computer-based approach. Boston: McGraw-Hill.
- Mondal, S.; Bhattacharya, A.; Dey, S. H. 2013. Multi-objective economic emission load dispatch solution using gravitational search algorithm and considering wind power penetration, *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems* 44(1): 282–292. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2012.06.049
- Montalvo, I.; Izquierdo, J.; Herrera, M.; Pérez-García, R. 2014. Water distribution system computer-aided design by agent swarm optimization, *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering* 29(6): 433–448. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mice.12062
- Morais, H.; Sousa, T. M.; Santos, G.; Pinto, T.; Praça, I.; Vale, Z. 2015. Coalition of distributed generation units to vir-

- tual power players A game theory approach, *Integrated Computer-Aided Engineering* 22(3): 297–309. http://dx.doi.org/10.3233/ICA-150490
- Niknam, T.; Narimani, M. R.; Azizipanah-Abarghooee, R.; Bahmani-Firouzi, B. 2013. Multiobjective optimal reactive power dispatch and voltage control: A new opposition-based self-adaptive modified gravitational search algorithm, *IEEE Systems Journal* 7(4): 742–753. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/JSYST.2012.2227217
- Quispe, P. J.; Graciela, C. D. 2008. Parameters identification of excitation system models using genetic algorithm, *IET Generation, Transmission, Distribution* 2(3): 456–467.
- Peng, F.; Ouyang, Y. 2014. Optimal clustering of railroad track maintenance jobs, *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering* 29(4): 235–247. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mice.12036
- Perez, G.; Conci, A.; Moreno, A. B.; J Hernandez-Tamames, J. A. 2014. Rician noise attenuation in the wavelet packet transformed domain for brain MRI, *Integrated Computer-Aided Engineering* 21(2): 163–175. http://dx.doi.org/10.3233/ICA-130457
- Pinto, T.; Vale, Z.; Sousa, T. M.; Praça, I.; Santos, G.; Morais, H. 2014. Adaptive learning in agents behaviour: a framework for electricity markets simulation, *Integrated Computer-Aided Engineering* 21(4): 399–415. http://dx.doi.org/10.3233/ICA-140477
- Rafiei, M. H.; Adeli, H. 2016. Sustainability in highrise building design and construction, *The Structural Design of Tall and Special Buildings* 25(13): 643–658. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/tal.1276
- Rashedi, E.; Nezamabadi-pour, H.; Saryazdi, S. 2009. GSA: a gravitational search algorithm, *Information Sciences* 179(13): 2232–2248. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2009.03.004
- Rashedi, E.; Nezamabadi-pour, H.; Saryazdi, S. 2011. Filter modelling using gravitational search algorithm, *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence* 24(1): 117–122. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2010.05.007
- Roy, P. K. 2013. Solution of unit commitment problem using gravitational search algorithm, *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems* 53: 85–94. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2013.04.001
- Saha, S. K.; Kar, R.; Mandal, D.; Ghoshal, S. P. 2015. Optimal IIR filter design using gravitational search algorithm with wavelet mutation, *Journal of King Saud University Computer and Information Sciences* 27(1): 25–39. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jksuci.2014.03.002
- Settles, M.; Rodebaugh, B.; Soule, T. 2003. Comparison of genetic algorithm and particle swarm optimizer when evolving a recurrent neural network, genetic and evolutionary computation, *GECCO'2003*, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* 2723: 148–149. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/3-540-45105-6 17
- Schrijver, A. 2005. On the history of combinatorial optimization (till 1960), *Handbooks in Operations Research and Management Science* 12: 1–68. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0927-0507(05)12001-5
- Shabbir, F.; Omenzetter, P. 2015. Particle swarm optimization with sequential niche technique for dynamic finite element model updating, *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering* 30(5): 359–375. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mice.12100
- Shaw, B.; Mukherjee, V.; Ghoshal, S.P. 2012. A novel opposition-based gravitational search algorithm for combined economic and emission dispatch problems of power systems, *Electrical Power and Energy Systems* 35(1): 21–33. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2011.08.012

- Shaw, B.; Mukherjee, V.; Ghoshal, S. P. 2014. Solution of reactive power dispatch of power systems by an opposition-based gravitational search algorithm, *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems* 55: 29–40. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2013.08.010
- Shi, L.; Wang, C.; Yao, L.; Ni, Y.; Bazargan, M. 2012. Optimal power flow solution incorporating wind power, *IEEE Systems Journal* 6(2): 233–241.
  - http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/JSYST.2011.2162896
- Siddique, N.; Adeli, H. 2013. Computational intelligence: Synergies of fuzzy logic, neural networks and evolutionary computing. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9781118534823
- Siddique, N.; Adeli, H. 2014. Spiral dynamics algorithm, *International Journal on Artificial Intelligence Tools* 23(6): 1430001 (24 pages). http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0218213014300014
- Siddique, N.; Adeli, H. 2015. Central force metaheuristic optimization, Scientia Iranica – Transaction A: Civil Engineering 22(6): 1941–1953.
- Siddique, N.; Adeli, H. 2016. Gravitational search algorithm and its variants, *International Journal of Pattern Recog*nition and Artificial Intelligence 30, 1639001 (22 pages). http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0218001416390018
- Sirca, G. F., Jr.; Adeli, H. 2012. System identification in structural engineering, *Scientia Iranica Transaction A: Civil Engineering* 19(6): 1355–1364. (Invited paper).
- Subbaraj, P.; Rajnarayanan, P. N. 2009. Optimal reactive power dispatch using self-adaptive real coded genetic algorithm, *Electrical Power Systems Research* 79(2): 374–381. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsr.2008.07.008
- Sun, Q.; Wu, S. 2014. A configurable agent-based crowd model with generic behaviour effect representation mechanism, Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering 29(7): 531–545. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mice.12081
- Swain, R. K.; Sahu, N. C.; Hota, P. K. 2012. Gravitational search algorithm for optimal economic dispatch, *Procedia Technology* 6: 411–419. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.protcy.2012.10.049
- Takagi, T.; Sugeno, M. 1985. Fuzzy identification of systems and its applications to modelling and control, *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics* 15(1): 116–132. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TSMC.1985.6313399
- Tian, H.; Yuan, X.; Ji, B.; Chen, Z. 2014. Multi-objective optimization of short-term hydrothermal scheduling using non-dominated sorting gravitational search algorithm with chaotic mutation, *Energy Conversion and Management* 81: 504–519. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2014.02.053
- Turskis, Z.; Zavadskas, E. K.; Peldschus, F. 2009. Multi-criteria optimization system for decision making in construction design and management, *Inzinerine ekonomika-Engineer*ing economics (1): 7–17.
- Varadarajan, M.; Swarup, K. S. 2008. Differential evolution approach for optimal reactive power dispatch, *Applied Soft Computing* 8(4): 1549–1561. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2007.12.002

- Vincenzi, L.; Savoia, M. 2015. Coupling response surface and differential evolution for parameter identification problems, *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineer*ing 30(5): 376–393. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mice.12124
- Wang, N.; Adeli, H. 2014. Sustainable building design, *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management* 20(1): 1–10. http://dx.doi.org/10.3846/13923730.2013.871330
- Wang, N.; Adeli, H. 2015. Robust vibration control of windexcited highrise building structures, *Journal of Civil En*gineering and Management 21(8): 967–976. http://dx.doi.org/10.3846/13923730.2015.1068843
- Wu, J. W.; Tseng, J. C. R.; Tsai, W. N. 2014. A hybrid linear text segmentation algorithm using hierarchical agglomerative clustering and discrete particle swarm optimization, *Integrated Computer-Aided Engineering* 21(1): 35–46. http://dx.doi.org/10.3233/ICA-130446
- Yager, R. R.; Filev, D. P. 1994. Approximate clustering via the mountain method, *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man* and Cybernetics 24: 1279–1284. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/21.299710
- Yazdani-Chamzini, A.; Fouladgar, M. J.; Zavadskas, E. K.; Hamzeh Haji Moini, S. 2013. Selecting the optimal renewable energy using multicriteria decision making, *Journal of Business Economics and Management* 14(5): 957–978. http://dx.doi.org/10.3846/16111699.2013.766257
- Yin, M.; Hu, Y.; Yang, F.; Li, X.; Gu, W. 2011. A novel hybrid K-harmonic means and gravitational search algorithm approach for clustering, *Expert Systems with Applications* 38(8): 9319–9324. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2011.01.018
- Zalama, E.; Gómez-García-Bermejo, J.; Medina, R.; Llamas, J. 2014. Road crack detection using visual features extracted by Gabor filters, *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering* 29(5): 341–358. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mice.12042
- Zavadskas, E. K.; Antucheviciene, J.; Turskis, Z.; Adeli, H. 2016. Hybrid multiple criteria decision making methods: A review of applications in engineering, *Scientia Iranica* 23(1): 1–20.
- Zhang, Y.; Zhou, W. 2015. Multifractal analysis and relevance vector machine-based automatic seizure detection in intracranial, *International Journal of Neural Systems* 25(6), 1550020 (14 pages). http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0129065715500203
- Zhao, B.; Guo, C. X.; Cao, Y. J. 2005. A multi-agent-based particle swarm optimization approach for optimal reactive power dispatch, *IEEE Transaction on Power Systems* 20(2): 1070–1078. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2005.846064
- Zhang, B.; Hsu, M.; Dayal, U. 2000. K-harmonic means, in International Workshop on Temporal, Spatial, and Spatiotemporal Data Mining, TSDM2000, 2 September 2000, Lyon. France.
- Zhuang, F.; Galiana, F. D. 1990. Unit commitment by simulated annealing, *IEEE Transaction on Power Systems* 5(1): 311–318. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/59.49122

Nazmul SIDDIQUE. He is a Lecturer in the School of Computing and Intelligent Systems, University of Ulster. He obtained Dipl.-Ing. degree in Cybernetics from the Dresden University of Technology, Germany, MSc in Computer Science from Bangladesh University of Engineering and Technology and PhD in Intelligent Control from the Department of Automatic Control and Systems Engineering, University of Sheffield, England. His research interests include: cybernetics, computational intelligence, bio-inspired computing, stochastic systems and vehicular communication. He has published over 130 research papers in the broad area of cybernetics, intelligent control, computational intelligence and robotics including three books. He guest edited eight special issues of reputed journals on Cybernetic Intelligence, Computational Intelligence, Neural Networks and Robotics. He is a Fellow of the Higher Education Academy, a senior member of IEEE and member of different committees of IEEE SMC Society and UK-RI Chapter. He has been involved in organising many national and international conferences and co-edited seven conference proceedings. He is on the editorial board of seven international journals including Editor-in-Chief of the International Journal of Automation and Control Engineering. He secured funding from DEL, DAAD, EU FP7-ICT and Daiwa Anglo-Japanese Foundation.

Hojjat ADELI. He received his PhD from Stanford University in 1976 at the age of 26. He is Professor of Civil, Environmental, and Geodetic Engineering, and by courtesy Professor of Biomedical Engineering, Biomedical Informatics, Electrical and Computer Engineering, Neuroscience, and Neurology at The Ohio State University. He has authored over 530 research and scientific publications in various fields of computer science, engineering, applied mathematics, and medicine including 15 books. In 1998 he received the Distinguished Scholar Award, from The Ohio State University "in recognition of extraordinary accomplishment in research and scholarship". He is the recipient of numerous other awards and honors such as The Ohio State University College of Engineering Lumley Outstanding Research Award (quadruple winner); Peter L. and Clara M. Scott Award for Excellence in Engineering Education, and Charles E. MacQuigg Outstanding Teaching Award, the 2012 IEEE-EMBS Outstanding Paper Award (IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society), a Special Medal from The Polish Neural Network Society in Recognition of Outstanding Contribution to the Development of Computational Intelligence, Eduardo Renato Caianiello Award for Excellence in Scientific Research from the Italian Society of Neural Networks and an Honorary Doctorate from Vilnius Gediminas Technical University, Lithuania. He is a Distinguished Member of ASCE, and a Fellow of AAAS, IEEE, AIMBE, and American Neurological Association.